



Stability Analysis of a Fractional Order Discrete Anti-Periodic Boundary Value Problem

A. George Maria Selvam^{*} , Mary Jacintha  and R. Dhineshabu 

Department of Mathematics, Sacred Heart College (Autonomous), Tirupattur 635601, Tamil Nadu, India

*Corresponding author: agmshc@gmail.com

Abstract. This article aims at investigating stability properties for a class of discrete fractional equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions of fractional order $\delta \in (3, 4]$. Utilizing contraction mapping principle and fixed point theorem due to Brouwer [2], new criteria for the uniqueness and existence of the solutions are developed and two types of Ulam stability are analyzed. The theoretical outcomes are corroborated with examples.

Keywords. Existence; Ulam stability; Boundary value problem; Caputo fractional difference operator

MSC. 34A08; 34B15; 34K10; 34K20

Received: July 28, 2020

Accepted: November 12, 2020

Copyright © 2021 A. George Maria Selvam, Mary Jacintha and R. Dhineshabu. *This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

1. Introduction

Fractional calculus whose origin dates back to seventeenth century has gained much momentum during the past three decades among mathematicians and researchers as fractional derivatives have greater ability to consider hereditary and memory properties of various processes and materials in relation to integer order derivatives. Derivatives of fractional order, with their non-local property can be applied both in technical and applied sciences. Mathematical modelling has entered an exciting phase primarily due to fractional calculus which is effective in modelling various phenomena often arising in physics, engineering, biology and scientific fields namely synchronization of chaotic systems [19, 36], anomalous diffusion [33], models to analyse the spread and control of diseases [10, 30, 31], models to study the interaction of species in ecology [27], control theory [17], non-linear oscillation of earthquake [29], blood flow problems [34], etc.

Fractional difference equations (FDEs) with fractional order difference and sum operators as primary notions are the discrete counter part of fractional differential equations. Ever since, Kuttner [25] mentioned the fractional order differences in 1956, the theory of difference equations of fractional order has systematically evolved over the years (see [14–16, 23, 26]) and the references therein. The investigation of the qualitative properties of these equations has hit bull's eye recently with scores of publications analyzing the behavior of their solutions (see [7–9, 18, 22]). The research on FDEs extends from the theoretical features of uniqueness and existence of solutions to the analytical and numerical procedures of obtaining solutions. Nevertheless, the area of *boundary value problems* (BVPs) for nonlinear FDEs is open to be explored. The area of interest for researchers in BVP is the existence and uniqueness of solutions. By employing different fixed-point theorems, many interesting results have been developed for the existence of solution to boundary value problems for FDEs (see [3, 6, 24, 28, 32]).

In recent times, researchers have focused their attention on BVPs with anti-periodic boundary conditions which makes up a significant category of BVP. The anti-periodic boundary conditions are quite common in mathematical models of various physical processes namely anti-periodic trigonometric polynomials in the study of interpolation problems, anti-periodic wavelets, ordinary, abstract, partial, and impulsive differential equations and difference equations (see [1, 4, 5, 14]).

In [35], Wang considered an anti-periodic fractional BVP with the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\delta \in (1, 2]$. The study was carried on with the aid of fixed point theorem due to Schauder and contraction mapping principle.

In [11], Cernea investigated an anti-periodic fractional BVP with the Caputo fractional derivative of order $\delta \in (2, 3]$. New results were obtained by applying suitable fixed point theorems.

Motivated by [11, 20, 35], existence and uniqueness criteria are established for the discrete fractional BVP given below

$$\begin{cases} {}^C\Delta^\delta x(\ell) = \Psi(\ell + \delta - 1, x(\ell + \delta - 1)), \ell \in [0, e + 3]_{\mathbb{N}_0}, \\ x(\delta - 4) = -x(\delta + e), \quad \Delta x(\delta - 4) = -\Delta x(\delta + e), \\ \Delta^2 x(\delta - 4) = -\Delta^2 x(\delta + e), \quad \Delta^3 x(\delta - 4) = -\Delta^3 x(\delta + e), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Psi : [\delta - 3, \delta + e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-3}} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function which is continuous and ${}^C\Delta^\delta$ is the Caputo fractional difference operator of order $3 < \delta \leq 4$.

2. Preliminaries

The subsequent definitions, notations and properties of fractional order sum and difference operators are essential to prove the main results.

The falling factorial for any $\ell \geq 0$ is

$$r^{(\ell)} = \frac{\Gamma(r + 1)}{\Gamma(r + 1 - \ell)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.1 (See [13, 21]). The δ th fractional sum of a function Ψ is defined by

$$\Delta^{-\delta}\Psi(\ell) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=a}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r), \quad (2.2)$$

for $\ell \in \{a + \delta, a + \delta + 1, \dots\} := \mathbb{N}_{a+\delta}$.

