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#### Abstract

The purpose of the present paper is to study a Finsler space with a special ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-metric $L(\alpha, \beta)=\alpha+\epsilon \beta+\kappa \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}$ ( $\epsilon$ and $k \neq 0$ are real constants) satisfying some conditions. First we find a condition for a Finsler space with a special ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-metric to be a Berwald space. Then we show that if a two-dimensional Finsler space with a special $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric $L(\alpha, \beta)=\alpha+\epsilon \beta+\kappa \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}(\epsilon$ and $k \neq 0$ are real constants) is a Landsberg space, then it is a Berwald space.
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## 1. Introduction

The real Landsberg spaces, in particular the real Berwald spaces, have been a major subject of study for many geometers over the years. In 1926, L. Berwald [4] introduced a special class of Finsler spaces which took his name in 1964 [8]. It is known that a real Finsler space is called a Berwald space if the local coeffcients of the Berwald connection depend only on position coordinates. In the Cartan connection $C \Gamma$, a Finsler space is called Landsberg space, if the covariant derivative $C_{h i j \mid k}$ of the C-torsion tensor $C_{h i j}=\dot{\partial}_{h} \dot{\partial}_{i} \dot{\partial}_{j}\left(L^{2} / 4\right)$ satisfies $C_{h i j \mid k}(x, y) y^{k}=0$. A Berwald space is characterized by $C_{h i j \mid k}=0$. Berwald spaces are specially interesting and
important, because the connection is linear, and many examples of a Berwald space have been known. But any concrete example of a Landsberg space which is not a Berwald space is not known yet. If a Finsler space is a Landsberg space and satisfies some additional conditions, then it is merely a Berwald space [3]. On the other hand, in the two- dimensional case, a general Finsler space is a Landsberg space, if and only if its main scalar $I(x, y)$ satisfies $I_{\mid i} y^{i}=0$ [7].

The purpose of the present paper is to find a two-dimensional Landsberg space with a special ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-metric $L(\alpha, \beta)=\alpha+\epsilon \beta+\kappa \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}$ satisfying some conditions, where $\epsilon, \kappa \neq 0$ are real constants. First we find the condition for a Finsler space with a special ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-metric to be a Berwald space (see Theorem 3.1). Next, we determine the difference vector and the main scalar of $F^{2}$ with the aforesaid metric.

Finally, we derive the condition for a two-dimensional Finsler space $F^{2}$ with a special ( $\alpha, \beta$ )metric $L(\alpha, \beta)=\alpha+\epsilon \beta+\kappa \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}(\epsilon$ and $k \neq 0$ are real constants) to be a Landsberg space, and we show that if $F^{2}$ with the mentioned metric is a Landsberg space, then it is a Berwald space (see Theorem 4.1).

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $F^{n}=\left(M^{n}, L(\alpha, \beta)\right)$ be an $n$-dimensional Finsler space with an $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric and $R^{n}=\left(M^{n}, \alpha\right)$ the associated Riemannian space, where $\alpha^{2}=a_{i j}(x) y^{i} y^{j}, \hat{A}^{-} \beta=b_{i}(x) y^{i}$. Since the metric tensor $a_{i j}$ is invertible, we put $a^{i j}=\left(a_{i j}\right)^{-1}$.

The Riemannian metric $\alpha$ is not supposed to be positive-definite and we shall restrict our discussions to a domain of $(x, y)$ where $\beta$ does not vanish. The covariant differentiation in the Levi-Civita connection $\left(\gamma_{j k}^{i}(x)\right)$ of $R^{n}$ is denoted by the semi-colon. Let us list the symbols here for the late use:
(i) $b^{i}=a^{i r} b_{r}, b^{2}=a^{r s} b_{r} b_{s}$,
(ii) $2 r_{i j}=b_{i, j}+b_{j ; i}, 2 s_{i j}=b_{i ; j}-b_{j ; i}$,
(iii) $r_{j}^{i}=a^{i r} r_{r j}, s_{j}^{i}=a^{i r} s_{r j}, r_{i}=b_{r} r_{i}^{r}, s_{i}=b_{r} s_{i}^{r}$.
(iv) $L_{\alpha}=\partial L / \partial \alpha, L_{\beta}=\partial L / \partial \beta, L_{\alpha \alpha}=\partial^{2} L / \partial \alpha^{2}, L_{\beta \beta}=\partial^{2} L / \partial \beta^{2}$.

