#### **Communications in Mathematics and Applications**

Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 285–294, 2021 ISSN 0975-8607 (online); 0976-5905 (print) Published by RGN Publications





Research Article

# A Fuzzy Soft Set Theoretic Approach in Decision Making of Covid-19 Risk in Different Regions

Anurag Awasthi \* <sup>(D)</sup> and Sudhir Kumar Srivastava <sup>(D)</sup>

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur, India

Received: November 3, 2020

Accepted: March 11, 2021

**Abstract.** In the present paper, we apply the theory of fuzzy soft sets to solve a decision making problem related to Covid-19. We give an example which shows that the method can be successfully applied to the burning problem of Covid-19 that contains uncertainties and find result regarding to the risk of Covid-19 in particular region.

Keywords. Soft set; Fuzzy soft set; Weighted fuzzy soft matrix; Decision making; Covid-19

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 03E72; 90B50; 91B06; 94D05

Copyright © 2021 Anurag Awasthi and Sudhir Kumar Srivastava. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# 1. Introduction

Most of the concept, we observe in daily life are not clear and accurate. Now-a-days mathematical modeling of such problems involving uncertainties is of great importance. To deal with such problems some theories are given e.g. theory of probability, fuzzy set theory [16], theory of rough sets [15], intuitionistic fuzzy set theory [2], theory of vague sets [6] etc. But in recent times these theories are found to be inadequate. Infact, the inadequacy of the parametrization tool in these theories do not allow them to handle vagueness properly. Consequently in 1999 Molodtsov [13] introduced the concept of soft sets and established the fundamental results and successfully applied this theory into several directions such as game theory, generalised Riemann integration, theory of measurement etc. This theory is free from above difficulties.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: anuragawasthi425@gmail.com

In 2001 Maji [9] studied this new theory and initiated some new results. Neog and Sut [14] further redefined complement of soft set and showed that soft sets also satisfy the axioms of exclusion and contradiction. Maji et al. [10] [11] gave first practical application of soft sets in decision making problems They have also introduced the concept of fuzzy soft set [12], a more generalized concept, which is a combination of fuzzy set and soft set and also studied some of its properties. Borah and Neog [3] gave an application of fuzzy soft sets in decision making problem. Whole world is facing the Covid-19 pandemic currently, which is the infectious disease caused by the most recently discovered corona virus. People can catch it from others who have the virus. The disease spread from person to person through small droplets from nose or mouth which are spread when an infected person coughs or exhales. These droplets land on surfaces around the person. Other people then catch it by touching these objects or surfaces, then touching their eyes, nose or mouth. There are some precautions for reducing the chance of infection such as staying aware of the latest information on Covid-19 outbreak, social distancing, using mask, sanitizer etc, staying at home, avoiding market products as well as possible or using them carefully, healthy routine and avoiding travel to hotspot areas of pandemic. In present paper we apply fuzzy soft set theory and construct a weighted matrix [8] of fuzzy soft set for decision making in ranking the risk of Covid-19 in different regions.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 1** ([13]). A pair (F, E) is called a soft set (over U) if and only if F is a mapping of E into the set of all subsets of the set U.

In other words, a soft set over U is a parameterized family of subsets of the universe U. Every set  $F(e), e \in E$ , from this family may be considered as the set of *e*-approximate elements of the soft set (F, E) or as the set of *e*-elements of the soft set (F, E).

**Definition 2** ([12]). A pair (F, A) is called fuzzy soft set over U where  $F : A \to \widetilde{P}(U)$  is a function from A into  $\widetilde{P}(U)$ ,  $\widetilde{P}(U)$  denotes fuzzy power set of U.

**Definition 3** ([1]). Let U be a universal set and E be the set of attributes. Then (U, E), the set of all fuzzy soft sets on U with attributes from E, is called fuzzy soft class.

**Definition 4** ([12]). For two fuzzy soft sets (F, A) and (G, B) in a fuzzy soft class (U, E), (F, A) is said to be fuzzy soft subset of (G, B), if

- (i)  $A \subseteq B$ ;
- (ii)  $e \in A \Rightarrow F(e) \subseteq G(e)$  and is written as  $(F, A) \cong (G, B)$ .