Definition 2.2 (Ssee [13,20]). Let $\delta > 0$ and $n-1 < \delta \leq n$. Set $\sigma = n-\delta$. The δ^{th} Caputo fractional difference operator is defined as

$${}^C \Delta^\delta \Phi(\ell) = \Delta^{-\sigma} (\Delta^n \Phi(\ell)) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\sigma)} \sum_{r=a}^{\ell-\sigma} (\ell-r-1)^{(\sigma-1)} \Delta^n \Phi(r), \tag{2.3}$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{a+\sigma}$, where $n = \lceil \delta \rceil$, $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ ceiling of number.

Lemma 2.3 ([13, 20]). Suppose that $\delta > 0$ and Φ is defined on \mathbb{N}_a . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{-\delta} {}^C \Delta^\delta \Phi(\ell) &= \Phi(\ell) - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{(\ell-a)^{(j)}}{j!} \Delta^j \Phi(a) \\ &= \Phi(\ell) + C_0 + C_1 \ell + \dots + C_{n-1} \ell^{(n-1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

for all $C_i \in \mathbb{R}$, where $0 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\delta > 0$. Then the following identities hold [20].

- (1) $\sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} = \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\Gamma(\ell+1)}{\Gamma(\ell-\delta+1)}$.
- (2) $\sum_{r=0}^e (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} = \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{\Gamma(e+1)}$.
- (3) $\sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-2)} = \frac{1}{(\delta-1)} \frac{\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{\Gamma(e+2)}$.
- (4) $\sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-3)} = \frac{1}{(\delta-2)} \frac{\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{\Gamma(e+3)}$.
- (5) $\sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-4)} = \frac{1}{(\delta-3)} \frac{\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{\Gamma(e+4)}$.

3. Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

The criteria for the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a discrete fractional anti-periodic boundary value problem (FABVP) (1.1) is established in this section, with the condition that the solution exists.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\Psi : [\delta-3, \delta+e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-3}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $3 < \delta \leq 4$ be given. A function $x(\ell)$ is a solution of the discrete FABVP of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^C \Delta^\delta x(\ell) = \Psi(\ell + \delta - 1), \ell \in [0, e+3]_{\mathbb{N}_0}, \\ x(\delta-4) = -x(\delta+e), \quad \Delta x(\delta-4) = -\Delta x(\delta+e), \\ \Delta^2 x(\delta-4) = -\Delta^2 x(\delta+e), \Delta^3 x(\delta-4) = -\Delta^3 x(\delta+e), \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

if and only if $x(\ell)$, for $\ell \in [\delta-4, \delta+e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} x(\ell) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r+\delta-1) - \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^e (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r+\delta-1) \\ &+ \frac{P(\ell)}{4\Gamma(\delta-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-2)} \Psi(r+\delta-1) - \frac{Q(\ell)}{8\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-3)} \Psi(r+\delta-1) \\ &- \frac{R(\ell)}{48\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-4)} \Psi(r+\delta-1), \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P(\ell) &= 2(\delta - \ell) + e - 4, \\ Q(\ell) &= [2(\delta^2 + \ell^2) + \delta(2e - 6 - 4\ell) - e(2\ell + 7) + (6\ell - 4)], \\ R(\ell) &= \delta^2[12(1 + \ell) - 6e] + \delta[12e(\ell + 3) - 12\ell(\ell + 2) + 40] \\ &\quad - e[6\ell^2 + 4(1 + 9\ell)] + e^2(e + 12) + 4(\ell^3 - \delta^3) + \ell(12\ell - 40) - 48. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Suppose that $x(\ell)$ defined on $[\delta - 4, \delta + e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}$ is a solution of (3.1). In line with Lemma 2.3, a general solution for (3.1) is as given below

$$x(\ell) = \Delta^{-\delta}\Psi(\ell + \delta - 1) - C_0 - C_1\ell - C_2\ell^{(2)} - C_3\ell^{(3)}, \quad \ell \in [\delta - 4, \delta + e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}$$

where $C_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 3$. Then by Definition 2.1, we obtain

$$x(\ell) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1) - C_0 - C_1\ell - C_2\ell^{(2)} - C_3\ell^{(3)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Now taking Δ , Δ^2 and Δ^3 operator on either sides of (3.4), leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta x(\ell) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta+1} (\ell - r - 1)^{(\delta-2)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1) - C_1 - 2C_2\ell - 3C_3\ell(\ell - 1), \\ \Delta^2 x(\ell) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta+2} (\ell - r - 1)^{(\delta-3)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1) - 2C_2 - 6C_3\ell, \\ \Delta^3 x(\ell) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta+3} (\ell - r - 1)^{(\delta-4)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1) - 6C_3. \end{aligned}$$