In the present paper Berwald connection $B \Gamma=\left(G_{j k}^{i}, G_{j}^{i}, 0\right)$ of $F^{n}$ plays one of the leading roles. Denote by $B_{j k}^{i}$ the difference tensor of Matsumoto [7] of $G_{j k}^{i}$ from $\left(\gamma_{j k}^{i}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{j k}^{i}(x, y)=\gamma_{j k}^{i}(x, y)+B_{j k}^{i}(x, y) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the subscript 0 , the transvection by $y^{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{j}^{i}=\gamma_{0 j}^{i}+B_{j}^{i}, 2 G^{i}=\gamma_{00}^{i}+2 B^{i} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then $B_{j}^{i}=\dot{\partial}_{j} B^{i}$ and $B_{j k}^{i}=\dot{\partial}_{k} B_{j}^{i}$. On account of Matsumoto [7], the Berwald connection $B \Gamma$ of a Finsler space with $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric $L(\alpha, \beta)$ is given by (2.1) and (2.2), where $B_{j k}^{i}$ are the components of a Finsler tensor of (1,2)-type which is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\alpha} B_{j i}^{k} y^{i} y_{k}=\alpha L_{\beta}\left(b_{j ; i}-B_{j i}^{k} b_{k}\right) y^{j} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Matsumoto [7], $B^{i}(x ; y)$ is called the difference vector. If

$$
\beta^{2} L_{\alpha}+\alpha \gamma^{2} L_{\alpha \alpha} \neq 0,
$$

where $\gamma^{2}=b^{2} \alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}$, then $B^{i}$ is written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{i}=\frac{E^{*}}{\alpha} y^{i}+\frac{\alpha L_{\beta}}{L_{\alpha}} s_{0}^{i}-\frac{\alpha L_{\alpha \alpha}}{L \alpha} C^{*}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} y^{i}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta} b^{i}\right), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
E^{*}=\left(\frac{\beta L_{\beta}}{L}\right) C^{*}, C^{*}=\frac{\alpha \beta\left(r_{00} L_{\alpha}-2 \alpha s_{0} L_{\beta}\right)}{2\left(\beta^{2} L_{\alpha}+\alpha \gamma^{2} L_{\alpha \alpha}\right)},
$$

Furthermore, by means of Hashiguchi, Hojo and Matsumoto [4], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{\mid i}=-\frac{L_{\beta}}{L_{\alpha}} \beta_{\mid i},  \tag{2.5}\\
& \beta_{\mid i} y^{i}=r_{00}-2 b_{r} B^{r},  \tag{2.6}\\
& b_{\mid i}^{2} y^{i}=2\left(r_{0}+s_{0}\right),  \tag{2.7}\\
& \gamma_{\mid i}^{2} y^{i}=2\left(r_{0}+s_{0}\right) \alpha^{2}-2\left(\frac{L_{\beta}}{L_{\alpha}} b^{2} \alpha+\beta\right)\left(r_{00}-2 b_{r} B^{r}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The following lemmas have been shown:
Lemma $2.1([2, ~ 6])$. If $\alpha^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod \beta)$, that is, $a_{i j} y^{i} y^{j}$ contains $b_{i}(x) y^{i}$ as a factor, then the dimension $n$ is equal to 2 and $b^{2}$ vanishes. In this case we have 1 -form $\delta=d_{i}(x) y^{i}$ satisfying $\alpha^{2}=\beta \delta$ and $d_{i} b^{i}=2$.

