



Spectral Conditions for Composition Operators on Algebras of Functions

J. Johnson and T. Tonev

Abstract. We establish general sufficient conditions for maps between function algebras to be composition or weighted composition operators, which extend previous results in [2, 4, 6, 7]. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $A \subset C(X)$ a dense subalgebra of a function algebra, not necessarily with unit, such that $X = \partial A$ and $p(A) = \delta A$, where ∂A is the Shilov boundary, δA – the Choquet boundary, and $p(A)$ – the set of p -points of A . If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a surjective map onto a function algebra $B \subset C(Y)$ such that either $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg) \subset \sigma_\pi(fg)$ for all $f, g \in A$, or, alternatively, $\sigma_\pi(fg) \subset \sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg)$ for all $f, g \in A$, then there is a homeomorphism $\psi : \delta B \rightarrow \delta A$ and a function α on δB so that $(Tf)(y) = \alpha(y)f(\psi(y))$ for all $f \in A$ and $y \in \delta B$. If, instead, $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg) \cap \sigma_\pi(fg) \neq \emptyset$ for all $f, g \in A$, and either $\sigma_\pi(f) \subset \sigma_\pi(Tf)$ for all $f \in A$, or, alternatively, $\sigma_\pi(Tf) \subset \sigma_\pi(f)$ for all $f \in A$, then $(Tf)(y) = f(\psi(y))$ for all $f \in A$ and $y \in \delta B$. In particular, if A and B are uniform algebras and $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a surjective map with $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg) \cap \sigma_\pi(fg) \neq \emptyset$ for all $f, g \in A$, that has a limit, say b , at some $a \in A$ with $a^2 = 1$, then $(Tf)(y) = b(y)a(\psi(y))f(\psi(y))$ for every $f \in A$ and $y \in \delta B$.

1. Introduction

Let A be a *function algebra* on a locally compact Hausdorff space, that is, A is an algebra of bounded continuous functions on X , which is closed under the *sup-norm* $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ and strongly separates the points of X , namely, for every $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there is a function $f \in A$ so that $f(x) \neq f(y)$, and for every $x \in X$ there is a function $f \in A$ so that $f(x) \neq 0$. If A is unital, then its maximal ideal space, \mathcal{M}_A , and its Shilov boundary, ∂A , are compact spaces. If A is not unital, then \mathcal{M}_A is a locally compact space and the Gelfand transform \widehat{A} of A is a subset of $C_0(\mathcal{M}_A)$, the space of continuous functions on \mathcal{M}_A that vanish at infinity.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46J10.

Key words and phrases. Uniform algebra; Function algebra; Peripheral spectrum; Composition operator; Algebra isomorphism; Choquet boundary.

The research of the second author was partially supported by grant No. 209762 from the Simons Foundation.

Denote by $\sigma(f)$ the spectrum, by $\sigma_\pi(f) = \{z \in \sigma(f) : |z| = \max_{u \in \sigma(f)} |u|\}$ the peripheral spectrum, and by $E(f) = \{x \in X : |f(x)| = \|f\|\}$ the maximum modulus set of $f \in A$. An $h \in A$ with $\|h\| = 1$ and $|h(x)| < 1$ whenever $h(x) \neq 1$ is said to be a peaking function of A . The set of all peaking functions of A is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(A)$. The set of all peaking functions h of A such that $h(x_0) = 1$ for a fixed $x_0 \in X$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{x_0}(A)$. A point $x \in X$ is called a p -point, or a strong boundary point for A if for every neighborhood V of x there is a peaking function h of B so that $h(x) = 1$ and $E(h) \subset V$. The set of all p -points for A is denoted by $p(A)$. If A is a function algebra then $p(A)$ is a boundary, namely, the Choquet boundary δA of A . If A is not a function algebra, then the set $p(A)$ is, in general, a proper subset of δA . Peaking functions can be utilized to find the values of algebra functions on Choquet boundaries. Namely,

Lemma 1.1 (Strong Multiplicative Bishop's Lemma [7]). *Let $A \subset C(X)$ be a function algebra on $X = \partial A$, not necessarily with unit. If $f \in A$ and $x_0 \in X$ is a p -point of A with $f(x_0) \neq 0$, then there exists a peaking function $h_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{x_0}(A)$ such that*

$$\sigma_\pi(fh_0) = \{f(x_0)\}. \quad (1.1)$$

If U is an open set of X containing x_0 , then h_0 can be chosen so that $E(fh_0) = E(h_0) \subset U$.