The values of C_i for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ are obtained by considering the boundary conditions as given in (1.1). So in view of boundary conditions $x(\delta - 4) = -x(\delta + e)$, $\Delta x(\delta - 4) = -\Delta x(\delta + e)$, $\Delta^2 x(\delta - 4) = -\Delta^2 x(\delta + e)$ and $\Delta^3 x(\delta - 4) = -\Delta^3 x(\delta + e)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 &= \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^e (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1) - \frac{U}{4\Gamma(\delta-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-2)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1) \\ &\quad + \frac{V}{8\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-3)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1) + \frac{W}{48\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-4)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1), \\ C_1 &= \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\delta-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-2)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1) - \frac{[2\delta - 4 + e]}{4\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-3)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1) \\ &\quad - \frac{[2\delta(3 - e - \delta) + 7e + 4]}{8\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-4)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1), \\ C_2 &= \frac{1}{4\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-3)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1) - \frac{[2\delta - 4 + e]}{8\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-4)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1), \\ C_3 &= \frac{1}{12\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-4)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $U = [2\delta + e - 4]$, $V = [2\delta(\delta + e - 3) - (7e + 4)]$ and $W = [6\delta^2(2 - e) + 4\delta(10 + 9e) - 4\delta^3 + e(e^2 + 12e - 4) - 48]$. Now considering C_i for $0 \leq i \leq 3$ in $x(\ell)$ brings us to,

$$x(\ell) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1) - \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^e (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{P(\ell)}{4\Gamma(\delta-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-2)} \Psi(r+\delta-1) - \frac{Q(\ell)}{8\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-3)} \Psi(r+\delta-1) \\
 & - \frac{R(\ell)}{48\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-4)} \Psi(r+\delta-1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $P(\ell)$, $Q(\ell)$ and $R(\ell)$ are defined in (3.3). Conversely, if (3.2) is a solution, it is clear that the solution satisfies the discrete FABVP (3.1). The proof is completed. Let \mathbb{E} be the set of all real sequences $x = \{x(\ell)\}_{\ell=\delta-4}^{\delta+e}$ with norm $\|x\| = \sup |x(\ell)|$ for $\ell \in [\delta-4, \delta+e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}$. Then \mathbb{E} is a Banach space. □

Now we define the following operator,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Tx)(\ell) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1)) \\
 & - \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^e (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1)) \\
 & + \frac{P(\ell)}{4\Gamma(\delta-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-2)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1)) \\
 & - \frac{Q(\ell)}{8\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-3)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1)) \\
 & - \frac{R(\ell)}{48\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-4)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1)), \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\ell \in [\delta-4, \delta+e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}$. Obviously, $x(\ell)$ is a solution of (1.1) if it is a fixed point of the operator T .

We consider the following hypotheses:

- (H₁) There exists a constant $\Omega > 0$ such that $|\Psi(\ell, x) - \Psi(\ell, y)| \leq \Omega|x - y|$ for each $\ell \in [\delta-4, \delta+e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{E}$.
- (H₂) There exists a bounded function $\Phi : [\delta-4, \delta+e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\Psi(\ell, x)| \leq \Phi(\ell)|x|$ for all $x \in \mathbb{E}$.
- (H₃) For a non decreasing function $\varphi \in [\delta-4, \delta+e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\epsilon}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell-r-1)^{\delta-1} \varphi(r+\delta-1) \leq \lambda \epsilon \varphi(\ell+\delta-1), \quad \ell \in [0, e+3]_{\mathbb{N}_0}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *If the hypothesis (H₁) holds, then the discrete FABVP (1.1) has a unique solution in \mathbb{E} provided that*

$$\Omega\beta \frac{\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{2\Gamma(\delta-2)\Gamma(e+1)} < 1, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\beta = \left[\frac{3}{\delta^{(3)}} + \frac{e+4}{2(\delta-1)^{(2)}(e+1)} + \frac{e+4}{4(\delta-2)(e+1)(e+2)} + \frac{\xi}{24(e+1)(e+2)(e+3)} \right]$
 such that $\xi = e(e^2 + 12e + 44) + 48$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{E}$; then for each $\ell \in [\delta - 4, \delta + e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(Tx)(\ell) - (Ty)(\ell)| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} |\Psi(r + \delta - 1, x(r + \delta - 1)) - \Psi(r + \delta - 1, y(r + \delta - 1))| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^e (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} |\Psi(r + \delta - 1, x(r + \delta - 1)) - \Psi(r + \delta - 1, y(r + \delta - 1))| \\ & \quad + \frac{|P(\ell)|}{4\Gamma(\delta - 1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-2)} |\Psi(r + \delta - 1, x(r + \delta - 1)) - \Psi(r + \delta - 1, y(r + \delta - 1))| \\ & \quad + \frac{|Q(\ell)|}{8\Gamma(\delta - 2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-3)} |\Psi(r + \delta - 1, x(r + \delta - 1)) - \Psi(r + \delta - 1, y(r + \delta - 1))| \\ & \quad + \frac{|R(\ell)|}{48\Gamma(\delta - 3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-4)} |\Psi(r + \delta - 1, x(r + \delta - 1)) - \Psi(r + \delta - 1, y(r + \delta - 1))|. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption (H_1) and with the help of Lemma 2.4, the above inequality becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\| & \leq \Omega \left[\frac{\Gamma(\ell + 1)}{\Gamma(\delta + 1)\Gamma(\ell + 1 - \delta)} + \frac{\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{2\Gamma(\delta + 1)\Gamma(e + 1)} + \frac{|P(\ell)|\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{4\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(e + 2)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{|Q(\ell)|\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{8\Gamma(\delta - 1)\Gamma(e + 3)} + \frac{|R(\ell)|\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{48\Gamma(\delta - 2)\Gamma(e + 4)} \right] \|x - y\| \\ & \leq \Omega \left[\frac{3\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{2\Gamma(\delta + 1)\Gamma(e + 1)} + \frac{(e + 4)\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{4\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(e + 2)} + \frac{(e + 4)\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{8\Gamma(\delta - 1)\Gamma(e + 3)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\xi\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{48\Gamma(\delta - 2)\Gamma(e + 4)} \right] \|x - y\| \\ \|Tx - Ty\| & \leq \Omega \left[\beta \frac{\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{2\Gamma(\delta - 2)\Gamma(e + 1)} \right] \|x - y\|, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that T is a contraction. Hence from Banach fixed point theorem T has a unique fixed point which is the unique solution of the discrete FABVP (1.1). \square

