Journal of Informatics and Mathematical Sciences Volume 4 (2012), Number 2, pp. 249–254 © RGN Publications



Extensions of Steffensen's Inequality

W.T. Sulaiman

Abstract. Extension and new inequalities concerning Steffensen's inequality are presented.

1. Introduction

Steffensen's inequality reads as follows:

Theorem 1.1. Assume that two integrable functions f(t) and g(t) are defined on the interval (a, b), that f(t) non-increasing and that $0 \le g(t) \le 1$ in (a, b). Then

$$\int_{a-\lambda}^{b} f(t)dt \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t)dt \leq \int_{a}^{a+\lambda} f(t)dt,$$
(1)

where $\lambda = \int_{a}^{b} g(t) dt$.

Prcaric [6], however, through some modification, gives the following modification

Theorem 1.2. Let $f : [0,1] \to \Re$ be nonnegative and non-increasing function and let $g : [0,1] \to \Re$ be an integrable function such that $0 \le g(t) \le 1$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. If $p \ge 1$, then

$$\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(t)g(t)dt\right)^{p} \leq \int_{0}^{\lambda} f^{p}(t)dt,$$
(2)

where $\lambda = \left(\int_0^1 g(t)dt\right)^p$. A mapping $\phi : \Re \to \Re$ is said to be convex on [a, b] if

$$\phi(tx + (1-t)y) \le t\phi(x) + (1-t)\phi(y), \ x, y \in [a, b], \ 0 \le t \le 1.$$
(3)

If (3) reverses, then ϕ is called concave.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 26D15, 26D10. Key words and phrases. Steffensen's inequality; Integral inequality.

W.T. Sulaiman

The aim of this paper is to give a generalization of Theorem 1.2, as well as other results including a reverse of Steffensen's inequality.

The following Lemma is needed

Lemma 1.3. The mapping $\phi(x) = x^p$ is convex for $p \ge 1$, and concave for $0 \le p \le 1$.

Proof. As

$$\phi''(x) = p(p-1)x^{p-2} \ge 0,$$

then ϕ is convex. Also for $0 , the concavity of <math>\phi$ follows from the inequality $\phi''(x) \le 0$.

2. Results

The following gives a generalization of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f, g, \phi \ge 0$, $0 \le g \le 1$, $p \ge 1$, $\phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \le \lambda$, where $\lambda = \left(\int_0^1 g(t)dt\right)^p$, f is non-increasing. Then

$$\int_0^\lambda \phi \circ f(x) dx \ge \lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \int_0^1 \phi \circ f(x) g(x) dx.$$
(4)

Proof. As $\phi \ge 0$ and f is non-increasing, then $\phi \circ f$ is non-increasing. Also,

$$\lambda^{-1/p}\phi(\lambda^{1/p})g(x) \leq \lambda^{-1/p}\phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \leq \lambda^{1-1/p} \leq 1$$

then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x) dx - \lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \int_{0}^{1} \phi \circ f(x) g(x) dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x) dx - (\lambda^{-1/p}) \Big(\int_{0}^{\lambda} + \int_{\lambda}^{1} \Big) \phi \circ f(x) g(x) dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x) (1 - \lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) g(x)) dx - \lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x) g(x) dx \\ &\geq \phi \circ f(\lambda) \Big(\int_{0}^{\lambda} (1 - \lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) g(x)) dx - \lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \int_{\lambda}^{1} g(x) dx \Big) \\ &= \phi \circ f(\lambda) \Big(\lambda - \lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \Big(\int_{0}^{\lambda} + \int_{\lambda}^{1} \Big) g(x) dx \Big) \\ &= \phi \circ f(\lambda) \Big(\lambda - \lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \int_{0}^{1} g(x) dx \Big) \\ &= \phi \circ f(\lambda) \Big(\lambda - \lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \int_{0}^{1} g(x) dx \Big) \\ &= \phi \circ f(\lambda) (\lambda - \phi(\lambda^{1/p})) \ge 0. \end{split}$$

Remark 1. If we are putting $\phi(x) = x^p$, $p \ge 1$, we obtain the inequality (2) as follows

$$\int_0^\lambda f^p(x)dx \ge \left(\int_0^1 g(x)dx\right)^{p-1} \int_0^1 f^p(x)g(x)dx$$
$$\ge \left(\int_0^1 f(x)g(x)dx\right)^p.$$