**Definition 5** ([1]). Let (F, A) and (G, B) be two fuzzy soft sets in a soft class (U, E) with  $A \cap B \neq \phi$ . Then intersection of these two fuzzy soft sets in fuzzy soft class (U, E) is a fuzzy soft set (H, C) where  $C = A \cap B$  and  $\forall e \in C$ ,  $H(e) = F(e) \cap G(e)$  and is written as  $(F, A) \cap (G, B) = (H, C)$ . **Definition 6** ([5]). The fuzzy soft set (R, C) of  $(F_i, A_i)$  is called an *n*-ary fuzzy soft relation. Here,  $C \subset A_1 \times A_2 \times \ldots \times A_n \quad \forall \quad (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in A_1 \times A_2 \times \ldots \times A_n, \quad R(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \subset F_1(x_1) \cap F_2(x_2) \cap \ldots \cap F_n(x_n).$ 

**Definition 7** ([9]). Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k\}$  be a set if parameters. The "*not set of* E" denoted by  $\neg E = \{\neg e_1, \neg e_2, \dots, \neg e_k\}$ , where  $\neg e_j$  means not  $e_j \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

**Definition 8** ([7]). The standard fuzzy complement,  $\overline{A}$ , of fuzzy set A with respect to the universal set X is defined for all  $x \in X$  by the equation

$$\bar{A}(x) = 1 - A(x).$$

Since fuzzy complement is not unique, we can define a fuzzy compliment as follows:

Let A be the fuzzy set with respect to the universal set X s.t.  $A(x) > 0 \forall x \in X$  then complement  $A^c$  is given by

$$A^{c}(x) = \frac{\min\{A(x)\}}{A(x)} - \min\left\{\frac{\min\{A(x)\}}{A(x)}\right\}.$$

**Definition 9** ([4]). Let U be a universe, E be a set of parameters with respect to U and  $A \subset E$ . Let  $(F_A, E)$  be a soft set over U. Then a subset  $R_A$  of  $U \times E$ , uniquely defined as  $R_A = \{(u, e) : e \in A, u \in F_A(e)\}$ , is called a relation form of the soft set  $(F_A, E)$ .

The characteristic function  $\chi_{R_A} : U \times E \to \{0, 1\}$ , where  $\chi_{R_A} = 1$  if  $(u, e) \in R_A$  otherwise 0. Now, if  $U = \{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  and  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  then the soft set  $(F_A, E)$  can be represented by a matrix  $[a_{ij}]$  called  $k \times m$  soft matrix of the soft set  $(F_A, E)$  over U, where  $a_{ij} = \chi_{R_A}(u_i, e_j)$ .

**Definition 10** ([8]). Lin in 1996 defined a new theory of mathematical analysis which is "Theory of *W*-soft sets" which means weighted soft sets. Following Lin's style we define the weighted table of fuzzy soft set (*F*,*E*) which have entries  $d_{ij} = c_{ij} \cdot w_j$  instead of  $c_{ij} =$  fuzzy membership grade of  $u_i$  in  $F(e_j)$ .

# 3. Application of Fuzzy Soft Sets in Ranking the Risk of Covid-19 in Diffrent Regions

Consider,  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_m\}$  for some  $m \in N$ , as our universal set.

U being the set of m regions.

Also, consider the set of parameters

 $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\},\$ 

where

 $e_1 =$  transport density = transport/area, $e_2 =$  population density = population/area, $e_3 =$  dependence on market = sale/population, $e_4 =$  lack of awareness, $e_5 =$  active cases of Covid-19, $e_6 =$  low recovery rate, $e_7 =$  high death rate

We construct fuzzy soft sets  $F(e_j)$  for j = 1, 2, ..., 7. So, we require a membership function  $\mu_j$  for each *j*. We denote the membership grade of  $u_i$  in  $F(e_i)$  i.e.  $\mu_i(u_i)$  by  $\mu_{ij}$ .

**For** j = 1: We calculate transport density for each  $u_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m. Then membership  $\mu_{i1}$  of  $u_i$ in  $F(e_1)$  is given by

 $\mu_{i1} = \frac{\text{transport density in } u_i}{\max_i \{\text{transport density in } u_i\}}.$ 

Thus, we have

 $F(e_1) = \{(u_i, \mu_{i1}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$ 

**For** j = 2: We calculate population density for each  $u_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m. Then membership  $\mu_{i2}$  of  $u_i$  in  $F(e_2)$  is given by

 $\mu_{i2} = \frac{\text{population density in } u_i}{\max_i \{\text{population density in } u_i\}}.$ 