Theorem 3.3. *The discrete FABVP (1.1) has at least one solution under the hypothesis (H_2) and the inequality*

$$\Gamma(\delta + e + 1) \leq \frac{2}{\omega\Phi^*}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\omega = \left[\frac{3}{\Gamma(\delta + 1)\Gamma(e + 1)} + \frac{e + 4}{2\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(e + 2)} + \frac{e + 4}{4\Gamma(\delta - 1)\Gamma(e + 3)} + \frac{\xi}{24\Gamma(\delta - 2)\Gamma(e + 4)} \right]$

such that $\xi = e(e^2 + 12e + 44) + 48$ and $\Phi^* = \max\{\Phi(\ell) : \ell \in [\delta - 4, \delta + e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}\}$.

Proof. Let $M > 0$ and define the set $S = \{x(\ell) : [\delta - 4, \delta + e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \|x\| \leq M\}$. To prove this theorem, we only need to show that T maps S into S . For $x(\ell) \in S$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tx)(\ell)| & \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} |\Psi(r + \delta - 1, x(r + \delta - 1))| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^e (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} |\Psi(r + \delta - 1, x(r + \delta - 1))| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{|P(\ell)|}{4\Gamma(\delta-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-2)} |\Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1))| \\
 & + \frac{|Q(\ell)|}{8\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-3)} |\Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1))| \\
 & + \frac{|R(\ell)|}{48\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-4)} |\Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1))| \\
 |(Tx)(\ell)| \leq & \frac{\Phi(r)}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} |x(r+\delta-1)| \\
 & + \frac{\Phi(r)}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^e (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} |x(r+\delta-1)| \\
 & + \frac{\Phi(r)|P(\ell)|}{4\Gamma(\delta-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-2)} |x(r+\delta-1)| \\
 & + \frac{\Phi(r)|Q(\ell)|}{8\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-3)} |x(r+\delta-1)| \\
 & + \frac{\Phi(r)|R(\ell)|}{48\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-4)} |x(r+\delta-1)|. \tag{3.8}
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.4, the inequality (3.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|Tx\| & \leq \frac{\Phi(r)\|x\|}{\Gamma(\delta)} \frac{\Gamma(\ell+1)}{\delta\Gamma(\ell+1-\delta)} + \frac{\Phi(r)\|x\|}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \frac{\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{\delta\Gamma(e+1)} + \frac{|P(\ell)|\Phi(r)\|x\|}{4\Gamma(\delta-1)} \frac{\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{(\delta-1)\Gamma(e+2)} \\
 & + \frac{|Q(\ell)|\Phi(r)\|x\|}{8\Gamma(\delta-2)} \frac{\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{(\delta-2)\Gamma(e+3)} + \frac{|R(\ell)|\Phi(r)\|x\|}{48\Gamma(\delta-3)} \frac{\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{(\delta-3)\Gamma(e+4)} \\
 \|Tx\| & \leq \left[\frac{3\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{2\Gamma(\delta+1)\Gamma(e+1)} + \frac{(e+4)\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{4\Gamma(\delta)\Gamma(e+2)} + \frac{(e+4)\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{8\Gamma(\delta-1)\Gamma(e+3)} + \frac{\xi\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{48\Gamma(\delta-2)\Gamma(e+4)} \right] \Phi^* \|x\|, \\
 \|Tx\| & \leq \left[\omega \frac{\Gamma(\delta+e+1)}{2} \right] \Phi^* \|x\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.7), we have $\|Tx\| \leq M$ implying that T maps S in S . Thus T has at least one fixed point, which is a solution of the FABVP (1.1) according to Brouwer fixed-point theorem [2] which completes the proof. □

4. The Ulam Stability

Stability analysis is discussed for the discrete FABVP (1.1) in this section. The following definitions for FDE are given on the basis of ([13, 20]).