The following result is dealing with Steffensen's inequality for p > 0.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f, g, \phi \ge 0, 0 \le g \le 1$, f is non-increasing. $\varphi(p) > 0, \lambda^{\varphi(p)}g \le 1$, $\int_0^1 g(x)dx \le \lambda^{1-\varphi(p)}$. Then

$$\int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x) dx \ge \lambda^{\varphi(p)} \int_{0}^{1} \phi \circ f(x) g(x) dx \,. \tag{5}$$

Proof. As $\phi \ge 0$ and f is non-increasing, then $\phi \circ f$ is non-increasing. Also,

$$\lambda^{\varphi(p)}g \le 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda^{\varphi(p)} \int_0^1 g(x)dx \le 1$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad \lambda \le 1.$$

Then, we have

$$= \int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x) dx - \lambda^{\varphi/p} \left(\int_{0}^{\lambda} + \int_{\lambda}^{1} \right) \phi \circ f(x) g(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x) (1 - \lambda^{\varphi(p)} g(x)) dx - \lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \int_{\lambda}^{1} \phi \circ f(x) g(x) dx$$

$$\geq \phi \circ f(\lambda) \left(\int_{0}^{\lambda} (1 - \lambda^{\varphi(p)} g(x)) dx - \lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \int_{\lambda}^{1} g(x) dx \right)$$

$$= \phi \circ f(\lambda) \left(\lambda - \lambda^{\varphi(p)} \left(\int_{0}^{\lambda} + \int_{\lambda}^{1} \right) g(x) dx \right)$$

$$= \phi \circ f(\lambda) \left(\lambda - \lambda^{\varphi/p} \int_{0}^{1} g(x) dx \right)$$

$$= \phi \circ f(\lambda) (\lambda - \lambda) \geq 0.$$

Corollary 2.3. Let $f, g, \phi \ge 0$, $\lambda^{1/p-1}g \le 1$, f is non-increasing, p > 0, where $\lambda = \left(\int_0^1 g(t)dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2p-1}}$. Then

$$\int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x) dx \ge \lambda^{1/p-1} \int_{0}^{1} \phi \circ f(x) g(x) dx.$$
(6)

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.2, by putting $\varphi(p) = 1/p - 1$, 0 < p < 1. □

The following gives another extension of Theorem 2.1

Theorem 2.4. Let $f, g, h, \phi \ge 0$, $0 \le g \le 1$, f is non-increasing, $p \ge 1$, $\phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \le \int_0^\lambda h(x) dx$, $\lambda^{-1/p} \phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \le h$, where $\lambda = \left(\int_0^1 g(t) dt\right)^p$. Then

$$\int_0^\lambda \phi \circ f(x)h(x)dx - \lambda^{-1/p}\phi(\lambda^{1/p})\int_0^1 \phi \circ f(x)g(x)dx \tag{7}$$

Proof. As before, $\phi \circ f$ is non-increasing. Therefore

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x)h(x)dx - \lambda^{-1/p}\phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \int_{0}^{1} \phi \circ f(x)g(x)dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x)h(x)dx - \lambda^{-1/p}\phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \left(\int_{0}^{\lambda} + \int_{\lambda}^{1}\right) \phi \circ f(x)g(x)dx \\ &= \int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x)(h(x) - \lambda^{-1(p)}\phi(\lambda^{1/p})g(x))dx \\ &- \lambda^{-1/p}\phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \int_{\lambda}^{1} \phi \circ f(x)g(x)dx \\ &= \phi \circ f(\lambda) \left(\int_{0}^{\lambda} (h(x) - \lambda^{-1/p}\phi(\lambda^{1/p})g(x))dx - \lambda^{-1/p}\phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \int_{\lambda}^{1} g(x)dx\right) \\ &= \phi \circ f(\lambda) \left(\int_{0}^{\lambda} h(x)dx - \lambda^{-1(p)}\phi(\lambda^{1/p}) \left(\int_{0}^{\lambda} + \int_{\lambda}^{1}\right)g(x)dx\right) \\ &= \phi \circ f(\lambda) \left(\int_{0}^{\lambda} h(x)dx - \phi(\lambda^{1/p})\right) \ge 0. \end{split}$$

