Journal of Informatics and Mathematical Sciences

Vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 189–198, 2020 ISSN 0975-5748 (online); 0974-875X (print) Published by RGN Publications DOI: 10.26713/jims.v12i3.1380



Research Article

New Subclass of Meromorphic Functions Defined by Bessel Function

Santosh M. Popade¹, Rajkumar N. Ingle², P. Thirupathi Reddy³ and

B. Venkateswarlu^{4*} D

¹Department of Mathematics, Sant Tukaram College of Arts & Science, Parbhani 431401, Maharastra, India

² Department of Mathematics, Bahirji Smarak Mahavidyalay, Bashmathnagar 431512, Hingoli District, Maharastra, India

³Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal 506009, Telangana, India

⁴Department of Mathematics, GSS, GITAM University, Doddaballapur- 561163, Bengaluru Rural, India **Corresponding author:** bvlmaths@gmail.com

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce and study a new subclass of meromorphic univalent functions defined by Bessel function. We obtain coefficient inequalities, extreme points, radius of starlikeness and convexity. Finally, we obtain partial sums and neighborhood properties for the class $\sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$.

Keywords. Meromorphic; Bessel function; Coefficient estimates; Partial sums

MSC. 30C45

Received: March 20, 2020

Accepted: June 29, 2020

Published: September 30, 2020

Copyright © 2020 Santosh M. Popade, Rajkumar N. Ingle, P. Thirupathi Reddy and B. Venkateswarlu. *This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

1. Introduction

Let $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ denote the class of meromorphic functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$
(1.1)

which are analytic in the punctured unit disc

$$U^* := \{z : z \in C, 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}.$$
(1.2)

Let $g \in \Sigma$ be given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n.$$
 (1.3)

Then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z).$$
(1.4)

Let us consider the second order linear homogeneous differential equation (see, Baricz [4, p. 7]):

$$z^{2}w''(z) + zw'(z) + (z^{2} - v^{2})w(z) = 0 \quad (v \in C).$$
(1.5)

The function $w_v(z)$, which is called the generalized Bessel function of the first kind of order v where v is an unrestricted (real or complex) number, is defined a particular solution of (1.5). The function $w_v(z)$, has the representation

$$w_{v}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+v+1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+v}.$$

Let us define

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{L}_{v} &= \frac{2^{v} \Gamma(v+1)}{z^{\frac{v}{2}+1}} w_{v}(z^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} \Gamma(v+1)}{4^{n} \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+v+1)} z^{n} \,. \end{split}$$

The operator \mathfrak{L}_v is a modification of the of the operator introduced by Deniz [5] for analytic functions.

By using the Hadamard product (or convolution), we define the operator \mathfrak{L}_v as follows:

$$(\mathfrak{L}_{v}f)(z) = \mathfrak{L}_{v}(z) * f(z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{n}(v)a_{n}z^{n},$$
(1.6)
where $\phi_{n}(v) = \frac{(-1)^{n}\Gamma(v+1)}{4^{n}\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+v+1)}.$

The operator \mathfrak{L}_v is a modification of the operator introduced by Szasz and Kupan [11] for analytic functions.

It is easy to verify that

$$z(\mathfrak{L}_v f)'(z) = (v+1)(\mathfrak{L}_v f)(z) - (v+2)(\mathfrak{L}_{v+1} f)(z).$$
(1.7)

Motivated by Kumar *et al.* [10], Atshan and Kulkarni [3], and Venkateswarlu *et al.* [12, 13]. Now, we define a new subclass $\sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ of Σ .

Definition 1.1. For $0 \le \eta < 1$, $k \ge 0$, $0 \le \lambda < \frac{1}{2}$, we let $\sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ be the subclass of Σ consisting of functions of the form (1.1) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$-Re\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{L}_v f(z))'}{\mathfrak{L}_v f(z)} + \lambda z^2 \frac{(\mathfrak{L}_v f(z))''}{\mathfrak{L}_v f(z)} + \eta\right) > k \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{L}_v f(z))'}{\mathfrak{L}_v f(z)} + \lambda z^2 \frac{(\mathfrak{L}_v f(z))''}{\mathfrak{L}_v f(z)} + 1 \right|.$$
(1.8)

In order to prove our results wee need the following lemmas [2].