Lemma 2.2 ([5, 6]). We consider the two-dimensional case.
(i) If $b^{2} \neq 0$, then there exist a sign $\epsilon= \pm 1$ and $\delta=d_{i}(x) y^{i}$ such that $\alpha^{2}=\frac{\beta^{2}}{b^{2}}+\epsilon \delta^{2}$ and $d_{i} b^{i}=0$.
(ii) If $b^{2}=0$, then there exists $\delta=d_{i}(x) y^{i}$ such that $\alpha^{2}=\beta \delta$ and $d_{i} b^{i}=2$.

If there are two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ satisfying $f \alpha^{2}+g \beta^{2}=0$, then $f=g=0$ is obvious, because $f \neq 0$ implies a contradiction $\alpha^{2}=\frac{-g}{f} \beta^{2}$.

Throughout the paper, we shall say "homogeneous polynomial (s) in ( $y^{i}$ ) of degree $r$ " as $h p(r)$ for brevity. Thus $\gamma_{00}^{i}$ are $h p(2)$.

## 3. Berwald Space

In this section, we find the condition for a Finsler space $F^{n}$ with a special $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric to be a Berwald space.

Let $F^{n}=\left(M^{n}, L(\alpha, \beta)\right)$ be an $n$-dimensional Finsler space with a special ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-metric given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\alpha, \beta)=\alpha+\epsilon \beta+\kappa \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon, \kappa \neq 0$ are real constants.

Then from the above we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\alpha}=1-\frac{k \beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}, L_{\beta}=\epsilon+\frac{2 k \beta}{\alpha}, L_{\alpha \alpha}=\frac{2 k \beta^{2}}{\alpha^{3}}, L_{\beta \beta}=\frac{2 k}{\alpha} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.2) into (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha^{2}-k \beta^{2}\right) B_{j i}^{k} y^{i} y_{k}+\alpha\left(-2 k \alpha \beta-\epsilon \alpha^{2}\right)\left(b_{j} ; i-B_{j i}^{k} b_{k}\right) y^{j}=0 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the Finsler space with metric (3.1) be a Berwald space, that is, $G_{j k}^{i}=G_{j k}^{i}(x)$. Then we have $B_{j i}^{k}=B_{j i}^{k}(x)$, so the left-hand side of (3.3) has a form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x, y)+\alpha Q(x, y)=0, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P, Q$ are polynomials in $\left(y^{i}\right)$ while $\alpha$ is irrational in $\left(y^{i}\right)$. Hence the equation (3.3) shows $P=Q=0$.

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{j i}^{k} a_{k h} y^{j} y^{h}=0, \quad\left(b_{j ; i}-B_{j i}^{k} b_{k}\right) y^{j}=0 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The former yields $B_{j i}^{k} a_{k h}+B_{h i}^{k} a_{k j}=0$, so we have $B_{j i}^{k}=0$. Then the latter leads to $b_{j ; i=0}$ directly.
Conversely, if $b_{i ; j}=0$, then $\left(\gamma_{j k}^{i}, \gamma_{0 j}^{i}, 0\right)$ becomes the Berwald connection of $F^{n}$ due to the well-known Okada's axioms. Thus $F^{n}$ is a Berwald space. Therefore, we have

Theorem 3.1. The Finsler space $F^{n}$ with special metric (3.1) satisfying $b^{2} \neq 0$ is a Berwald space if and only if $b_{j, i}=0$, and then the Berwald connection is essentially Riemannian $\left(\gamma_{j k}^{i}, \gamma_{0 j}^{i}, 0\right)$.

## 4. Two-dimensional Landsberg Space

Let the Finsler space $F^{n}=\left(M^{n}, L(\alpha, \beta)\right)$ with an $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric given by (3.1) be a Landsberg space.