Thus, we have

$$F(e_2) = \{(u_i, \mu_{i2}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

**For** j = 3: We calculate market dependence (sale/population) for each  $u_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., m. Then membership  $\mu_{i3}$  of  $u_i$  in  $F(e_3)$  is given by

$$\mu_{i3} = \frac{\text{market dependence in } u_i}{\text{max}_i \{\text{market dependence in } u_i\}}$$

Thus, we have

 $F(e_3) = \{(u_i, \mu_{i3}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$ 

**For** j = 4: first we find the membership function for awareness i.e.  $\neg e_4$ . For this we find  $r_{1i}$ ,  $r_{2i}$ ,  $r_{3i}$ ,  $r_{4i}$  for each i = 1, 2, ..., m, defined as following

 $r_{1i} = rac{ ext{sale of news paper}}{ ext{population}}, \qquad r_{2i} = rac{ ext{sale of mask, gloves}}{ ext{population}}, \qquad r_{3i} = rac{ ext{sale of sanitizer}}{ ext{population}}, \\ r_{4i} = rac{ ext{internet data use}}{ ext{population}}, \qquad r_{5i} = ext{litracy percentage}$ 

for each  $u_i$ .

Let

$$\mu_{r_{ki}}' = \frac{r_{ki}}{\max_i \{r_{ki}\}}$$

then membership grade of  $u_i$  in  $F(\neg e_4)$  (say  $\mu'_{i4}$ ) is given as

$$\mu_{i4}' = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{5} \mu_{r_{ki}}'}{5}$$

then membership of  $u_i$  in  $F(e_4)$  is given by

$$\mu_{i4} = \frac{\min_i \{\mu'_{i4}\}}{\mu'_{i4}} - \min\left\{\frac{\min_i \{\mu'_{i4}\}}{\mu'_{i4}}\right\}.$$

The term min  $\left\{\frac{\min_i \{\mu'_{i_4}\}}{\mu'_{i_4}}\right\}$  may be removed here and we get

$$\mu_{i4} = - - - \mu_{i4}'$$

and thus

 $F(e_4) = \{(u_i, \mu_{i4}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$ 

**For** j = 5: Since the number of test in all regions are not same so directly total active cases has no significant role. So we consider the ratio of active cases to the total test in each region. Let r =active cases/test.

We find this ratio r for each day for fixed i and then average  $\bar{r}_i$  for the region  $u_i$  and we do same for each i = 1, 2..., m. Membership of  $u_i$  in  $F(e_5)$  is given by

$$\mu_{i5} = \frac{\bar{r}_i}{\max_i \{\bar{r}_i\}}$$

and thus

$$F(e_5) = \{(u_i, \mu_{i5}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$$

**For** j = 6: First we find membership function for recovery i.e.  $\neg e_6$ .

Let

 $r = \frac{\text{number of recovered in } u_i}{\text{total case in } u_i}$ 

for fixed  $u_i$  we find r of each day and then average  $\bar{r}_i$  then membership grade of  $u_i$  in  $F(\neg e_6)$ (say  $\mu'_{i6}$ ),

$$\mu_{i6}' = \frac{\bar{r}_i}{\max_i \{\bar{r}_i\}}.$$

Now, the membership  $\mu_{i6}$  of each  $u_i$  is

$$\frac{\min_i\{\mu_{i6}'\}}{\mu_{i6}'}$$

thus, we have

$$F(e_6) = \{(u_i, \mu_{i6}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

**For** *j* = 7: Let

 $r - \frac{\text{number of death}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}}$ 

total case in 
$$u_i$$

for fixed  $u_i$  we find r for each day and then average  $\bar{r}_i$  for the region  $u_i$  and we do same for each i = 1, 2, ..., m.

Membership of  $u_i$  in  $F(e_7)$  is given by,

$$\mu_{i7} = \frac{\bar{r}_i}{\max_i \{\bar{r}_i\}}$$

and thus

$$F(e_7) = \{(u_i, \mu_{i7}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

**Decision making:** We construct fuzzy soft matrix  $[c_{ij}]_{m \times 7}$  where  $c_{ij} = \mu_{ij}$  and calculate  $d_i = c_{i1} + c_{i2} + \ldots + c_{i7}$  for each *i*. Now,  $(\{d_i\}, \leq)$  is an ordered set, greater  $d_i$  shows the higher risk in  $u_i$ .