Lemma 1.2. If η is a real number and $\omega = -(u + iv)$ is a complex number then $Re(\omega) \ge \eta \iff |\omega + (1 - \eta)| - |\omega - (1 - \eta)| \ge 0.$

Lemma 1.3. If $\omega = u + iv$ is a complex number and η is a real number then $-Re(\omega) \ge k|\omega+1| + \eta \iff -Re(\omega(1+ke^{i\theta})+ke^{i\theta}) \ge \eta, \quad -\pi \le \theta \le \pi.$

The main object of this paper is to study some usual properties of the geometric function theory such as the coefficient bounds, extreme points, radii of meromorphic starlikeness and convexity for the class $\sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$. Further, we obtain partial sums and neighborhood properties for the class also.

2. Coefficient Estimates

In this section we obtain necessary and sufficient condition for a function f to be in the class $\sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in \Sigma$ be given by (1.1). Then $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(k+1)(1+(n-1)\lambda) + (k+\eta)]\phi_n(v)a_n \le (1-\eta) - 2\lambda(1+k).$$
(2.1)

Proof. Let $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$. Then by Definition 1.1 and using Lemma 1.3, it is enough to show that

$$-Re\left\{\left(\frac{z(\mathfrak{L}_v f(z))'}{\mathfrak{L}_v f(z)} + \lambda z^2 \frac{(\mathfrak{L}_v f(z))''}{\mathfrak{L}_v f(z)}\right)(1 + ke^{i\theta}) + ke^{i\theta}\right\} > \eta, \quad -\pi \le \theta \le \pi.$$

$$(2.2)$$

For convenience

$$C(z) = -\left[z(\mathfrak{L}_v f(z))' + \lambda z^2(\mathfrak{L}_v f(z))''\right](1 + ke^{i\theta}) - ke^{i\theta}\mathfrak{L}_v f(z), \quad D(z) = \mathfrak{L}_v f(z).$$

That is, the equation (2.2) is equivalent to

$$-Re\left(\frac{C(z)}{D(z)}\right) \ge \eta.$$

In view of Lemma 1.2, we only need to prove that

$$C(z) + (1 - \eta)D(z)| - |C(z) - (1 - \eta)D(z)| \ge 0.$$

Therefore,

$$|C(z) + (1 - \eta)D(z)| \ge (2 - \eta - 2\lambda(k + 1))\frac{1}{|z|} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(k + 1)(1 + (n - 1)\lambda) + (k + \eta - 1)]\phi_n(v)a_n|z|^n$$

and

$$|C(z) - (1 - \eta)D(z)| \le (\eta + 2\lambda(k + 1)))\frac{1}{|z|} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(k + 1)(1 + (n - 1)\lambda) + (k + \eta + 1)]\phi_n(v)a_n|z|^n.$$

It is to show that

1

$$C(z) + (1 - \eta)D(z)| - |C(z) - (1 + \eta)D(z)|$$

$$\geq (2(1 - \eta) - 4\lambda(k + 1))\frac{1}{|z|} - 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(k + 1)(1 + (n - 1)\lambda) + (k + \eta)]\phi_n(v)a_n|z|^n$$

$$\geq 0, \qquad \text{by the given condition (2.1).}$$

Conversely, suppose $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$. Then by Lemma 1.2, we have (2.2).

Choosing the values of z on the positive real axis the inequality (2.2) reduces to

$$Re\left\{\frac{\left[1-\eta-2\lambda(1+ke^{i\theta})\right]\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[n(1+(n-1)\lambda)(1+ke^{i\theta})+(\eta+ke^{i\theta})\right]\phi_{n}(v)z^{n-1}}{\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\phi_{n}(v)a_{n}z^{n-1}}\right\}\geq 0$$

Since $Re(-e^{i\theta}) \ge -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$, the above inequality reduces to

$$Re\left\{\frac{\left[1-\eta-2\lambda(1+k)\right]\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda)+(\eta+k)\right]\phi_{n}(v)a_{n}r^{n-1}}{\frac{1}{r^{2}}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\phi_{n}(v)r^{n-1}}\right\}\geq0.$$

Letting $r \to 1^-$ and by the mean value theorem, we have obtained the inequality (2.1).

Corollary 2.2. If $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ then

$$a_n \le \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda) + (\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)}.$$
(2.3)

By taking $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2.1, we get the following corollary, which is coincide with [11].

