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#### Abstract

The proof of a result of J.J. Nieto [3] appeared in "Acta Math. Hung." (1992) concerning the positive solutions of nonlinear problems at resonance is corrected and improved.


## 1. Introduction

The Method of differential inequalities or the method of upper and lower solutions has been used by Nieto [3] to show the existence of positive periodic solutions for a second order nonlinear differential equation. Nieto [3] has obtained two existence results of positive and negative solutions for a class of nonlinear problems at resonance. However we would like to point out that the proof of the first main result (Theorem 6) in [3] is not correct. We also improve Theorem 7 of [3]. The correction of the proof of Theorem 6 in [3] is the motivation of this brief paper.

## 2. Positive Solutions and the Method of Upper and Lower Solutions

J.J. Nieto in the paper [3] studied the existence of positive periodic solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+u+\mu u^{2}=h(t), \quad u(0)=u(\tau), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(\tau) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(t)=\epsilon \cos \omega t$ is $\tau=2 \pi \omega^{-1}$ periodic, $\mu \neq 0, \epsilon \neq 0$ and $\omega>0$.
Nieto and Rao in [2] gave the following result:
Theorem 2.1. Equation (2.1) has a periodic solution if $4|\mu \epsilon|<1$.
Making $s=\omega t$, (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+\omega^{-2}\left[u+\mu u^{2}-\epsilon \cos s\right]=0, u(0)=u(2 \pi), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(2 \pi) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=u(s)$ and $u^{\prime \prime}=\frac{d^{2} u}{d s^{2}}$.

Thus we are interested in the existence of $2 \pi$-periodic solutions of (2.2) and note that it is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u^{\prime \prime}(t)=f(t, u), t \in[0,2 \pi], u(0)=u(2 \pi), u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(2 \pi) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As usual, we say that $\alpha \in C^{2}([0,2 \pi], \mathbb{R})$ is a lower solution of (2.3) if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\alpha^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq f(t, \alpha(t)), \text { for } t \in[0,2 \pi]  \tag{2.4}\\
\alpha(0)=\alpha(2 \pi), \text { and } \alpha^{\prime}(0) \geq \alpha^{\prime}(2 \pi)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, $\beta \in C^{2}([0,2 \pi], \mathbb{R})$ is an upper solution of (2.3) if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\beta^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq f(t, \beta(t)), \text { for } t \in[0,2 \pi]  \tag{2.5}\\
\beta(0)=\beta(2 \pi), \text { and } \beta^{\prime}(0) \leq \beta^{\prime}(2 \pi)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 2.2. [1]. If (2.3) has an upper solution $\beta$ and a lower solution $\alpha$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $[0,2 \pi]$, then there exists at least one solution $u$ of (2.3) with $\alpha \leq u \leq \beta$ in $[0,2 \pi]$.

We are now in a position to prove the following result due to Nieto [3] and then we critically observe that it corrects the proof of Theorem 6 of [3] and improves it since we do not impose any condition on the sign of the real parameter $\epsilon$.
Theorem 2.3. If $\mu<0$ and $4|\mu \epsilon|<1$, then there exists a positive $\left(2 \pi \omega^{-1}\right)$-periodic solution of (2.1).

Proof. Note that equation (2.2) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-u^{\prime \prime}(s)=f(s, u) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(s, u)=\omega^{-2}\left[u+\mu u^{2}-\epsilon \cos s\right]$.
For all arbitrary $\epsilon \neq 0$ and $\mu<0$, let $0<a_{2}<a_{1}$ be the real roots of $\mu a^{2}+a-|\epsilon|=0$ and $b_{2}<0<b_{1}$ the real roots of $\mu b^{2}+b+|\epsilon|=0$. Note that $b_{2}<0<a_{2}<a_{1}<b_{1}$.

Choose $r \in\left[a_{2}, a_{1}\right]$ and $R \geq b_{1}$ and define $\alpha(s)=r$ and $\beta(s)=R(r<R)$ for $s \in[0,2 \pi]$. Since $-|\epsilon| \leq-\epsilon \cos s \leq|\epsilon|$; we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(s, \beta(s)) & =\omega^{-2}\left(R+\mu R^{2}-\epsilon \cos s\right) \\
& \leq \omega^{-2}\left(R+\mu R^{2}+|\epsilon|\right) \\
& \leq 0=-\beta^{\prime \prime}(s), \\
f(s, \alpha(s)) & =\omega^{-2}\left(r+\mu r^{2}-\epsilon \cos s\right) \\
& \geq \omega^{-2}\left(r+\mu r^{2}-|\epsilon|\right) \\
& \geq 0=-\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.2, there exists a solution $u$ of (2.2) such that $u \geq r>0$. This complete the proof.

Now, we shall improve Theorem 7 in [3] since we do not require $\epsilon<0$.

Theorem 2.4. If $\mu>0$ and $4|\mu \epsilon|<1$, then (2.1) has a negative ( $2 \pi \omega^{-1}$ )-periodic solution.

Proof. The same argument as in Theorem 7 of [3] will be used.
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