#### 3.1 Weighted Fuzzy Soft Matrix

Further, we are interested in the analysis that every parameter is of same significance or not. Indeed each have different role in present case. To measure the importance of parameters and find more accurate decision we determine the weightage for parameters.

We consider rate of increment " $I_r$ " of Covid cases and the parameter which affects it more, more weightage will be given.

By affection we mean how  $I_r$  varies with respect to a parameter.

Now, first we find  $I_{r_i}$  (rate of increment in total cases of region  $u_i$ .

Consider the region  $u_i$  (*i* fixed) and daily increment in cases:

 $\triangle C_{k+1} = \frac{\text{total cases on } (k+1)\text{th day} - \text{total cases on } k\text{th day}}{k+1}$ 

(Suppose we find for *n* days) 
$$I_{r_i} = \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{n} \triangle C_k}{(n-1)}$$
, we find  $I_{r_i}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, ..., m$ .

Weightage of parameters: consider the weight function  $w : E \to [0,1]$ . Obviously,  $I_r$  is monotonically increasing with respect to the considered parameters, if parameter  $e_j$  makes more variation in  $I_r$ , we give more weightage to it.

**Concept of weightage:** Let the parameter  $e_j$ , j fixed. If we are able to find the slope of curve plotted by taking  $\mu_{ij}$  on X-axis and  $I_r$  on Y-axis, we plot the point  $(\mu_{ij}, I_{r_i})$  and find the slope  $\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{ij}}$ .

Similarly, we find this slope for each j = 1, 2, ..., 7. Now,  $w(e_j) = \frac{\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{ij}}}{\max_j \left\{\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{ij}}\right\}}$ .

**Required slope by given data:** Finding slope by plotting the curve is very tough task and also number of points  $(\mu_{ij}, I_{r_i})$  may not be sufficient.

We find the slope as follows:

$$\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{ij}} = \text{average} \left\{ \frac{I_{r_i} - I_{r_k}}{\mu_{ij} - \mu_{kj}} \right\}$$

for each fixed *j* and *i*, *k*  $\in$  {1,2,...,*m*} s.t. there does not exist  $\mu_{lj} \in [\mu_{ij}, \mu_{ik}]$  in given data.

**Note.** Sometimes it is possible that the slope  $\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{ij}} < 0$ , for some *j*. In this case we define  $\left(\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{ij}}\right)^+ = \min_j \left\{\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{ij}}\right\} + \frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{ij}}$  and replace  $\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{ij}}$  by  $\left(\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{ij}}\right)^+$  in determination of  $w_{e_j}$ .

**Decision making.** We represent the weighted fuzzy soft matrix by  $[a_{ij} = \mu_{ij} \times w(e_j)]_{m \times 7}$ , and find  $d_i = \sum_{j=1}^{7} a_{ij}$ . In this way we get an ordered set  $(\{d_i\}, \leq)$ , which is directly related to our

universal set U i.e. greater the value of  $d_i$  shows the higher risk of virus in  $u_i$  and  $d_i$  gives the relative level of risk.

#### 3.2 Example

Let  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  be set of five regions and  $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5, e_6, e_7\}$  the set of parameters as stated above and fuzzy soft sets  $F(e_j)$  as following:

$$\begin{split} F(e_1) &= \{(u_1, 0.2), (u_2, 0.6), (u_3, 0.8), (u_4, 1), (u_5, 0.7)\}, \\ F(e_2) &= \{(u_1, 0.2), (u_2, 0.3), (u_3, 0.4), (u_4, 1), (u_5, 0.7)\}, \\ F(e_3) &= \{(u_1, 0.1), (u_2, 0.9), (u_3, 1), (u_4, 0.2), (u_5, 0.3)\}, \\ F(e_4) &= \{(u_1, 0.8), (u_2, 0.2), (u_3, 0.9), (u_4, 0.3), (u_5, 1)\}, \\ F(e_5) &= \{(u_1, 0.3), (u_2, 0.5), (u_3, 0.6), (u_4, 0.7), (u_5, 1)\}, \\ F(e_6) &= \{(u_1, 1), (u_2, 0.5), (u_3, 0.3), (u_4, 0.7), (u_5, 0.6)\}, \\ F(e_7) &= \{(u_1, 0.4), (u_2, 0.2), (u_3, 0.5), (u_4, 0.6), (u_5, 1)\}. \end{split}$$