Corollary 2.3. If
$$f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, v)$$
 then
$$a_n \leq \frac{1-\eta}{[n(1+k)+(\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)}.$$
(2.4)

Theorem 2.4. *If* $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ *then for* 0 < |z| = r < 1*,*

$$\frac{1}{r} - \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{(2k+\eta+1)\phi_1(v)} r \le |f(z)| \le \frac{1}{r} + \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{(2k+\eta+1)\phi_1(v)} r.$$
(2.5)

This result is sharp for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{(2k+\eta+1)\phi_1(v)}z.$$
(2.6)

Proof. Since $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, we have

$$|f(z)| = \frac{1}{r} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r^n \le \frac{1}{r} + r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n.$$
(2.7)

Since $n \ge 1$, $(2k + \eta + 1)\phi_1(v) \le [n(k + 1)(1 + (n - 1)\lambda + (k + \eta)]\phi_n(v)$, using Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(2k + \eta + 1)\phi_1(v) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [n(k+1)(1 + (n-1)\lambda + (k+\eta)]\phi_n(v)$$

$$\le (1 - \eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \le \frac{(1 - \eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{(2k + \eta + 1)\phi_1(v)}.$$

Using the above inequality in (2.7), we have

$$|f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r} + \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{(2k+\eta+1)\phi_1(v)}r \quad \text{and} \quad |f(z)| \geq \frac{1}{r} - \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{(2k+\eta+1)\phi_1(v)}r.$$

The result is sharp for the function $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-\eta)-2\lambda(k+1)}{(2k+\eta+1)\phi_1(v)}z$.

Corollary 2.5. If $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ then

$$\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{(2k+\eta+1)\phi_1(v)} \le |f'(z)| \le \frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{(2k+\eta+1)\phi_1(v)}$$

The result is sharp for the function given by (2.6)

3. Extreme Points

Theorem 3.1. Let $f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ and

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda) + (\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)} z^n, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(3.1)

Then $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n f_n(z), \quad u_n \ge 0 \quad and \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n = 1.$$
(3.2)

Proof. Suppose f(z) can be expressed as in (3.2). Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n f_n(z)$$

= $u_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n f_n(z)$
= $\frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda) + (\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)} z^n.$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda) + (\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)} \frac{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda) + (\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)}{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)} z^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n = 1 - u_0 \leq 1. \end{split}$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.1, $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f\in \sigma_p^*(\eta,k,\lambda,v).$ Since

$$a_n \le \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda) + (\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)} \ n \ge 1.$$

We set $u_n = \frac{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda)+(\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)}{(1-\eta)-2\lambda(k+1)}a_n, n \ge 1 \text{ and } u_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n.$

Then, we have $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n f_n(z) = u_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n f_n(z)$.

Hence the results follows.

4. Radii of Meromorphically Starlike and Convexity

Theorem 4.1. Let $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$. Then f is meromorphically starlike of order δ , $(0 \le \delta \le 1)$ in the unit disc $|z| < r_1$, where

$$r_{1} = \inf_{n} \left[\frac{(1-\delta)}{(n+2-\delta)} \frac{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda) + (\eta+k)]\phi_{n}(v)}{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

The result is sharp for the extremal function f(z) given by (3.1).

Proof. The function $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ of the form (1.1) is meromorphically starlike of order δ is the disc $|z| < r_1$ if and only if it satisfies the condition

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1\right| < (1 - \delta). \tag{4.1}$$

Since

$$\left|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1\right| \le \left|\frac{\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)a_n z^{n+1}}{1 + \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n+1}}\right| \le \frac{\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)|a_n||z|^{n+1}}{1 - \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n||z|^{n+1}}.$$

The above expression is less than $(1-\delta)$ if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+2-\delta)}{(1-\delta)} a_n |z|^{n+1} < 1$.

Using the fact that $f(z) \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda)+(\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)}{(1-\eta)-2\lambda(k+1)} a_n \le 1.$$

Thus, (4.1) will be true if

$$\frac{(n+2-\delta)}{(1-\delta)}|z|^{n+1} < \frac{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda)+(\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)}{(1-\eta)-2\lambda(k+1)}$$

or equivalently

$$|z|^{n+1} < \frac{(1-\delta)}{(n+2-\delta)} \; \frac{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda)+(\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)}{(1-\eta)-2\lambda(k+1)}$$

which yields the starlikeness of the family.

The proof of the following theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 4.1, and so we omit the proof.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$. Then f is meromorphically convex of order δ , $(0 \le \delta \le 1)$ in the unit disc $|z| < r_2$, where

$$r_{2} = \inf_{n} \left[\frac{(1-\delta)}{n(n+2-\delta)} \frac{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda)+(\eta+k)]\phi_{n}(v)}{(1-\eta)-2\lambda(k+1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n+1}}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

The result is sharp for the extremal function f(z) given by (3.1).