Definition 4.1 ([13, 20]). The nonlinear discrete FABVP (1.1) is Hyers-Ulam stable if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a constant $\mathcal{K} > 0$ and for every solution $y \in \mathbb{E}$ of

$$\left| {}^C\Delta^\delta y(\ell) - \Psi(\ell+\delta-1, y(\ell+\delta-1)) \right| \leq \epsilon, \quad \ell \in [0, e+3]_{\mathbb{N}_0}, \tag{4.1}$$

there exists a solution $x \in \mathbb{E}$ of (1.1) such that

$$|y(\ell) - x(\ell)| \leq \mathcal{K}\epsilon, \quad \ell \in [\delta-4, \delta+e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Definition 4.2 ([13, 20]). The nonlinear discrete FABVP (1.1) is Hyers-Ulam Rasias stable if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a constant $\mathcal{K}_1 > 0$ and for every solution $y \in \mathbb{E}$ of

$$\left| {}^C \Delta^\delta y(\ell) - \Psi(\ell + \delta - 1, y(\ell + \delta - 1)) \right| \leq \varphi(\ell + \delta - 1)\epsilon, \quad \ell \in [0, e + 3]_{\mathbb{N}_0}, \quad (4.3)$$

there exists a solution $x \in \mathbb{E}$ of (1.1) such that

$$|y(\ell) - x(\ell)| \leq \mathcal{K}_1 \epsilon \varphi(\ell + \delta - 1), \quad \ell \in [\delta - 4, \delta + e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Remark 4.3. A function $y \in \mathbb{E}$ is a solution of (4.1) if and only if there exists a function $g : [\delta - 4, \delta + e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(i) |g(\ell + \delta - 1)| \leq \epsilon, \text{ for } \ell \in [0, e + 3]_{\mathbb{N}_0},$$

$$(ii) {}^C \Delta^\delta y(\ell) = \Psi(\ell + \delta - 1, y(\ell + \delta - 1)) + g(\ell + \delta - 1), \text{ for } \ell \in [0, e + 3]_{\mathbb{N}_0}.$$

A similar remark is true for inequality (4.3).

Theorem 4.4. Assume that (H_1) holds. Let $y \in \mathbb{E}$ be a solution of inequality (4.1) and let $x \in \mathbb{E}$ be a solution of the discrete FABVP (1.1). Then, BVP (1.1) is the Ulam-Hyers stable provided that

$$\Omega < \frac{2\Gamma(\delta - 2)\Gamma(e + 1)}{\beta\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}, \quad (4.5)$$

where β is defined in Theorem 3.2.

Proof. From inequality (4.1) and Remark 4.3, for $\ell \in [\delta - 4, \delta + e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| y(\ell) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1, y(r + \delta - 1)) \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^e (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1, y(r + \delta - 1)) \\ & \quad - \frac{P(\ell)}{4\Gamma(\delta - 1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-2)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1, y(r + \delta - 1)) \\ & \quad + \frac{Q(\ell)}{8\Gamma(\delta - 2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-3)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1, y(r + \delta - 1)) \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{R(\ell)}{48\Gamma(\delta - 3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-4)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1, y(r + \delta - 1)) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{\Gamma(\delta + 1)\Gamma(e + 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Combining (3.2) and (4.6), for $\ell \in [\delta - 4, \delta + e]_{\mathbb{N}_{\delta-4}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y(\ell) - x(\ell)| & \leq \left| y(\ell) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1, x(r + \delta - 1)) \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^e (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1, x(r + \delta - 1)) \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{P(\ell)}{4\Gamma(\delta - 1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta + e - r - 1)^{(\delta-2)} \Psi(r + \delta - 1, x(r + \delta - 1)) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{Q(\ell)}{8\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-3)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1)) \\
 & + \frac{R(\ell)}{48\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-4)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1)) \Big| \\
 \leq & \left| y(\ell) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, y(r+\delta-1)) \right. \\
 & + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^e (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, y(r+\delta-1)) \\
 & - \frac{P(\ell)}{4\Gamma(\delta-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-2)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, y(r+\delta-1)) \\
 & + \frac{Q(\ell)}{8\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-3)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, y(r+\delta-1)) \\
 & + \left. \frac{R(\ell)}{48\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-4)} \Psi(r+\delta-1, y(r+\delta-1)) \right| \\
 & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-\delta} (\ell-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} |\Psi(r+\delta-1, y(r+\delta-1)) - \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1))| \\
 & + \frac{1}{2\Gamma(\delta)} \sum_{r=0}^e (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-1)} |\Psi(r+\delta-1, y(r+\delta-1)) - \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1))| \\
 & + \frac{|P(\ell)|}{4\Gamma(\delta-1)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+1} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-2)} |\Psi(r+\delta-1, y(r+\delta-1)) - \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1))| \\
 & + \frac{|Q(\ell)|}{8\Gamma(\delta-2)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+2} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-3)} |\Psi(r+\delta-1, y(r+\delta-1)) - \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1))| \\
 & + \frac{|R(\ell)|}{48\Gamma(\delta-3)} \sum_{r=0}^{e+3} (\delta+e-r-1)^{(\delta-4)} |\Psi(r+\delta-1, y(r+\delta-1)) - \Psi(r+\delta-1, x(r+\delta-1))|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Solving the above inequality with the aid of Lemma 2.4 and assumption (H₁), we obtain