Let $A \subset C(X)$ and $B \subset C(Y)$ be function algebras, and let $\psi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping. A map $T: A \rightarrow B$ is called

- (i) a ψ -composition operator on Y if $(Tf)(y) = f(\psi(y))$ for all $f \in A$ and $y \in Y$, and
- (ii) a weighted ψ -composition operator on Y if there is a continuous function α on Y so that $(Tf)(y) = \alpha(y)f(\psi(y))$ for all $f \in A$ and $y \in Y$.

Clearly, composition operators are algebra isomorphisms. Any weighted composition operator $T = \alpha(f \circ \psi)$ is linear, while the operator T/α is linear and multiplicative.

There is considerable interest in finding conditions for maps between algebras of functions to be composition type operators (e.g. [1, 3, 4, 6, 7]). In particular, sufficient conditions for maps between two algebras of functions to be weighted composition operators “in modulus” are given in [7]. Namely,

Theorem 1.2 ([7]). *Let $A \subset C(X)$ and $B \subset C(Y)$ be dense subalgebras of function algebras on $X = \partial A$ and $Y = \partial B$ with $p(A) = \delta A$ and $p(B) = \delta B$. If $T: A \rightarrow B$ is a surjection such that $\|Tf \cdot Tg\| = \|fg\|$ for all $f, g \in A$, then there is a homeomorphism $\psi: p(B) \rightarrow p(A)$ such that*

$$|(Tf)(y)| = |f(\psi(y))| \quad (1.2)$$

for all $f \in A$ and $y \in p(B)$.

In [6] it is shown that if A and B are uniform algebras on compact Hausdorff spaces X and Y respectively, then a necessary and sufficient condition for a surjective unital operator $T : A \rightarrow B$ to be a composition operator on δB is for T to be *peripherally-multiplicative*, i.e. to satisfy the equality $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg) = \sigma_\pi(fg)$ for every $f, g \in A$.

In this paper we establish general sufficient conditions for maps between function algebras to be composition or weighted composition operator, which extend the main results in [2, 4, 6, 7].

2. The main theorems

In [4, Corollary 3] it is shown that if $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a surjective mapping between two uniform algebras such that

$$\sigma_\pi(Tf) = \sigma_\pi(f) \quad (2.1)$$

for every $f \in A$, and either $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg) \subset \sigma_\pi(fg)$ for all $f, g \in A$, or, alternatively, $\sigma_\pi(fg) \subset \sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg)$ for all $f, g \in A$, then T is a composition operator on δB . We show that condition (2.1) is not necessary for arbitrary function algebras.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A \subset C(X)$ and $B \subset C(Y)$ be function algebras, not necessarily with units, where X and Y are locally compact Hausdorff spaces. If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a surjection such that*

$$\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg) \subset \sigma_\pi(fg) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $f, g \in A$, then there exists a homeomorphism $\psi : \delta B \rightarrow \delta A$ and a continuous function α on δB with $\alpha^2 = 1$ such that

$$(Tf)(y) = \alpha(y)f(\psi(y))$$

for every $y \in \delta B$.