The difference vector $B^{i}$ of the Finsler space has been first given in [7]. Here, by means of (2.4) and (3.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 B^{i}=\frac{A}{\left(\alpha^{2}-k \beta^{2}\right) \Omega}\left(2 k \alpha^{2} b^{i}+\frac{B y^{i}}{\alpha L}\right)+\frac{2 \alpha^{2}(\epsilon \alpha+2 k \beta)}{\left(\alpha^{2}-k \beta\right)} s_{0}^{i}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=r_{00}\left(\alpha^{2}-k \beta^{2}\right)-2 \alpha^{2} s_{0}(\epsilon \alpha+2 k \beta), \\
& B=\left(\epsilon \alpha^{3}-3 \epsilon k \alpha \beta^{2}-4 k^{2} \beta^{3}\right), \\
& \Omega=\left(\alpha^{2}+2 k b^{2} \alpha^{2}-3 k \beta^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is trivial that $\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) \neq 0$ and $\Omega \neq 0$, because $\alpha$ is irrational in $\left(y^{i}\right)$.
From (4.1) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{00}-2 b_{r} B^{r}=\frac{A\left(\alpha^{2}-k \beta^{2}\right)}{\alpha L \Omega} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we deal with the condition for a two-dimensional Finsler space $F^{2}$ with (3.1) to be a Landsberg space. It is known that in the two-dimensional case, a general Finsler space is a Landsberg space, if and only if its main scalar $I(x, y)$ satisfies $I_{\mid i} y^{i}=0$ ([1], [6]).

