



Integral Transforms of Pragathi-Satyanarayana's I -function

B. Satyanarayana¹ , D. K. Pavan Kumar^{*2} , Y. Pragathi Kumar³ and
N. Srimannarayana⁴

¹ Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

² Department of Mathematics, Seshadri Rao Gudlavalleru Engineering College
(affiliated to Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University), Gudlavalleru, Vijayawada 521356,
Andhra Pradesh, India

³ Department General Studies, University of the People (Remote), Pasadena, California, USA

⁴ Department of Engineering Mathematics, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation
(Deemed to be University), Vaddeswaram, Guntur 522302, Andhra Pradesh, India

*Corresponding author: krish.pav@gmail.com

Received: April 15, 2024

Revised: June 18, 2024

Accepted: July 14, 2024

Abstract. Many of the transformations like Euler, Hankel, Sumudu and K -transforms play a vital role in the field of engineering mathematics and have many applications. This paper refers to the study of Pragathi-Satyanarayana I -function of one variable. As a part of this study, we obtain different integral transforms of Pragathi-Satyanarayana I -function of one variable. Also, some of the generalized transforms have been obtained as special cases. The integral transformations developed here are useful in real-world applications of mathematical science.

Keywords. Pragathi-Satyanarayana I -function, Hankel transform, Sumudu transform, K -transform, Euler-beta transforms

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 33C60, 33C99

Copyright © 2025 B. Satyanarayana, D. K. Pavan Kumar, Y. Pragathi Kumar and N. Srimannarayana. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Fox [6] created the well-known one-variable H -function and showed that it is a symmetric Fourier kernel to Meijer's G -function (Shah *et al.* [18]). Later, Rathie [15] introduced the I -function to the literature in 1997, which plays an important role in physics, applied

mathematics, and in other fields. A novel Pragathi-Satyanarayana I -function (Psi-function), which proved to be the generalized I -function (Ayant [2]) and generalized H -function (Srivastava *et al.* [19]) was recently introduced by Kumar and Satyanarayana [11]. In this paper, we establish different types of integral transforms of Pragathi-Satyanarayana I -function of one variable function.

Psi-function of one variable defined by Kumar and Satyanarayana [11] is given by

$$\Psi_{p_i, q_i; r}^{m, n} \left[z \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, n}; (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji}; A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, m}; (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji}; B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s) z^s ds, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$\phi(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma^{B_j}(b_j - \beta_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma^{A_j}(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)}{\sum_{i=1}^r \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma^{B_{ji}}(1 - b_{ji} + \beta_{ji} s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma^{A_{ji}}(a_{ji} - \alpha_{ji} s) \right]}. \quad (1.2)$$

Also,

- $z \neq 0$.
- $0 \leq n \leq p_i$, where p_i ($i = 1, \dots, r$), $0 \leq m \leq q_i$, where q_i ($i = 1, \dots, r$) and r is finite.
- $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \alpha_{ji}$ and β_{ji} are positive integers and A_j, B_j, A_{ji} and B_{ji} are non-negative integers.
- a_j, b_j, a_{ji} and b_{ji} are complex numbers such that no singularities of $\Gamma^{B_j}(b_j - \beta_j s)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ coincides with any singularities of $\Gamma^{A_j}(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.
- Contour L is defined over $\sigma - i\infty$ to $\sigma + i\infty$. Using the intricate s -plane in such a manner that all the singularities of $\Gamma^{B_j}(b_j - \beta_j s)$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ lies to the right side of L , and all the singularities of $\Gamma^{A_j}(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ lie to the left side of L .

Following different transforms (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik [7], and Jasim *et al.* [9]) have been applied on Pragathi-Satyanarayana I -function and the results are shown in Section 2:

- (a) *Hankel transform*: The Hankel transform (Ali and Kalla [1]) of order γ of a function $f(r)$ is given by

$$H_\gamma(k) = \int_0^\infty f(r) J_\gamma(kr) r dr, \quad (1.3)$$

where J_γ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order γ with $\gamma \geq -\frac{1}{2}$.