5. Partial Sums

Let $f \in \Sigma$ be a function of the form (1.1). Motivated by Silverman [8] and Silvia [9] and also see [1], we define the partial sums f_m defined by

$$f_m(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^m a_n z^n, \quad (m \in N).$$
(5.1)

In this section we consider partial sums of function from the class $\sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ and obtain sharp lower bounds for the real part of the ratios of f to f_m and f' to f'_m .

Theorem 5.1. Let $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ be given by (1.1) and define the partial sums $f_1(z)$ and $f_m(z)$ by

$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z} \quad and \quad f_m(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^m |a_n| z^n, \quad (m \in N \setminus \{1\}).$$
(5.2)

Suppose also that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n |a_n| \le 1$, where

$$d_n \ge \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1, 2, \cdots, m\\ \frac{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda) + (\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)}{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}, & \text{if } n = m+1, m+2, \cdots. \end{cases}$$
(5.3)

Then $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$. Furthermore

$$Re\left(\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)}\right) > 1 - \frac{1}{d_{m+1}} \quad and \tag{5.4}$$

$$Re\left(\frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \frac{d_{m+1}}{1+d_{m+1}}.$$
 (5.5)

Proof. For the coefficient d_n given by (5.3) it is not difficult to verify that

$$d_{m+1} > d_m > 1. (5.6)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} |a_n| + d_{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| d_m \le 1$$
(5.7)

by using the hypothesis (5.3). By setting

$$g_1(z) = d_{m+1}\left(\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{d_{m+1}}\right)\right) = 1 + \frac{d_{m+1}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1}}$$

then it sufficient to show that

$$Re(g_1(z)) \ge 0, (z \in U) \text{ or } \left| \frac{g_1(z) - 1}{g_1(z) + 1} \right| \le 1, (z \in U)$$

and applying (5.7), we find that

$$\left|\frac{g_1(z)-1}{g_1(z)+1}\right| \le \frac{d_{m+1}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}|a_n|}{2-2\sum_{n=1}^{m}|a_n|-d_{m+1}\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}|a_n|} \le 1, \quad (z \in U)$$

which ready yields the assertion (5.4) of Theorem 5.1. In order to see that

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{z^{m+1}}{d_{m+1}}$$
(5.8)

gives sharp result, we observe that for

$$z = re^{\frac{i\pi}{m}}$$
 that $\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} = 1 - \frac{r^{m+2}}{d_{m+1}} \to 1 - \frac{1}{d_{m+1}}$ as $r \to 1^-$.

Similarly, if we takes

$$g_2(z) = (1 + d_{m+1}) \left(\frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{d_{m+1}}{1 + d_{m+1}} \right)$$

and making use of (5.7), we denote that

$$\left|\frac{g_2(z)-1}{g_2(z)+1}\right| < \frac{(1+d_{m+1})\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} |a_n|}{2-2\sum_{n=1}^m |a_n| - (1-d_{m+1})\sum_{n=m+1}^\infty |a_n|}$$

which leads us immediately to the assertion (5.5) of Theorem 5.1.

The bound in (5.5) is sharp for each $m \in N$ with extremal function f(z) given by (5.8).

The proof of the following theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 5.1, so we omit the proof.

Theorem 5.2. If $f \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ be given by (1.1) and satisfies the condition (2.1) then

$$Re\left(\frac{f'(z)}{f'_m(z)}\right) > 1 - \frac{m+1}{d_{m+1}}$$

and

$$Re\left(\frac{f'_{m}(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \frac{d_{m+1}}{m+1+d_{m+1}},$$

where

$$d_n \ge \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } n = 2, 3, \cdots, m \\ \frac{[n(1+k)(1+(n-1)\lambda) + (\eta+k)]\phi_n(v)}{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}, & \text{if } n = m+1, m+2, \cdots. \end{cases}$$

The bounds are sharp with the extremal function f(z) of the form (2.4).

6. Neighborhoods for the Class $\sigma_p^{*\xi}(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$

In this section, we determine the neighborhood for the class $\sigma_p^{*\xi}(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ which we define as follows:

Definition 6.1. A function $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\sigma_p^{*\xi}(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ if there exits a function $g \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ such that

$$\left|\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1\right| < 1 - \xi, \ (z \in E, 0 \le \xi < 1).$$
(6.1)

Following the earlier works on neighborhoods of analytic functions by Goodman [6] and Ruscheweyh [7], we define the δ -neighborhoods of function $f \in \Sigma$ by

$$N_{\delta}(f) = \left\{ g \in \sum : g(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n - b_n| \le \delta \right\}.$$
 (6.2)

Theorem 6.2. If $g \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ and

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{\delta(2k+\eta+1)\phi_1(v)}{(2k+\eta+1)\phi_1(v) - (1-\eta) + 2\lambda(k+1)}$$
(6.3)

then $N_{\delta}(g) \subset \sigma_p^{*\zeta}(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$.