The main scalar of $F^{2}$ is obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon I^{2}=\frac{9 \gamma^{2} M^{2}}{4 \alpha L \Omega^{3}}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\epsilon\left(1+2 k b^{2}\right) \alpha^{3}-8 k \beta^{3}+4 b^{2} k^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta-5 \epsilon k \alpha \beta^{2}, \\
\Omega & =\left(1+2 b^{2} k\right) \alpha^{2}-3 k \beta^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The covariant differentiation of (4.3) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \alpha^{2} L \Omega^{4} \epsilon I_{\mid i}^{2}=9 M\left(\alpha \Omega M \gamma_{\mid i}^{2}+2 \alpha \Omega \gamma^{2} M_{\mid i}-\Omega \gamma^{2} M \alpha_{\mid i}-3 \alpha \gamma^{2} M \Omega_{\mid i}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Trasvevting (4.4) by $y^{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \alpha^{2} L \Omega^{4} \varepsilon I_{\mid i}^{2}=9 M\left(U \gamma_{\mid i}^{2} y^{i}+Q M_{\mid i} y^{i}-R \alpha_{\mid i} y^{i}-S \Omega_{\mid i} y^{i}\right), \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
U= & \epsilon \alpha^{6}-8 \epsilon k \alpha^{4} \beta^{2}+4 \epsilon k b^{2} \alpha^{6}-8 k^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta^{3}+4 b^{2} k^{2} \alpha^{5} \beta+15 \epsilon k^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{4}-16 \epsilon k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{2} \\
& +24 k^{3} \alpha \beta^{5}-28 k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta^{3}+4 \epsilon k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{6}+8 k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{5} \beta, \\
Q= & 2 b^{2} \alpha^{5}-10 k b^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta^{2}+4 k b^{4} \alpha^{5}-2 \alpha^{3} \beta^{2}+6 k \alpha \beta^{4}, \\
R= & \epsilon b^{2} \alpha^{7}-12 \epsilon k b^{2} \alpha^{5} \beta^{2}+4 \epsilon k b^{4} \alpha^{7}-\epsilon \alpha^{5} \beta^{2}+8 \epsilon k \alpha^{3} \beta^{4}+25 \epsilon k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta^{4}-20 \epsilon k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{5} \beta^{2} \\
& -15 \epsilon k^{2} \alpha \beta^{6}+4 \epsilon k^{2} b^{6} \alpha^{7}+6 \epsilon k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta^{4}-12 k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{3}+52 k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{5}-36 k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{3} \\
& +8 k^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{5}-24 k^{3} \beta^{7}+4 k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta+8 k^{3} b^{6} \alpha^{6} \beta, \\
S= & 3 \epsilon b^{2} \alpha^{6}-21 \epsilon k b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{2}+6 \epsilon k b^{4} \alpha^{6}-36 k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta^{3}+12 k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{5} \beta-3 \epsilon \alpha^{4} \beta^{2} \\
& +15 \epsilon k \alpha^{2} \beta^{4}+24 k^{2} \alpha \beta^{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the equation (4.5) is written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \alpha^{2} L \Omega^{4} \epsilon I_{\mid i}^{2}=9 M\left(U \gamma_{\mid i}^{2} y^{i}+V \alpha_{\mid i} y^{i}+W \beta_{\mid i} y^{i}+X b_{\mid i}^{2} y^{i}\right), \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
V= & 14 \epsilon k b^{2} \alpha^{5} \beta^{2}-12 k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta-5 \epsilon k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta^{4}+24 \epsilon k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{5} \beta^{2}+100 k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{3}-24 k^{3} b^{6} \alpha^{6} \beta \\
& -10 \epsilon k \alpha^{3} \beta^{4}+68 k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{3}-15 \epsilon k^{2} \alpha \beta^{6}-100 k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{5}-\epsilon b^{2} \alpha^{7}-4 \epsilon k b^{4} \alpha^{7} \\
& +\epsilon \alpha^{5} \beta^{2}-4 \epsilon k^{2} b^{6} \alpha^{7}-56 k^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{5}+24 k^{3} \beta^{7}, \\
W= & -2 \epsilon k b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta-56 k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{5} \beta^{2}+8 k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{7}-26 \epsilon k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{3}+48 k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta^{4}-64 k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{5} \beta^{2} \\
& -4 \epsilon k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta+16 k^{3} b^{6} \alpha^{7}+2 \epsilon k \alpha^{4} \beta^{3}+48 k^{2} \alpha^{3} \beta^{4}+30 \epsilon k^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{5}, \\
X= & \left(-2 \epsilon k b^{2} \alpha^{8}+8 k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{7} \beta+22 \epsilon k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{2}+32 k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{5} \beta^{3}-4 \epsilon k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{8}-8 k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{7} \beta\right. \\
& \left.+2 \epsilon k \alpha^{6} \beta^{2}-8 k^{2} \alpha^{5} \beta^{3}-18 \epsilon k^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{4}-24 k^{3} \alpha^{3} \beta^{5}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, the two-dimensional Finsler space $F^{2}$ with (3.1) is a Landsberg space, if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \gamma_{\mid i}^{2} y^{i}+V \alpha_{\mid i} y^{i}+W \beta_{\mid i} y^{i}+X b_{\mid i}^{2} y^{i}=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $M \neq 0$. If $M=0$, then $b^{2}=0$, namely, it is a contradiction.
By means of (2.5), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), the above equation is written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
2\left(\alpha^{2}-k \beta^{2}\right)\left(\alpha^{2} U+X\right)\left(r_{0}+s_{0}\right)+\left[\left(\alpha^{2}-k \beta^{2}\right) W-V \alpha(\epsilon \alpha+2 k \beta)\right. \\
\left.-\left\{\alpha^{2} b^{2}(\epsilon \alpha+2 k \beta)+\beta\left(\alpha^{2}-k \beta^{2}\right)\right\} U\right]\left(r_{00}-2 b_{r} B^{r}\right)=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting the values of $U, V, W, X$ and $\left(r_{00}-2 b_{r} B^{r}\right)$ in (4.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha^{4}\left[2 \epsilon \alpha^{10}+8 \epsilon k b^{2} \alpha^{10}-18 \epsilon k \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}+164 \epsilon k^{3} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-4 \epsilon k^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-208 \epsilon k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}\right. \\
& -126 \epsilon k^{4} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}+128 \epsilon k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}+8 \epsilon k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{10}+72 \epsilon k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-40 \epsilon k^{4} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4} \\