$$\|y - x\| \leq \mathcal{K}c,$$

where $\mathcal{K} = \frac{\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{\delta^{(3)} \left[\Gamma(\delta - 2)\Gamma(e + 1) - \Omega\beta \frac{\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{2} \right]} > 0$. Thus, a discrete FABVP (1.1) is Ulam-Hyers stable. □

Theorem 4.5. Assume that (H₁) and (H₃) hold. Let $y \in \mathbb{E}$ be a solution of inequality (4.3) and let $x \in \mathbb{E}$ be a solution of (1.1). Then a discrete FABVP (1.1) is Hyers-Ulam-Rasias stable provided that (4.5) holds.

Proof. Using the argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.4, we get

$$\|y - x\| \leq \mathcal{K}_1 \epsilon \varphi(\ell + \delta - 1),$$

where $\mathcal{K}_1 = \frac{\lambda\Gamma(\delta - 2)\Gamma(e + 1)}{\Gamma(\delta - 2)\Gamma(e + 1) - \Omega\beta \frac{\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{2}} > 0$ such that β is defined in Theorem 3.2. Thus a discrete FABVP (1.1) is Ulam-Hyers-Rasias stable. □

5. Examples

We consider the following examples to demonstrate our theoretical findings.

Example 5.1. Suppose that $\delta = \frac{7}{2}$ and $e = 3$. Let $\Psi(\ell, x) = \frac{\cos(x(\ell))}{1000+\ell^2}$ and $\Omega = \frac{1}{1000}$. Then discrete FABVP (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} C \Delta^{\frac{7}{2}} x(\ell) = \frac{\cos(x(\ell+\frac{5}{2}))}{1000+(\ell+\frac{5}{2})^2}, & \ell \in [0, 6]_{\mathbb{N}_0}, \\ x(-\frac{1}{2}) = -x(\frac{13}{2}), & \Delta x(-\frac{1}{2}) = -\Delta x(\frac{13}{2}), \\ \Delta^2 x(-\frac{1}{2}) = -\Delta^2 x(\frac{13}{2}), & \Delta^3 x(-\frac{1}{2}) = -\Delta^3 x(\frac{13}{2}). \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

In this case, inequality (3.6) is

$$\Omega \beta \frac{\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)}{2\Gamma(\delta - 2)\Gamma(e + 1)} \leq 0.1108 < 1.$$

Therefore, from Theorem 3.2, we conclude that boundary value problem (5.1) has a unique solution.

Example 5.2. Suppose that $\delta = \frac{18}{5}$, $e = 2$ and $M = 1000$ with $\Psi(\ell, x) = \frac{1}{27} \ell e^{-\frac{x^2(\ell)}{10}}$. Then discrete FABVP (1.1) takes the form

$$\begin{cases} C \Delta^{\frac{18}{5}} x(\ell) = \frac{1}{27} (\ell + \frac{13}{5}) e^{-\frac{x^2(\ell+\frac{13}{5})}{10}}, & \ell \in [0, 5]_{\mathbb{N}_0}, \\ x(-\frac{2}{5}) = -x(\frac{28}{5}), & \Delta x(-\frac{2}{5}) = -\Delta x(\frac{28}{5}), \\ \Delta^2 x(-\frac{2}{5}) = -\Delta^2 x(\frac{28}{5}), & \Delta^3 x(-\frac{2}{5}) = -\Delta^3 x(\frac{28}{5}). \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

The Banach space is $\mathbb{E} := \left\{ x(\ell) \mid [-\frac{2}{5}, \frac{28}{5}]_{\mathbb{N}_{-\frac{2}{5}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \|x\| \leq 1000 \right\}$. We note that

$$\frac{2M}{\omega\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)} \approx 15.8987.$$

It is clear that $|\Psi(\ell, x)| \leq \frac{28}{135} < 15.8987$, whenever $x \in [-1000, 1000]$. Therefore by Theorem 3.3, we conclude that the boundary value problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

Example 5.3. Suppose that $\delta = \frac{16}{5}$, $e = 1$. Let $\Psi(\ell, x) = \frac{x(\ell)}{39+\ell^2}$ and $\Omega = \frac{1}{39}$. Then discrete FABVP (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} C \Delta^{\frac{16}{5}} x(\ell) = \frac{x(\ell+\frac{11}{5})}{39+(\ell+\frac{11}{5})^2}, & \ell \in [0, 4]_{\mathbb{N}_0}, \\ x(-\frac{4}{5}) = -x(\frac{21}{5}), & \Delta x(-\frac{4}{5}) = -\Delta x(\frac{21}{5}), \\ \Delta^2 x(-\frac{4}{5}) = -\Delta^2 x(\frac{21}{5}), & \Delta^3 x(-\frac{4}{5}) = -\Delta^3 x(\frac{21}{5}). \end{cases} \quad (5.3)$$