**Matrix Representation of Fuzzy Soft Set** (F, E) **over** U: We construct a  $5 \times 7$  matrix whose entries  $c_{ij}$  = membership of  $u_i$  in  $F(e_j)$  i.e.  $\mu_{ij}$ .

| 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 1   | 0.4 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 0.8 | 0.4 | 1   | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| 1   | 1   | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.6 |
| 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1   | 1   | 0.6 | 1   |

Decision Making: we find the choice value

$$d_i = c_{i1} + c_{i2} + \ldots + c_{i7}$$

corresponding to each  $u_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., 5,

$$d_1 = 3, d_2 = 3.2, d_3 = 4.5, d_4 = 4.5, d_5 = 5.3$$

It follows that the region  $u_5$  is on the heighest risk. Ordering " $\leq$ " of regions with increasing risk is given as follows:

 $u_1 < u_2 < u_3 = u_4 < u_5.$ 

Also, the membership grade (say  $v_i$ ) of region  $u_i$ , in fuzzy set of risky regions, is given by,  $v_i = \frac{d_i}{\max_i \{d_i\}}$ 

i.e.  $v_1 = 0.57$ ,  $v_2 = 0.6$ ,  $v_3 = 0.85$ ,  $v_4 = 0.85$ ,  $v_5 = 1$ 

**Weightage of parameters:** Let the rate of increment " $I_r$ " for  $u_1$ ,  $u_2$ ,  $u_3$ ,  $u_4$ ,  $u_5$  be  $I_{r_1} = 0.65$ ,  $I_{r_2} = 0.7$ ,  $I_{r_3} = 0.8$ ,  $I_{r_4} = 0.95$ ,  $I_{r_5} = 1$ , respectively.

Now, for each j = 1, 2, ..., 7, we find average value of  $\frac{I_{r_i} - I_{r_k}}{\mu_{ij} - \mu_{kj}}$ ,  $i, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  such that  $A = \mu_{lj} \in [\mu_{ij}, \mu_{ik}]$  in given data.

e.g. j = 1, we have  $c_{i1}(= \mu_{i1})$ , write these  $c_{i1}$ 's in increasing order with corresponding  $I_{r_i}$ 's i.e. (0.2, 0.65), (0.6, 0.7), (0.7, 1), (0.8, 0.8), (1, 0.95). Plot these points on *x*-*y* plane and find the slope of line joining each pair of cosecutive points given above.

In this way the slope of line joining (0.2, 0.65) and (0.6, 0.7) = 0.125.

Similarly, for (0.6,0.7) and (0.7,1) slope is 3 and so on. Then, average of these four values  $\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{i1}} = 0.47$ .

Calculating for other values of j we have,

$$\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{i1}} = 0.47, \ \frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{i2}} = 0.5, \ \frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{i3}} = 1, \ \frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{i4}} = 1.35, \ \frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{i5}} = 0.73, \ \frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{i6}} = 0.77, \ \frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{i7}} = 0.69$$

Since the maximum value is 1.35 therefore weightage " $w_j$ " of parameter  $e_j$  is given by  $(\frac{\partial I_r}{\partial \mu_{ij}})/1.35$ , i.e.

 $w_1 = 0.35, w_2 = 0.37, w_3 = 0.74, w_4 = 1, w_5 = 0.54, w_6 = 0.57, w_7 = 0.51$ 

**Weighted Fuzzy Soft Matrix:** We construct the matrix  $[a_{ij} = w_j \times c_{ij}]$  where  $c_{ij} \in$  fuzzy soft matrix  $[c_{ij}]_{5\times7}$ ,

| 0.07  | 0.074 | 0.074 | 0.8 | 0.162 | 0.57  | 0.204] |
|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|--------|
| 0.21  | 0.111 | 0.666 | 0.2 | 0.27  | 0.285 | 0.102  |
| 0.28  | 0.148 | 0.74  | 0.9 | 0.324 | 0.171 | 0.255  |
| 0.35  | 0.37  | 0.148 | 0.3 | 0.378 | 0.399 | 0.306  |
| 0.245 | 0.259 | 0.222 | 1   | 0.54  | 0.342 | 0.51   |

**Decision making:** We find the choice value  $d_i = a_{i1} + a_{i2} + \ldots + a_{i7}$  for each  $u_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 5$ ,

 $d_1 = 1.954, \ d_2 = 1.844, \ d_3 = 2.818, \ d_4 = 2.251, \ d_5 = 3.118$ 

The membership grade " $v_i$ " of  $u_i$  is given by  $\frac{d_i}{3.118}$ . Thus we have the set

 $\{(u_i, v_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, 5\} = \{(u_1, 0.62), (u_2, 0.59), (u_3, 0.9), (u_4, 0.72), (u_5, 1)\}$ 

which gives the rank of risk of Covid-19 pandemic in selected regions.