& \left.+72 \epsilon k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}-40 \epsilon k^{5} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-18 \epsilon k^{5} \beta^{10}\right]\left(r_{0}+s_{0}\right) \\
& +\alpha^{5} \beta\left[-52 \epsilon^{2} k^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{2}+32 \epsilon^{2} k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{8}-160 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{2}+144 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} \alpha^{4} \beta^{4}+20 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} \alpha^{2} \beta^{6}\right. \\
& +176 \epsilon^{2} k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{6}+56 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{8}-32 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{2}-72 \epsilon^{2} k^{5} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{4}+2 \epsilon^{2} \alpha^{8} \\
& \left.-114 \epsilon^{2} k^{5} \beta^{8}-72 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{4}+24 k^{6} b^{2} \beta^{8}-16 k^{6} b^{4} \alpha^{2} \beta^{6}\right]\left(r_{0}+s_{0}\right) \\
& +\alpha^{2} \beta\left[-64 b^{2} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}+62 \epsilon k^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}+218 \epsilon k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-80 \epsilon k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-162 \epsilon k^{3} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}\right. \\
& +16 \epsilon k^{3} b^{6} \alpha^{10}-6 \epsilon k b^{2} \alpha^{10}-\epsilon \alpha^{10}+11 \epsilon k \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-208 \epsilon k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}+99 \epsilon k^{4} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8} \\
& +66 \epsilon k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}-80 \epsilon k^{5} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-9 \epsilon k^{5} \beta^{10}+16 \epsilon k^{5} b^{6} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}+160 \epsilon k^{4} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4} \\
& \left.-32 \epsilon k^{4} b^{6} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}\right] r_{00} \\
& +\alpha\left[-98 k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{10} \beta^{2}+154 k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{8} \beta^{4}-88 k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{10} \beta^{2}+16 k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{12}+68 k^{2} \alpha^{8} \beta^{4}\right. \\
& +80 k^{4} b^{4} \alpha^{8} \beta^{4}-86 k^{3} \alpha^{6} \beta^{6}+8 k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{12}-\epsilon^{2} \alpha^{10} \beta^{2}-2 k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{6}+16 b^{6} k^{4} \alpha^{10} \beta^{2} \\
& +42 k^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{8}-146 b^{2} k^{5} \alpha^{4} \beta^{8}+72 k^{5} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{6}-72 k^{6} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{8}+95 k^{5} \alpha^{2} \beta^{10} \\
& \left.+76 k^{6} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{10}+16 k^{6} b^{6} \alpha^{6} \beta^{6}-24 k^{6} \beta^{12}-94 k^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{8}-32 k^{5} b^{6} \alpha^{8} \beta^{4}\right] r_{00} \\
& +2 \alpha^{4}\left[94 \epsilon k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}+68 \epsilon k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}+80 \epsilon k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-16 \epsilon k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{10}-87 \epsilon k^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}\right. \\
& +160 \epsilon k^{4} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-125 \epsilon k^{3} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-8 \epsilon k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{10}+3 \epsilon k \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-354 \epsilon k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6} \\
& -48 \epsilon k^{4} b^{6} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}+251 \epsilon k^{4} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}-232 \epsilon k^{5} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}+208 \epsilon k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}+48 \epsilon k^{5} b^{6} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4} \\
& \left.-42 \epsilon k^{5} \beta^{10}\right] s_{0} \\
& +2 \alpha^{3} \beta\left[234 \epsilon^{2} k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-206 \epsilon^{2} k^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-286 \epsilon k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}+240 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}\right. \\
& +128 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-31 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} b^{6} \alpha^{10}-26 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{10}+\epsilon^{2} \alpha^{10}-8 \epsilon^{2} k \alpha^{8} \beta^{2} \\
& -80 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-16 k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{10}-74 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-\epsilon^{2} k^{4} b^{6} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}+133 \epsilon^{2} k^{5} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8} \\
& \left.-144 k^{6} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}+152 k^{6} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}+32 k^{6} b^{6} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-48 k^{6} \beta^{10}\right] s_{0}=0 . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Separating (4.9) in the rational and irrational terms with respect to ( $y^{i}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\alpha^{4} D_{1}\left(r_{0}+s_{0}\right)+\alpha^{2} \beta E_{1} r_{00}+2 \alpha^{4} F_{1} s_{0}\right\}+\alpha\left\{\alpha^{4} \beta D_{2}\left(r_{0}+s_{0}\right)+E_{2} r_{00}+2 \alpha^{2} \beta F_{2} s_{0}\right\}=0 \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1}=2 \epsilon \alpha^{10}+8 \epsilon k b^{2} \alpha^{10}-18 \epsilon k \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}+164 \epsilon k^{3} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-4 \epsilon k^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-208 \epsilon k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4} \\
& -126 \epsilon k^{4} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}+128 \epsilon k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}+8 \epsilon k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{10}+72 \epsilon k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-40 \epsilon k^{4} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4} \\
& +72 \epsilon k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}-40 \epsilon k^{5} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-18 \epsilon k^{5} \beta^{10}, \\