Proof. Let $f \in N_{\delta}(g)$. Then we find from (6.2) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|a_n - b_n| \le \delta \tag{6.4}$$

which implies the coefficient inequality

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n| \le \delta \quad (n \in N).$$
(6.5)

Since $g \in \sigma_p^*(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \le \frac{(1-\eta) - 2\lambda(k+1)}{(2k+\eta+1)\phi_1(v)}.$$
(6.6)

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n|}{1 - \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n} \\ &= \frac{\delta(2k + \eta + 1)\phi_1(v)}{(2k + \eta + 1)\phi_1(v) - (1 - \eta) + 2\lambda(k + 1))} \\ &= 1 - \xi \end{aligned}$$

provided ξ is given by (6.3). Hence by definition, $f \in \sigma_p^{*\xi}(\eta, k, \lambda, v)$ for ξ given by which completes the proof.

Conclusion

In this study, the authors have investigated of a novel linear operator that was related to the Bessel function. Different results and properties described in this study were seen to be associated to a particular subclass belonging to the class meromorphic univalent functions in the unit disk U^* . This study was able to derive several results which have been explained in Theorems 2.1, 2.4, 3.1, 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2 and 6.2. The various results which we presented here would extend and improve several earlier studies on the subject of the paper.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf and H. Silverman, Partial sums of certain meromorphic p-valent functions, Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics 7(4) (2006), article 119, 1 - 7, URL: https: //www.emis.de/journals/JIPAM/images/110_06_JIPAM/110_06_www.pdf.
- [2] E. Aqlan, J. M. Jhangiri and S. R. Kulkarni, Class of k-uniformly convex and starlike functions, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics* **35** (2004), 1 – 7, DOI: 10.5556/j.tkjm.35.2004.207.
- [3] W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni, Subclass of meromorphic functions with positive coefficients defined by Ruscheweyh derivative, *Journal of Rajasthan Academy of Physical Sciences* 6(2) (2007), 129 - 140, URL: https://raops.org.in/june2007.htm.
- [4] A. Baricz, Generalized Bessel functions of the first kind, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1994, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2010), DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-12230-9.
- [5] E. Deniz, Differential subordination and superordination results for an operator associated with the generalized Bessel function, arXiv: 1204.0698 (2012), URL: https://citeseerx.ist.psu. edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.746.7748&rep=rep1&type=pdf.
- [6] A. W. Goodman, Univalent functions and non-analytic curves, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 8 (1957), 598 – 601, DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9939-1957-0086879-9.
- S. Ruscheweyh, Neighbourhoods of univalent functions, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 81 (1981), 521 527, DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9939-1981-0601721-6.
- [8] H. Silverman, Partial sums of starlike and convex functions, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis* and Applications **209**(1) (1997), 221 227, DOI: 10.1006/jmaa.1997.5361.
- [9] E. M. Silvia, On partial sums of convex functions of order α, Houston Journal of Mathematics 11(3) (1985), 397 - 404, URL: http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.432. 1126&rep=rep1&type=pdf.
- [10] S. S. Kumar, V. Ravichandran and G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Classes of meromorphic *p*-valent parabolic starlike functions with positive coefficients, *The Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2(2) (2005), article 3, 1 – 9, URL: https://ajmaa.org/searchroot/ files/pdf/v2n2/v2i2p3.pdf.
- [11] R. Szász and P. A. Kupán, About the univalence of the Bessel functions, Studia Universitatis Babes-Bolyai — Series Mathematica 54(1) (2009), 127 – 132, URL: http://www.cs.ubbcluj.ro/ ~studia-m/2009-1/szasz-final.pdf.
- [12] B. Venkateswarlu, P. T. Reddy and N. Rani, Certain subclass of meromorphically uniformly convex functions with positive coefficients, *Mathematica* (*Cluj*) **61**(84) (1) (2019), 85 97, DOI: 10.24193/mathcluj.2019.1.08.
- [13] B. Venkateswarlu, P. T. Reddy and N. Rani, On new subclass of meromorphically convex functions With positive coefficients, *Surveys in Mathematics and Its Applications* 14 (2019), 49 – 60.