Proof. First we show that $(Tf)(y)^2 = f(\psi(y))^2$ for every $f \in A$ and $y \in \delta B$. Let $f \in A$ and $y_0 \in \delta B$. Equality (2.2) implies that $\|Tf \cdot Tg\| = \|fg\|$ for every $f, g \in A$. Let $\psi : \delta B \rightarrow \delta A$ be the homeomorphism from Theorem 1.2, such that $|(Tf)(y)| = |f(\psi(y))|$ for all $y \in \delta B$. Clearly $(Tf)(y_0) = f(\psi(y_0))$ whenever $f(\psi(y_0)) = 0$.

Suppose $f(\psi(y_0)) \neq 0$. If $V \subset \delta B$ is an arbitrary open neighborhood of y_0 in δB , then, clearly, $U = \psi(V)$ is an open neighborhood of $\psi(y_0)$. By Lemma 1.1 there exists a peaking function $h \in \mathcal{P}_{\psi(y_0)}(A)$ with $\sigma_\pi(fh) = \{f(\psi(y_0))\}$ such that $E(fh) = E(h) \subset U$. Denote $k = Th$. Note that $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot k) = \{f(\psi(y_0))\}$ since, by (2.2), $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot k) \subset \sigma_\pi(fh) = \{f(\psi(y_0))\}$. Therefore, there is a point $y_1 \in \delta B$ so that $(Tf \cdot k)(y_1) = f(\psi(y_0))$, i.e. $(Tf)(y_1)k(y_1) = f(\psi(y_0))$. Since, by (1.2), $|f(\psi(y_1))||h(\psi(y_1))| = |(Tf)(y_1)||k(y_1)| = |f(\psi(y_0))|$ and $\sigma_\pi(fh) = \{f(\psi(y_0))\}$, we deduce that the function fh attains the maximum of its modulus at $\psi(y_1)$. Hence $\psi(y_1) \in E(fh) = E(h) \subset U = \psi(V)$, thus $y_1 \in V$.

Since V is an arbitrary neighborhood of y_0 , the continuity of Tf and k implies that $(Tf)(y_0)k(y_0) = f(\psi(y_0))$, and consequently,

$$(Tf)(y_0)^2 k(y_0)^2 = f(\psi(y_0))^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Equality (2.2) implies that $\sigma_\pi(k^2) = \sigma_\pi((Th)^2) \subset \sigma_\pi(h^2) = \{1\}$, and therefore, $\sigma_\pi(k^2) = \{1\}$. Since, by (1.2), $|(Tf)(y_0)| = |f(\psi(y_0))|$ we deduce that $|k^2(y_0)| = 1$, thus $k^2(y_0) \in \sigma_\pi(k^2) = \{1\}$, and therefore, $k(y_0)^2 = 1$. Hence (2.3) becomes $(Tf)(y_0)^2 = f(\psi(y_0))^2$, as claimed.

Consequently, there exists a number $\alpha_f(y_0) = \pm 1$, possibly depending on f , such that

$$(Tf)(y_0) = \alpha_f(y_0)f(\psi(y_0)). \quad (2.4)$$

We claim that, in fact, the number $\alpha_f(y_0)$ does not depend on $f \in A$. First we show that $\alpha_h(y_0)$ has the same value for all peaking functions h in $\mathcal{P}_{\psi(y_0)}(A)$. Indeed, if $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{\psi(y_0)}(A)$, then, by (2.2), $\sigma_\pi(Th_1 \cdot Th_2) \subset \sigma_\pi(h_1 h_2) = \{1\}$, and therefore, $\sigma_\pi(Th_1 \cdot Th_2) = \{1\}$. Since $|(Th_1)(y_0)(Th_2)(y_0)| = |h_1(\psi(y_0))h_2(\psi(y_0))| = 1$, the function $Th_1 \cdot Th_2$ attains its maximum modulus at y_0 . Hence $(Th_1)(y_0)(Th_2)(y_0) \in \sigma_\pi(Th_1 \cdot Th_2) = \{1\}$, and therefore, $(Th_1)(y_0)(Th_2)(y_0) = 1$. Consequently, by (2.4), the numbers $\alpha_{h_i}(y_0) = \alpha_{h_i}(y_0)h_i(\psi(y_0)) = (Th_i)(y_0)$, $i = 1, 2$, have the same sign, thus $\alpha_{h_1}(y_0) = \alpha_{h_2}(y_0)$.