& D_{2}=-52 \epsilon^{2} k^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{2}+32 \epsilon^{2} k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{8}-160 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{2}+144 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} \alpha^{4} \beta^{4}+20 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} \alpha^{2} \beta^{6} \\
& +176 \epsilon^{2} k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{6}+56 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{8}-32 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-72 \epsilon^{2} k^{5} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{4}+2 \epsilon^{2} \alpha^{8} \\
& -114 \epsilon^{2} k^{5} \beta^{8}-72 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{4}+24 k^{6} b^{2} \beta^{8}-16 k^{6} b^{4} \alpha^{2} \beta^{6}, \\
& E_{1}=-64 b^{2} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}+62 \epsilon k^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}+218 \epsilon k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-80 \epsilon k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-162 \epsilon k^{3} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6} \\
& +16 \epsilon k^{3} b^{6} \alpha^{10}-6 \epsilon k b^{2} \alpha^{10}-\epsilon \alpha^{10}+11 \epsilon k \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-208 \epsilon k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}+99 \epsilon k^{4} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8} \\
& +66 \epsilon k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}-80 \epsilon k^{5} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-9 \epsilon k^{5} \beta^{10}+16 \epsilon k^{5} b^{6} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}+160 \epsilon k^{4} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4} \\
& -32 \epsilon k^{4} b^{6} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}, \\
& E_{2}=-98 k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{10} \beta^{2}+154 k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{8} \beta^{4}-88 k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{10} \beta^{2}+16 k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{12}+68 k^{2} \alpha^{8} \beta^{4} \\
& +80 k^{4} b^{4} \alpha^{8} \beta^{4}-86 k^{3} \alpha^{6} \beta^{6}+8 k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{12}-\epsilon^{2} \alpha^{10} \beta^{2}-2 k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{6}+16 b^{6} k^{4} \alpha^{10} \beta^{2} \\
& +42 k^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{8}-146 b^{2} k^{5} \alpha^{4} \beta^{8}+72 k^{5} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{6}-72 k^{6} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{8}+95 k^{5} \alpha^{2} \beta^{10} \\
& +76 k^{6} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{10}+16 k^{6} b^{6} \alpha^{6} \beta^{6}-24 k^{6} \beta^{12}-94 k^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{8}-32 k^{5} b^{6} \alpha^{8} \beta^{4}, \\
& F_{1}=94 \epsilon k^{2} b^{2} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}+68 \epsilon k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}+80 \epsilon k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-16 \epsilon k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{10}-87 \epsilon k^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4} \\
& +160 \epsilon k^{4} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-125 \epsilon k^{3} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-8 \epsilon k^{2} b^{4} \alpha^{10}+3 \epsilon k \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-354 \epsilon k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-48 \epsilon k^{4} b^{6} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2} \\
& +251 \epsilon k^{4} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}-232 \epsilon k^{5} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}+208 \epsilon k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}+48 \epsilon k^{5} b^{6} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-42 \epsilon k^{5} \beta^{10}, \\
& F_{2}=234 \epsilon^{2} k^{5} b^{2} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-206 \epsilon^{2} k^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-286 \epsilon k^{3} b^{2} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}+240 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}+128 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6} \\
& -31 \epsilon^{2} k^{3} b^{6} \alpha^{10}-26 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{10}+\epsilon^{2} \alpha^{10}-8 \epsilon^{2} k \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}-80 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} b^{4} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-16 k^{3} b^{4} \alpha^{10} \\
& -74 \epsilon^{2} k^{4} b^{2} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}-\epsilon^{2} k^{4} b^{6} \alpha^{8} \beta^{2}+133 \epsilon^{2} k^{5} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8}-144 k^{6} b^{4} \alpha^{4} \beta^{6}+152 k^{6} b^{2} \alpha^{2} \beta^{8} \\
& +32 k^{6} b^{6} \alpha^{6} \beta^{4}-48 k^{6} \beta^{10} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation (4.10) yields two equations as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha^{2} D_{1}\left(r_{0}+s_{0}\right)+\beta E_{1} r_{00}+2 \alpha^{2} F_{1} s_{0}=0,  \tag{4.11}\\
& \alpha^{4} \beta D_{2}\left(r_{0}+s_{0}\right)+E_{2} r_{00}+2 \alpha^{2} \beta F_{2} s_{0}=0 . \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-24 k^{6} \beta^{12} r_{00} \equiv 0\left(\bmod \alpha^{2}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, there exists a function $f(x)$ such that $r_{00}=\alpha^{2} f(x)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i j}=a_{i j} f(x) . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Transvection by $b^{i} y^{i}$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\beta f(x) ; \quad r_{j}=b_{j} f(x) . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $\left(r_{0}+s_{0}\right)$ from (4.11) and (4.12), from (4.13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2} f(x)\left(\alpha^{2} \beta^{2} D_{2} E_{1}-D_{1} E_{2}\right)+2 \alpha^{2} \beta s_{0}\left(\alpha^{2} D_{2} F_{1}-D_{1} F_{2}\right)=0 . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\alpha^{2} \neq 0(\bmod \beta)$ it follows that there exists a function $g(x)$ satisfying $s_{0}=g(x) \beta$.
Hence (4.16) is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2} \beta^{2}\left(f(x) D_{2} E_{1}+2 g(x) D_{2} F_{1}\right)-\left(f(x) D_{1} E_{2}+2 \beta^{2} g(x) D_{1} F_{2}\right)=0 . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since only the term $-432 \epsilon k^{11}(f(x)+4 g(x)) \beta^{22}$ of $\left(f(x) D_{1} E_{2}+2 \beta^{2} g(x) D_{1} F_{2}\right)$ seemingly does not contain $\alpha^{2}$, we must have $h p(20) V_{20}$ such that $\beta^{22}=\alpha^{2} V_{20}$. But it is a contradiction because of $\alpha^{2} \neq 0(\bmod \beta)$, that is, $\left(f(x) D_{1} E_{2}+2 \beta^{2} g(x) D_{1} F_{2}\right)$ does not contain $\alpha^{2}$ as a factor. Hence $\left(f(x) D_{1} E_{2}+2 \beta^{2} g(x) D_{1} F_{2}\right)$ must be zero, which implies $f(x)=g(x)=0$, which leads to $s_{0}=0$ and $s_{i}=0$. From (4.14), we get $r_{i j}=0$.