- (b) *Sumudu transform*: Over the set of functions

$$A = \{f(t) \mid \exists M, \tau_1, \tau_2 > 0, |f(t)| < M e^{|t|/\tau_i}, \text{ if } t \in (-1)^j \times [0, \infty)\},$$

Sumudu transform (Belgacem and Karaballi [3]) is defined by

$$G(u) = S[f(t)] = \int_0^\infty f(ut) e^{-t} dt, \quad u \in (-\tau_1, \tau_2). \quad (1.4)$$

- (c) *K-transform*: The K -transform (Nasim [14]) of order γ of a function $f(x)$ is given by

$$R_\gamma\{f(x) : \rho\} = \int_0^\infty (\rho x)^{\frac{1}{2}} K_\gamma(\rho x) f(x) dx, \quad \rho > 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where K_γ is the known as Bessel modified function of third kind.

(d) *Euler-beta transform*: The Euler-beta transform (Satyanarayana et al. [17]) of the function $f(z)$ is defined as

$$B\{f(z); a, b\} = \int_0^1 z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} f(z) dz, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\Re(a) > 0$ and $\Re(b) > 0$.

Also, we considered the following Laplace transform (Ricci et al. [16]) of Pragathi-Satyanarayana I -function given by Kumar and Satyanarayana [11] as

$$F(\mu) = L[\Psi[ax^\sigma]; s] = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mu)^s}{s!} \frac{a^{-\frac{s+1}{\sigma}}}{\sigma} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma^{B_j}(b_j + \beta_j(\frac{s+1}{\sigma})) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma^{A_j}(1 - a_j - \alpha_j(\frac{s+1}{\sigma}))}{\sum_{i=1}^r \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma^{B_{ji}}(1 - b_{ji} - \beta_{ji}(\frac{s+1}{\sigma})) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma^{A_{ji}}(a_{ji} + \alpha_{ji}(\frac{s+1}{\sigma})) \right]}. \quad (1.7)$$

In the following section, we are going to derive different integral transforms (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6) of Pragathi-Satyanarayana's I -function of single variable.

2. Main Results

This section provides detailed proofs of the integral transforms such as Hankel transform (Ali and Kalla [1]), Sumudu transform (Belgacem et al. [4]), K -transform (Nasim [14]) and the Euler-beta transforms (Choudhry et al. [5]) applied on Pragathi-Satyanarayana's I -function of a single variable.

Theorem 2.1. *Prove that*

$$H_\gamma[\psi(ax^\sigma)] = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\rho} \psi_{p_i+1, q_i+1; r}^{m, n+1} \left[a \left(\frac{2}{\rho} \right)^\sigma \left| \begin{array}{l} (\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; 1), (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, n}, \\ (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji}; A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i}, (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, m}, \\ (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji}; B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i}, (\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\gamma}{2}, -\frac{\sigma}{2}; 1) \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (2.1)$$

provided

- (a₁) $\Re(\rho) > 0, \Re(\sigma) > 0$,
- (a₂) $\Re(b_j + \beta_j s) > 0$,
- (a₃) $\Re(1 - a_j - \alpha_j s) > 0$,
- (a₄) $|\arg a| < \frac{\pi}{2} \Delta, \Delta > 0$,
- (a₅) $|\arg a| \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \Delta, (\nabla + \mu) > 1, \Delta \geq 0$.

Proof. Substituting (1.1) in the definition of Hankel transform (1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_\gamma\{\psi(ax^\sigma)\} &= \int_0^\infty (\rho x)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\gamma(\rho x) \left[\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s) a^s x^{\sigma s} ds \right] dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s) a^s \left[\int_0^\infty (\rho x)^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\gamma(\rho x) x^{\sigma s} dx \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

With the help of gamma representation to the above integral with the limits from 0 to ∞ gives us

$$H_\gamma\{\psi(ax^\sigma)\} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s) a^s 2^{(\sigma s + \frac{1}{2})} \rho^{-\sigma s - 1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\sigma s}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{3}{4})}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{\sigma s}{2} + \frac{1}{4})} ds$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\rho} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s) \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma s}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{3}{4}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{\sigma s}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right)} \left(\frac{a2^\sigma}{\rho}\right)^s ds.$$