It follows that the region  $u_5$  is at heighest risk.

We have the following ranking of regions in descending order of Covid-19 risk

 $u_5, u_3, u_4, u_1, u_2.$ 

With membership grade of risk 1, 0.9, 0.72, 0.62 and 0.59 of  $u_5$ ,  $u_3$ ,  $u_4$ ,  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , respectively.

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we give an application of fuzzy soft set by giving the weighted fuzzy soft matrix, which is the combination of fuzzy soft matrix and weighted table of soft set for ranking the risk of Covid-19 and determining the relative level of risk in different regions. Also, we discussed the process of weightage of parameters in this application. It is hoped that our work would help in facing the pandemic and enrich this study in modeling real life problems involving uncertainties.

## Aknowledgement

First author gratefully acknowledged CSIR for financial support in the form of JRF.

#### **Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

- B. Ahmad and A. Kharal, On fuzzy soft sets, *Advances in Fuzzy System* 2009 (2009), Article ID 586507, DOI: 10.1155/2009/586507.
- [2] K.T Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986), 87 96, DOI: 10.1016/S0165-0114(86)80034-3.
- [3] N.K. Borah and T.J. Neog, Gradation of NGO's role in rural development: A fuzzy soft set theoretic approach, International Journal of Computer and Organization Trends 2(4) (2012), 11 – 13, http://www.ijcotjournal.org/archive/ijcot-v2i4p303.
- [4] N. Çağman and S. Enginoğlu, Soft matrix theory and its decision making, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 59 (2010), 3308 – 3314, DOI: 10.1016/j.camwa.2010.03.015.
- [5] A. Chaudhary, K. De and D. Chatterjee, Solution of the decision making problems using fuzzy soft relations, arXiv:1304.7238 (cs), https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1304/1304.7238.pdf.
- [6] W.-L. Gau and D.J. Buehrer, Vague sets, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics* 23(2) (1993), 610 614, DOI: 10.1109/21.229476.
- [7] G.J. Klir and B. Yuan, *Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic Theory and Applications*, (Book) Chapter 1, Section 1.4 and Chapter 3, Article 3.2, https://philpapers.org/rec/KLIFSA-2.
- [8] T.Y. Lin, A set theory for soft computing, a unified view of fuzzy sets via neighborhoods, in Proceeding of 1996 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems, New Orleans, LA, September (1996), 8 – 11, DOI: 10.1109/FUZZY.1996.552338.
- [9] P.K. Maji, R. Biswas and A.R. Roy, Soft set theory, Computers & Mathematics with Applications 45 (2003), 555 – 562, DOI: 10.1016/S0898-1221(03)00016-6.
- [10] P.K. Maji, A neutrosophic soft approach to a decision making problem, Annuals of Fuzzy Mathematics and informatics 3(2) (April 2012), 313 - 319, http://www.afmi.or.kr/papers/ 2012/Vol-03\_No-02/AFMI-3-2(313-319)-J-110718R1/AFMI-3-2(313-319)-J-110718R1.pdf.
- P.K. Maji, A.R. Roy and R. Biswas, An application of soft set in decision making problem, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 44 (2002), 1077 1083, DOI: 10.1016/S0898-1221(02)00216-X.
- [12] P.K. Maji, A.R. Roy and R. Biswas, Fuzzy soft sets, Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics 9(3) (2001), 589 602.
- [13] D.A. Molodtsov, Soft set theory first result, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 37 (1999), 19 31, DOI: 10.1016/S0898-1221(99)00056-5.
- [14] T.J. Neog and D.K. Sut, A new approach to the theory of soft sets, *International Journal of Computer Applications* 32(2) (2011), 1-6, https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10. 1.1.259.1043&rep=rep1&type=pdf.

- [15] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, International Journal of Computer & Information Sciences 11 (1982), 341 356, DOI: 10.1007/BF01001956.
- [16] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965), 338 353, DOI: 10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X.