Summarizing up, we obtain $r_{i j}=0$ and $s_{i}=0$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i ; j}+b_{j ; i}=0, \quad b^{r} b_{r ; i}=0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $b_{i}(x)$ is the so-called killing vector field with a constant length.
According to Hashiguchi, Hojo and Matsumoto [4], the condition (4.18) is equivalent to $b_{i ; j}=0$. So, we have

Theorem 4.1. Let $F^{2}$ be a two-dimensional Finsler space with a special ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-metric (3.1) satisfying $b^{2} \neq 0$. If $F^{2}$ is a Landsberg space, then $F^{2}$ is a Berwald space.

## 5. Conclusion

The present paper is devoted to finding a Landsberg space in a two-dimensional Finsler space $F^{2}$ with a special $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric $L(\alpha, \beta)=\alpha+\epsilon \beta+\kappa \frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha}$ satisfying some conditions, where $\epsilon, \kappa \neq 0$ are real constants. First we find the condition for a Finsler space with a special ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-metric (3.1) to be a Berwald space (see Theorem (3.1). Next, we determine the difference vector and the main scalar of $F^{2}$ with the aforesaid metric. Finally, we show that if the Finsler space $F^{2}$ with the metric (3.1) is a Landsberg space, then it becomes a Berwald space under some conditions.
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