From the representation of (1.2), we have

$$H_\gamma\{\psi(ax^\sigma)\} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\rho} \psi_{p_i+1, q_i+1, r}^{m, n+1} \left[a \left(\frac{2}{\rho}\right)^\sigma \left| \begin{array}{l} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; 1\right), (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, n}, \\ (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji}; A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i}, (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, m}, \\ (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji}; B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i}, \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\gamma}{2}, -\frac{\sigma}{2}, 1\right) \end{array} \right. \right]. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2. Prove that

$$S\{\psi(ax^\sigma)\} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^s}{\mu^{s+1} s!} a^{-\frac{s+1}{\sigma}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma^{B_j} \left(b_j + \beta_j \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma}\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma^{A_j} \left(1 - a_j - \alpha_j \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma}\right)\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^r \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma^{B_{ji}} \left(1 - b_{ji} - \beta_{ji} \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma}\right)\right) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma^{A_{ji}} \left(a_{ji} + \alpha_{ji} \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma}\right)\right) \right]} \quad (2.2)$$

provided

- (a₁) $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > 0,$
- (a₂) $\operatorname{Re} \left(b_j + \beta_j \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma}\right)\right) > 0,$
- (a₃) $\operatorname{Re} \left(1 - a_j - \alpha_j \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma}\right)\right) > 0,$
- (a₄) $\Delta > 0, |\arg a| < \frac{\pi}{2} \Delta,$
- (a₅) $\Delta \geq 0, |\arg z| \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \Delta, (\nabla + \mu) > 1.$

Proof. Using the dual property of Sumudu transform (Belgacem and Karaballi [3]), and Laplace transform (Ricci et al. [16]), we have

$$S\{\psi(ax^\sigma)\} = \frac{1}{\mu} F\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right).$$

Using (1.7), Sumudu transform of Pragathi-Satyanarayan's I -function becomes

$$S\{\psi(ax^\sigma)\} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^s}{\mu^{s+1} s!} a^{-\frac{s+1}{\sigma}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma^{B_j} \left(b_j + \beta_j \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma}\right)\right) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma^{A_j} \left(1 - a_j - \alpha_j \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma}\right)\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^r \left[\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma^{B_{ji}} \left(1 - b_{ji} - \beta_{ji} \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma}\right)\right) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma^{A_{ji}} \left(a_{ji} + \alpha_{ji} \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma}\right)\right) \right]}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.3. Prove that

$$\int_0^\infty x^{\rho-1} K_\eta(ax) \psi(bx^\sigma) dx = 2^{\rho-2} a^{-\rho} \psi_{p_i+2, q_i; r}^{m, n+2} \left[b \left(\frac{2}{a}\right)^\sigma \left| \begin{array}{l} \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{2} - \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; 1\right), \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; 1\right), \\ (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, m}, (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji}; B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i}, \\ (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, n}, (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji}; A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i} \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (2.3)$$

provided

- (a₁) $\sigma > 0, \operatorname{Re}(a) > 0, \mu > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0,$
- (a₂) $\operatorname{Re}(b_j + \beta_j s) > 0,$
- (a₃) $\operatorname{Re}(1 - a_j - \alpha_j s) > 0,$
- (a₄) $|\arg a| < \frac{\pi}{2} \Delta, \Delta > 0,$
- (a₅) $|\arg z| \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \Delta, (\nabla + \mu) > 1 \text{ and } \Delta \geq 0,$
- (a₆) $\eta \in \mathbb{C}.$

Proof. Using the definition of K -transform (1.5) and substituting (1.1) in the left-hand side of the theorem statement, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty x^{\rho-1} K_\eta(ax) \psi(bx^\sigma) dx &= \int_0^\infty x^{\rho-1} K_\gamma(ax) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s) b^s x^{\sigma s} ds \right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s) \left(\int_0^\infty x^{\rho+\sigma s-1} K_\eta(ax) dx \right) b^s ds.\end{aligned}$$

Using the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty x^{\rho-1} K_\eta(ax) dx &= 2^{\rho-2} a^{-\rho} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho \pm \eta}{2}\right) \quad (\text{Mathai and Saxena [12, p. 78]}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s) 2^{\rho+\sigma s-2} a^{-\rho-\sigma s} \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho+\sigma s \pm \eta}{2}\right) b^s ds \\ &= 2^{\rho-2} a^{-\rho} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s) \Gamma\left(\frac{\rho+\sigma s \pm \eta}{2}\right) (ba^{-\sigma} 2^\sigma)^s ds.\end{aligned}$$