Since

$$\frac{2\Gamma(\delta - 2)\Gamma(e + 1)}{\beta\Gamma(\delta + e + 1)} \approx 0.0476.$$

If $\Omega = 0.0256 < 0.0476$ and the inequality

$$\left| C \Delta^{\frac{16}{5}} y(\ell) - \Psi\left(\ell + \frac{16}{5}, y\left(\ell + \frac{16}{5}\right)\right) \right| \leq \epsilon, \quad \ell \in [0, 4]_{\mathbb{N}_0},$$

hold, then (5.3) is the Ulam-Hyers stable by Theorem 4.4.

6. Conclusion

The authors, in this article, considered a class of discrete fractional equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions with fractional order $3 < \delta \leq 4$. Models involving design and manufacturing process in modern technology need construction and analysis of boundary value problems. By means of contraction mapping principle and fixed-point theorem due to Brouwer [2], new criteria for the existence and uniqueness of the solutions are established, followed by the analysis of Hyers-Ulam stability and Hyers-Ulam Rasias stability of the problem. Appropriate examples are chosen to authenticate the main theoretical findings.

Competing Interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

All the authors contributed significantly in writing this article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, A. Cabada, V. Otero-Espinar and S. Dontha, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for anti-periodic difference equations, *Archives of Inequalities and Applications* **2**(4) (2004), 397-411, <https://zbmath.org/?q=an%3A1087.39001>.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, M. Meehan and D. O'Regan, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, Vol. **141** of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK (2001), DOI: 10.1017/CBO9780511543005.
- [3] A. Bashir, P. Karthikeyan and K. Buvaneswari, Fractional differential equations with coupled slit-strips type integral boundary conditions, *AIMS Mathematics* **4**(6) (2019), 1596 – 1609, DOI: 10.3934/math.2019.6.1596.
- [4] B. Ahmad and J. J. Nieto, Existence and approximation of solutions for a class of nonlinear impulsive functional differential equations with anti-periodic boundary conditions, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications* **69**(10) (2008), 3291 – 3298, DOI: 10.1016/j.na.2007.09.018.
- [5] A. Alsaedi, S. Sivasundaram and B. Ahmad, On the generalization of second order nonlinear anti-periodic boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Studies* **16**(4) (2009), 415 – 420, <http://www.nonlinearstudies.com/index.php/nonlinear/article/view/246>.
- [6] A. Seemab, M. Rehman, J. Alzabut and A. Hamdi, On the existence of positive solutions for generalized fractional boundary value problems, *Boundary Value Problem* **2019** (2019), Article number: 186, DOI: 10.1186/s13661-019-01300-8.
- [7] F. M. Atici and P. W. Eloe, A transform method in discrete fractional calculus, *International Journal of Difference Equations* **2**(2) (2007), 165 – 176, <https://campus.mst.edu/ijde/contents/v2n2p3.pdf>.
- [8] F. M. Atici and P. W. Eloe, Initial value problems in discrete fractional calculus, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **137**(3) (2008), 981 – 989, DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9939-08-09626-3.
- [9] F. M. Atici and P. W. Eloe, Linear systems of fractional nabla difference equations, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics* **41**(2) (2011), 353 – 370, DOI: 10.1216/rmj-2011-41-2-353.