By Lemma 1.1 there is an $h \in \mathcal{P}_{\psi(y_0)}(A)$ such that $\sigma_\pi(fh) = \{f(\psi(y_0))\}$. Since, by (2.2), $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Th) \subset \sigma_\pi(fh) = \{f(\psi(y_0))\}$, we have $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Th) = \{f(\psi(y_0))\}$. Hence $|(Tf)(y_0)(Th)(y_0)| = |(Tf)(y_0)||h(\psi(y_0))| = |f(\psi(y_0))|$. Consequently, the function $Tf \cdot Th$ attains the maximum of its modulus at y_0 , so we must have $(Tf)(y_0)(Th)(y_0) \in \sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Th) = \{f(\psi(y_0))\}$, thus $(Tf)(y_0)(Th)(y_0) = f(\psi(y_0))$. Therefore,

$$\alpha_f(y_0)\alpha_h(y_0) = \frac{(Tf)(y_0)(Th)(y_0)}{f(\psi(y_0))h(\psi(y_0))} = \frac{1}{h(\psi(y_0))} = 1.$$

Hence $\alpha_f(y_0) = \alpha_h(y_0)$, thus the number $\alpha_f(y_0)$ has the same value for all $f \in A$ with $f(\psi(y_0)) \neq 0$. Consequently, the function $\alpha(y) = \alpha_f(y)$, $y \in \delta B$, $f \in A$, $f(\psi(y)) \neq 0$, is well defined, and $\alpha^2 = \alpha_h^2 = 1$. Now (2.4) becomes $(Tf)(y_0) = \alpha(y_0)f(\psi(y_0))$, as desired.

To show that α is continuous at any $y \in \delta B$, let $f \in A$ with $f(\psi(y)) \neq 0$ and let $V \subset \delta B$ be a neighborhood of y such that $f \circ \psi \neq 0$ on V . Since Tf, f and ψ are continuous on V , so is the function $\alpha = Tf/(f \circ \psi)$. In particular, α is continuous at $y \in V$. \square

For surjections $T: A^{-1} \rightarrow B^{-1}$ between the sets of invertible elements of uniform algebras a similar result is proven in [3]. Alternatively, we have:

Theorem 2.2. *Let $A \subset C(X)$ and $B \subset C(Y)$ be function algebras, not necessarily with units, where X and Y are locally compact Hausdorff spaces. If $T: A \rightarrow B$ is a*

surjection such that

$$\sigma_\pi(fg) \subset \sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg) \quad (2.5)$$

for all $f, g \in A$, then there exists a homeomorphism $\psi: \delta B \rightarrow \delta A$ and a continuous function α on δB with $\alpha^2 = 1$ such that

$$(Tf)(y) = \alpha(y)f(\psi(y))$$

for every $y \in \delta B$.

Proof. As before we show first that $(Tf)(y)^2 = f(\psi(y))^2$ for every $f \in A$ and $y \in \delta B$. Let $f \in A$ and $y_0 \in \delta B$. The equality (2.5) implies that $\|Tf \cdot Tg\| = \|fg\|$ for every $f, g \in A$, and therefore, Theorem 1.2 applies. Let $\psi: \delta B \rightarrow \delta A$ be the homeomorphism from Theorem 1.2, such that $|(Tf)(y)| = |f(\psi(y))|$ for all $y \in \delta B$ and $f \in A$. Clearly $(Tf)(y_0) = f(\psi(y_0))$ whenever $(Tf)(y_0) = 0$.