From the equation (1.2), we have

$$\int_0^\infty x^{\rho-1} K_\eta(ax) \psi(bx^\sigma) dx = 2^{\rho-2} a^{-\rho} \psi_{p_i+2, q_i; r}^{m, n+2} \left[b \left(\frac{2}{a} \right)^\sigma \left| \begin{array}{l} (1 - \frac{\rho}{2} - \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; 1), (1 - \frac{\rho}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; 1), \\ (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, n}, (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji}; A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i}, \\ (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, m}, (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji}; B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i} \end{array} \right. \right]. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.4. Prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\sigma-1} \psi[ax^\mu (t-x)^\delta] dx \\ = t^{\rho+\sigma-1} \psi_{p_i+2, q_i; r}^{m, n+2} \left[at^{\mu+\delta} \left| \begin{array}{l} (1-\rho, \mu; 1), (1-\sigma, \delta; 1), (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, n}, \\ (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji}; A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i}, (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, m}, \\ (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji}; B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i}, (1-(\rho+\sigma), \mu+\delta; 1) \end{array} \right. \right]\end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

provided

- (a₁) $\sigma > 0, \operatorname{Re}(a) > 0, \mu > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0,$
- (a₂) $\operatorname{Re}(b_j + \beta_j s) > 0,$
- (a₃) $\operatorname{Re}(1 - a_j - \alpha_j s) > 0,$
- (a₄) $|\arg a| < \frac{\pi}{2} \Delta, \Delta > 0,$
- (a₅) $|\arg z| \geq \frac{\pi}{2} \Delta, (\nabla + \mu) > 1 \text{ and } \Delta \geq 0,$
- (a₆) $\eta \in C.$

Proof. Using the definition of Euler-beta transform (1.6) and substituting (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\sigma-1} \psi[ax^\mu (t-x)^\delta] dx \\ = \int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\sigma-1} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s) a^s x^{\mu s} (t-x)^{\delta s} ds \right) dx.\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging,

$$\int_0^t x^{\rho-1} (t-x)^{\sigma-1} \psi[ax^\mu (t-x)^\delta] dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s) \left[\int_0^t x^{\rho+\mu s-1} (t-x)^{\sigma+\delta s-1} dx \right] a^s ds.$$

Applying the formula $\int_0^t x^{m-1}(t-x)^{n-1}dx = t^{m+n-1}\beta(m,n)$, we get

$$\int_0^t x^{\rho-1}(t-x)^{\sigma-1}\psi[ax^\mu(t-x)^\delta]dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_L \phi(s)t^{\rho+\sigma+(\mu+\delta)s-1}\beta(\rho+\mu s, \sigma+\delta s)a^s ds.$$

Using the relation between beta and gamma functions (Jafari [8]), we arrive to

$$\int_0^t x^{\rho-1}(t-x)^{\sigma-1}\psi[ax^\mu(t-x)^\delta]dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} t^{\rho+\sigma-1} \int_L \phi(s) \frac{\Gamma(\rho+\mu s)\Gamma(\sigma+\delta s)}{\Gamma(\rho+\sigma+(\mu+\delta)s)} (at^{\mu+\delta})^s ds.$$

From the equation (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t x^{\rho-1}(t-x)^{\sigma-1}\psi[ax^\mu(t-x)^\delta]dx \\ &= t^{\rho+\sigma-1} \psi_{p_i+2, q_i+1; r}^{m, n+2} \left[at^{\mu+\delta} \left| \begin{matrix} (1-\rho, \mu; 1), (1-\sigma, \delta; 1), (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, n}, \\ (a_{ji}, \alpha_{ji}; A_{ji})_{n+1, p_i}, (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, m}, \\ (b_{ji}, \beta_{ji}; B_{ji})_{m+1, q_i}, (1-(\rho+\sigma), \mu+\delta; 1) \end{matrix} \right. \right]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In the next section, we will investigate the exceptional instances in the form of special cases by assigning various values to different parameters.