- [10] A. Carvalho and C. M. A. Pinto, A delay fractional order model for the co-infection of malaria and HIV/AIDS, *International Journal of Dynamics and Control* **5**(1) (2017), 168 – 186, DOI: 10.1007/s40435-016-0224-3.
- [11] A. Cernea, On the existence of solutions for fractional differential inclusions with anti-periodic boundary conditions, *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing* **38**(1-2) (2012), 133 – 143, DOI: 10.1007/s12190-010-0468-6.
- [12] H. L. Chen, Antiperiodic wavelets, *Journal of Computational Mathematics* **1996**(14) (1996), 32 – 39, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/43692620>.
- [13] F. Chen and Y. Zhou, Existence and Ulam stability of solutions for discrete fractional boundary value problem, *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society* **2013** (2013), Article ID 459161, DOI: 10.1155/2013/459161.
- [14] J. F. Cheng and Y. M. Chu, On the fractional difference equations of order $(2, q)$, *Abstract and Applied Analysis* **2011** (2011), Article ID 497259, DOI: 10.1155/2011/497259.
- [15] J. F. Cheng and Y. M. Chu, Fractional difference equations with real variable, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, **2012** (2012), Article ID 918529, DOI: 10.1155/2012/918529.
- [16] J. B. Diaz and T. J. Osler, Differences of fractional order, *Mathematics of Computation* **28**(125) (1974), 185 – 202, DOI: 10.2307/2005825.
- [17] T. Dogruer and L. N. Tan, Lead and lag controller design in fractional-order control systems, *Measurement and Control* **52**(7-8) (2019), 1017 – 1028, DOI: 10.1177/0020294019858094.
- [18] L. Erbe, C. S. Goodrich, B. Jia and A. Peterson, Survey of the qualitative properties of rational difference operators: monotonicity, convexity and asymptotic behavior of solutions, *Advances in Difference Equations* **2016**(2016), Article number: 43, DOI: 10.1186/s13662-016-0760-3.
- [19] M. Faieghi, S. Kuntanapreeda, H. Delavari and D. Baleanu, LMI-based stabilization of a class of fractional-order chaotic systems, *Nonlinear Dynamics* **72**(1-2) (2013), 301 – 309, DOI: 10.1007/s11071-012-0714-6.
- [20] A. G. M. Selvam and R. Dhineshababu, Hyers-Ulam stability results for discrete antiperiodic boundary value problem with fractional order $2 < \delta \leq 3$, *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology* **9**(1) (2019), 4997 – 5003, DOI: 10.35940/ijeat.a2123.109119.
- [21] A. G. M. Selvam and R. Dhineshababu, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for a discrete fractional boundary value problem, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics* **33**(2) (2020), 283 – 295, DOI: 10.12732/ijam.v33i2.7.
- [22] C. S. Goodrich, On a discrete fractional three-point boundary value problem, *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications* **18**(3) (2012), 397 – 415, DOI: 10.1080/10236198.2010.503240.
- [23] C. S. Goodrich and A. C. Peterson, *Discrete Fractional Calculus*, Springer International Publishing, Switzerland (2015), DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-25562-0.
- [24] C. S. Goodrich, Existence and uniqueness of solutions to a fractional difference equation with nonlocal conditions, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **61**(2) (2011), 191 – 202, DOI: 10.1016/j.camwa.2010.10.041.
- [25] B. Kuttner, On differences of fractional order, *Proceeding of the London Mathematical Society* **s3-7**(1) (1957), 453 – 466, DOI: 10.1112/plms/s3-7.1.453.
- [26] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, *An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA (1993), <http://www.gbv.de/dms/ilmenau/toc/122837029.PDF>.

- [27] A. K. Najeeb, A. R. Oyoon, S. P. Mondal and Q. Rubbab, Fractional order ecological system for complexities of interacting species with harvesting threshold in imprecise environment, *Advances in Difference Equations* **2019** (2019), Article number: 405, DOI: 10.1186/s13662-019-2331-x.
- [28] Y. Pan, Z. Han, S. Sun and Z. Huang, The existence and uniqueness of solutions to boundary value problems of fractional difference equations, *Mathematical Sciences* **6** (2012), Article number: 7, 1 – 7, DOI: 10.1186/2251-7456-6-7.
- [29] F. B. Pelap, G. B. Tanekou, C. F. Fogang and R. Kengne, Fractional-order stability analysis of earthquake dynamics, *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering* **15**(4) (2018), 1673 – 1687, DOI: 10.1088/1742-2140/aabe61.
- [30] I. Petras and R. L. Magin, Simulation of drug uptake in a two compartmental fractional model for a biological system, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **16**(12) (2011), 4588 – 4595, DOI: 10.1016/j.cnsns.2011.02.012.
- [31] R. Shi, T. Lu and C. Wang, Dynamic analysis of a fractional-order model for hepatitis B virus with Holling II functional response, *Complexity* **2019** (2019), Article ID 1097201, DOI: 10.1155/2019/1097201.
- [32] T. Sitthiwirattam, J. Tariboon and S. K. Ntouyas, Boundary value problems for fractional difference equations with three-point fractional sum boundary conditions, *Advances in Difference Equations* **2019** (2019), Article ID 1097201, DOI: 10.1186/1687-1847-2013-296.
- [33] I. M. Sokolov, J. Klafter and A. Blumen, Fractional kinetics, *Physics Today* **55**(11) (2002), 48 – 54, DOI: 10.1063/1.1535007.
- [34] F. Song, Z. Yu and H. Yang, Modeling and analysis of fractional neutral disturbance waves in arterial vessels, *Mathematical Modelling and Natural Phenomena* **14**(3) (2019), 301, DOI: 10.1051/mmnp/2018072.
- [35] F. Wang and Z. Liu, Anti-periodic fractional boundary value problems for nonlinear differential equations of fractional order, *Advances in Difference Equations* **2012** (2012), Article number: 116, DOI: 10.1186/1687-1847-2012-116.
- [36] F. Zhang, G. Chen, C. Li and J. Kurths, Chaos synchronization in fractional differential systems, *Philosophical Transactions Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* **371**(1990) (2013), 20120155, DOI: 10.1098/rsta.2012.0155.