Suppose $(Tf)(y_0) \neq 0$ and let $V \subset \delta B$ be an open neighborhood of y_0 . By Lemma 1.1 there exists a peaking function $k \in \mathcal{P}_{y_0}(B)$ such that $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot k) = \{(Tf)(y_0)\}$ and $E(Tf \cdot k) = E(k) \subset V$. Hence for every $h \in T^{-1}(k)$ we have $\sigma_\pi(fh) \subset \sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot k) = \{(Tf)(y_0)\}$, i.e. $\sigma_\pi(fh) = \{(Tf)(y_0)\}$. Therefore, there is a point $x_1 \in \delta A$ so that $(fh)(x_1) = (Tf \cdot k)(y_0) = (Tf)(y_0)$. The surjectivity of ψ implies that there is an $y_1 \in \delta B$ so that $x_1 = \psi(y_1)$. Hence $f(\psi(y_1))h(\psi(y_1)) = (Tf)(y_0)$. Since $\sigma_\pi(fh) = \{(Tf)(y_0)\}$ and $|(Tf)(y_1)||k(y_1)| = |f(\psi(y_1))||h(\psi(y_1))| = |(Tf)(y_0)|$ by (1.2), the function $Tf \cdot k$ attains the maximum of its modulus at y_1 . Consequently, $y_1 \in E(Tf \cdot k) = E(k) \subset V$. Since V is an arbitrary neighborhood of y_0 , the continuity of f , ψ and h imply $f(\psi(y_0))h(\psi(y_0)) = (Tf)(y_0)$ and therefore

$$(Tf)(y_0)^2 = f(\psi(y_0))^2 h(\psi(y_0))^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Since, by (1.2), $|(Tf)(y_0)| = |f(\psi(y_0))|$ we have $|h(\psi(y_0))| = 1$. The condition (2.5) implies that $\sigma_\pi(h^2) \subset \sigma_\pi(k^2) = \{1\}$, thus $\sigma_\pi(h^2) = \{1\}$, hence $h(\psi(y_0))^2 \in \sigma_\pi(h^2) = \{1\}$, and, therefore, $h(\psi(y_0))^2 = 1$. Hence (2.6) becomes $(Tf)(y_0)^2 = f(\psi(y_0))^2$, as claimed.

Consequently, there is a number $\alpha_f(y_0) = \pm 1$, possibly dependent on f , such that

$$(Tf)(y_0) = \alpha_f(y_0)f(\psi(y_0)). \quad (2.7)$$

We claim that $\alpha_f(y_0)$ does not depend on $f \in A$. First we show that $\alpha_h(y_0)$ has the same value for any $h \in T^{-1}(k)$ such that $k \in \mathcal{P}_{y_0}(B)$. If $k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{y_0}(B)$ and $h_i \in T^{-1}(k_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, then $\sigma_\pi(h_1 h_2) \subset \sigma_\pi(Th_1 \cdot Th_2) = \sigma_\pi(k_1 k_2) = \{1\}$, thus $\sigma_\pi(h_1 h_2) = \{1\}$. Since $|h_1(\psi(y_0))||h_2(\psi(y_0))| = |(Th_1)(y_0)(Th_2)(y_0)| = 1$ we deduce that $h_1(\psi(y_0))h_2(\psi(y_0)) \in \sigma_\pi(h_1 h_2) = \{1\}$, hence $h_1(\psi(y_0))h_2(\psi(y_0)) = 1$. By (2.7), $\alpha_{h_i}(y_0)h_i(\psi(y_0)) = (Th_i)(y_0) = k_i(y_0) = 1$. Consequently, the numbers $\alpha_{h_i}(y_0) = 1/h_i(\psi(y_0))$, $i = 1, 2$, have the same sign and therefore, $\alpha_{h_1}(y_0) = \alpha_{h_2}(y_0)$.