The following gives a reverse inequality

Theorem 2.5. Let $f, g, \phi \ge 0$, ϕ is concave with $\phi(0) = 0$, f is non-decreasing, $0 \le g \le 1$, $p \ge 1$ and $\lambda = \left(\int_0^1 g(t)dt\right)^p$. Then

$$\int_{0}^{\lambda} \phi \circ f(x) dx \le \phi \left(\lambda^{1-1/p} \int_{0}^{1} f(x) g(x) dx \right).$$
(8)

Proof.

$$\int_0^\lambda \phi \circ f(x) dx - \phi \left(\lambda^{1-1/p} \int_0^1 f(X) g(x) dx \right)$$

$$= \int_0^\lambda \phi \circ f(x) dx - \phi \left(\lambda \frac{1}{\lambda^{1/p}} \int_0^1 f(x) g(x) dx\right)$$
$$\leq \int_0^\lambda \phi \circ f(x) dx - \lambda \phi \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^{1/p}} \int_0^1 f(x) g(x) dx\right)$$

(as ϕ is concave with $\phi(0) = 0$)

$$\leq \int_0^\lambda \phi \circ f(x) dx - \lambda^{1-1/p} \left(\int_0^f \phi \circ f(x) g(x) dx \right)$$

(by Jensen's inequality)

$$\begin{split} &= \int_0^\lambda \phi \circ f(x) dx - \lambda^{1-1/p} \bigg(\int_0^\lambda + \int_\lambda^1 \bigg) \phi \circ f(x) g(X) dx \\ &= \int_0^\lambda \phi \circ f(x) (1 - \lambda^{1-1/p} g(x)) dx - \lambda^{1-1/p} \int_\lambda^1 \phi \circ f(x) g(x) dx \\ &\leq \phi \circ f(\lambda) \bigg(\int_0^\lambda (1 - \lambda^{1-1/p} g(x)) dx - \lambda^{1-1/p} \int_\lambda^1 g(x) dx \bigg) \\ &= \phi \circ f(\lambda) \bigg(\lambda - \lambda^{1-1/p} \bigg(\int_0^\lambda + \int_\lambda^1 \bigg) g(x) dx \bigg) \\ &= \phi \circ f(\lambda) \bigg(\lambda - \lambda^{1-1/p} \int_0^1 g(x) dx \bigg) \\ &= \phi \circ f(\lambda) (\lambda - \lambda) = 0. \end{split}$$

Corollary 2.6. Let $f, g \ge 0$, f is non-decreasing, $0 \le g \le 1$, $p \ge 1$, 0 < q < 1, and $\lambda = \left(\int_0^1 g(t)dt\right)^p$. Then

$$\int_0^\lambda f^q(x)dx \le \lambda^{q-q/p} \bigg(\int_0^1 f(x)g(x)dx\bigg)^q.$$
(9)

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.5 by pitting $\phi(x) = x^q$, 0 < q < 1. □

References

- [1] R. Bellman, On inequalities with alternating signs, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1950), 807–809.
- [2] E.F. Beckenbach and R. Bellman, Inequalities, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.
- [3] J. Berch, A generalization of Steffensen's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 41 (1973), 187–191.
- [4] E.K. Godunova and V.I. Levin, A general class of inequalities concerning Steffensen's inequality, *Mat. Zametki* **3** (1968), 339–344 (Russian).

W.T. Sulaiman

- [5] D.S. Mitrinovic (in cooperation with P.M. Vasic), *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelber New York, 1970.
- [6] J.E. Pecaric, On the Bellman generalization of Steffencens's inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 88 (1982), 505–507.
- [7] J.F. Steffensen, On certain inequalities between mean value and their application to actuarial problems, *Skandinavisk Akturietidsrift* (1918), 82–97.
- [8] W.T. Sulaiman, Some generalizations of Steffensen's inequality, Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis 2(1) (2005), 1–88.

W.T. Sulaiman, Department of Computer Engineering, College of Engineering, University of Mosul, Iraq. E-mail: waadsulaiman@hotmail.com

Received April 13, 2011 Accepted May 26, 2012