3. Special Cases

Corollary 3.1. In Theorem 2.1, if we assume $r = 1$, $\alpha_{ji} = \alpha_j$, $\beta_{ji} = \beta_j$, $A_{ji} = A_j$ and $B_{ji} = B_j$ then the Hankel transform of Pragathi-Satyanarayana I-function (2.1) reduces to the Hankel transform of the one variable I-function specified by Jayarama and Rathie [10] and the result is as follows:

$$H_\gamma\{\psi(ax^\sigma)\} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\rho} I_{p_i+1, q_i+1}^{m, n+1} \left[a \left(\frac{2}{\rho} \right)^\sigma \left| \begin{matrix} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; 1 \right), (a_j, \alpha_j, A_j)_{1, p_i}, \\ (b_j, \beta_j, B_j)_{1, q_i}, \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\gamma}{2}, -\frac{\sigma}{2}; 1 \right) \end{matrix} \right. \right]. \quad (3.1)$$

Corollary 3.2. In Theorem 2.2, if we assume $r = 1$, $\alpha_{ji} = \alpha_j$, $\beta_{ji} = \beta_j$, $A_{ji} = A_j$ and $B_{ji} = B_j$, then the Sumudu transform of Pragathi-Satyanarayana I-function (2.2) reduces to the Sumudu transform of the one variable I-function defined by Jayarama and Rathie [10] and the result is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S\{\psi(ax^\sigma)\} &= S\{I(ax^\sigma)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^s}{\mu^{s+1} s!} a^{-\frac{s+1}{\sigma}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma^{B_j} \left(b_j + \beta_j \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma} \right) \right) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma^{A_j} \left(1 - a_j - \alpha_j \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma} \right) \right)}{\prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma^{B_j} \left(1 - b_j - \beta_j \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma} \right) \right) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma^{A_j} \left(a_j + \alpha_j \left(\frac{s+1}{\sigma} \right) \right)}. \quad (3.2) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.3. In Theorem 2.3, if we assume $r = 1$, $\alpha_{ji} = \alpha_j$, $\beta_{ji} = \beta_j$, $A_{ji} = A_j$ and $B_{ji} = B_j$, then the K-transform of Pragathi-Satyanarayana I-function reduces to the I-function of one variable defined by Jayarama and Rathie [10] as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty x^{\rho-1} K_\eta(ax)\psi(bx^\sigma)dx \\ &= 2^{\rho-2} a^{-\rho} I_{p_i+2, q_i}^{m, n+2} \left[b \left(\frac{2}{a} \right)^\sigma \left| \begin{matrix} \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{2} - \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; 1 \right), \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{2} + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{\sigma}{2}; 1 \right), \\ (a_j, \alpha_j; A_j)_{1, p_i}, (b_j, \beta_j; B_j)_{1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right. \right]. \quad (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.4. In Theorem 2.4, if we assume $r = 1$, $\alpha_{ji} = \alpha_j$, $\beta_{ji} = \beta_j$, $A_{ji} = A_j$ and $B_{ji} = B_j$, then the Euler-beta transform of Pragathi-Satyanarayana I -function reduces to the one variable I -function defined by Jayarama and Rathie [10] as follows:

$$\int_0^t x^{\rho-1}(t-x)^{\sigma-1}\psi[ax^\mu(t-x)^\delta]dx$$

$$= t^{\rho+\sigma-1}I_{p_i+2,q_i+1}^{m,n+2}\left[at^{\mu+\delta}\left|(1-\rho,\mu;1),(1-\sigma,\delta;1),(a_j,\alpha_j;A_j)_{1,p_i},\right.(b_j,\beta_j;B_j)_{1,q_i},(1-(\rho+\sigma),\mu+\delta;1)\right|.\quad (3.4)$$

4. Conclusion

We investigated the effects of applying several integral transforms [8] on Pragathi-Satyanarayana's I -function including Hankel, Sumudu, K , and Euler-beta transforms. At the end, by substituting parameters with specific values, we obtained different integral transforms of I -function defined by Jayarama and Rathie [10] which was already proved to be the generalization of H -function. Based on this one may conclude that Pragathi-Satyanarayana's I -function (Kumar and Satyanarayana [11]) is a generalization of the I -function (Mishra and Vandana [13]) and H -function (Srivastava *et al.* [19]). As a result, this function can lead to a wide range of fascinating results in applied mathematics, physics and in engineering. One can extend and can able to find the integral transforms of two or more variables.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] I. Ali and S. Kalla, A generalized Hankel transform and its use for solving certain partial differential equations, *The Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society Series B Applied Mathematics* **41**(1) (1999), 105 – 117, DOI: 10.1017/S0334270000011061.
- [2] F. Y. Ayant, On transformation involving basic I -function of two variables, *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology* **62**(3) (2018), 158 – 163, DOI: 10.14445/22315373/IJMTT-V62P522.
- [3] F. B. M. Belgacem and A. A. Karaballi, Sumudu transform fundamental properties investigations and applications, *International Journal of Stochastic Analysis* **2006**(1) (2006), 091083, DOI: 10.1155/JAMSA/2006/91083.
- [4] F. B. M. Belgacem, A. A. Karaballi and S. L. Kalla, Analytical investigations of the Sumudu transform and applications to integral production equations, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* **2003**(3) (2003), 103 – 118, DOI: 10.1155/S1024123X03207018.
- [5] M. A. Choudhry, A. Qadir, M. Rafique and S. M. Zubair, Extension of Euler's beta function, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* **78**(1) (1997), 19 – 32, DOI: 10.1016/S0377-0427(96)00102-1.

- [6] C. Fox, The G and H -functions as symmetrical Fourier kernels, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **98** (1961), 395 – 429, DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1961-0131578-3.
- [7] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, 8th edition, Academic Press, (translated from Russian by Scripta Technica, Inc.), xlv + 1133 pages (2015).
- [8] H. Jafari, A new general integral transform for solving integral equations, *Journal of Advanced Research* **32** (2021), 133 – 138, DOI: 10.1016/j.jare.2020.08.016.
- [9] J. A. Jasim, E. A. Kuffi and S. A. Mehdi, A review on the integral transforms, *Journal of University of Anbar for Pure Science* **17**(2) (2023), 273 – 310, DOI: 10.37652/juaps.2023.141302.1090.
- [10] P. Jayarama and A. K. Rathie, A class of definite integrals involving generalized hypergeometric functions, *Publications De L'institut Mathématique* **115**(129) (2024), 157 – 172, DOI: 10.2298/PIM2429157J.
- [11] Y. P. Kumar and B. Satyanarayana, A study of Psi-function, *Journal of Informatics and Mathematical Sciences* **12**(2) (2020), 159 – 171, DOI: 10.26713/jims.v12i2.1340.
- [12] A. M. Mathai and R. K. Saxena, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions with Applications in Statistics and Physical Sciences*, 1st edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg, x + 318 pages (1973), DOI: 10.1007/BFb0060468.
- [13] J. Mishra and Vandana, Some new integral relation of I -function, *Fractal Geometry and Non-linear Analysis in Medicine and Biology* **2**(3) (2016), 1 – 3, DOI: 10.15761/FGNAMB.1000141.
- [14] C. Nasim, On K -transform, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* **4**(3) (1981), 870743, DOI: 10.1155/S0161171281000355.
- [15] A. K. Rathie, A new generalization of generalized hypergeometric functions, *Le Matematiche* **LII**(II) (1997), 297 – 310.
- [16] P. E. Ricci, D. Caratelli and P. Natalini, Laplace transform of analytic composite functions via Bell's polynomials, *Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics* **18**(1) (2024), 229 – 243, URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/27303534>.
- [17] B. Satyanarayana, P. Y. Kumar and B. V. Purnima, On Euler-beta transforms of I -function of two variables, *Bulletin of Pure & Applied Sciences – Mathematics and Statistics* **37E**(1) (2018), 171 – 177, DOI: 10.5958/2320-3226.2018.00017.6.
- [18] S. A. H. Shah, S. Mubeen, G. Rahman and J. A. Younis, Relation of some known functions in terms of generalized Meijer G -functions, *Journal of Mathematics* **2021**(1) (2021), 7032459, DOI: 10.1155/2021/7032459.
- [19] H. M. Srivastava, K. C. Gupta and S. P. Goyal, *The H -functions of One and Two Variables with Applications*, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi – Madras (1982).