Now let $f \in A$ be arbitrary. According to Lemma 1.1 there exists a $k \in \mathcal{P}_{y_0}(B)$ such that $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot k) = \{(Tf)(y_0)\}$. Let $h \in T^{-1}(k)$. Equality (2.5) implies that $\sigma_\pi(fh) \subset \sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot k) = \{(Tf)(y_0)\}$, hence $\sigma_\pi(fh) = \{(Tf)(y_0)\}$. Therefore,

$$|f(\psi(y_0))h(\psi(y_0))| = |f(\psi(y_0))||h(\psi(y_0))| = |(Tf)(y_0)(Th)(y_0)| = |(Tf)(y_0)|.$$

It follows that the function fh attains the maximum of its modulus at $\psi(y_0)$, so we must have $f(\psi(y_0))h(\psi(y_0)) \in \sigma_\pi(fh)$, thus, $f(\psi(y_0))h(\psi(y_0)) = (Tf)(y_0)$. Therefore,

$$\alpha_f(y_0)\alpha_h(y_0) = \frac{(Tf)(y_0)}{f(\psi(y_0))} \frac{(Th)(y_0)}{h(\psi(y_0))} = (Th)(y_0) = k(y_0) = 1.$$

Hence $\alpha_f(y_0) = \alpha_h(y_0)$, thus the number $\alpha_f(y_0)$ has the same value for all $f \in A$ with $(Tf)(y_0) \neq 0$.

Consequently, the function $\alpha(y) = \alpha_f(y)$, $y \in \delta B$, $f \in A$, $(Tf)(y) \neq 0$, is well defined. Now (2.7) becomes $(Tf)(y_0) = \alpha(y_0)f(\psi(y_0))$. The proof completes as in Theorem 2.1. \square

More generally, we have the following

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $A \subset C(X)$ a dense subalgebra of a function algebra, not necessarily with unit, such that $X = \partial A$ and $p(A) = \delta A$. If $T: A \rightarrow B$ is a surjection onto a function algebra $B \subset C(Y)$ such that either*

- (a) $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg) \subset \sigma_\pi(fg)$ for all $f, g \in A$, or
- (b) $\sigma_\pi(fg) \subset \sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg)$ for all $f, g \in A$,

then T is a weighted composition operator on δB . That is, there is a homeomorphism $\psi: \delta B \rightarrow \delta A$ and a function α on δB with $\alpha^2 = 1$ so that $(Tf)(y) = \alpha(y)f(\psi(y))$ for all $f \in A$ and $y \in \delta B$. In particular, A is necessarily a function algebra and T/α is linear and multiplicative operator, i.e. an algebra isomorphism.

More general, weakly peripherally-multiplicative operators, that satisfy the condition $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg) \cap \sigma_\pi(fg) \neq \emptyset$ for all $f, g \in A$, are considered in [4]. It is not known, though, whether every weakly peripherally-multiplicative operator $T: A \rightarrow B$ is a weighted composition operator. However, if T preserves, in addition, the peripheral spectra of all algebra elements, then T is necessarily a composition operator [4, Proposition 2]. Namely,

Proposition 2.4 ([4]). *If a weakly peripherally-multiplicative surjective map $T: A \rightarrow B$ between uniform algebras preserves the peripheral spectra of algebra elements, i.e.*

$$\sigma_\pi(Tf) = \sigma_\pi(f) \tag{2.8}$$

for all $f \in A$, then it is a composition operator on δB , i.e. an isometric algebra isomorphism.

Below we expand this result for algebras of functions and simultaneously relax the condition (2.8).

Theorem 2.5. *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $A \subset C(X)$ is a dense subalgebra of a function algebra, not necessarily with unit, such that $X = \partial A$ and $p(A) = \delta A$. If $T : A \rightarrow B$ is a surjection onto a function algebra $B \subset C(Y)$ such that*

$$\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot Tg) \cap \sigma_\pi(fg) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } f, g \in A \quad (2.9)$$

and either

- (a) $\sigma_\pi(f) \subset \sigma_\pi(Tf)$ for all $f \in A$, or,
- (b) $\sigma_\pi(Tf) \subset \sigma_\pi(f)$ for all $f \in A$,

then T is a bijective composition operator on δB with respect to a homeomorphism $\psi : \delta B \rightarrow \delta A$. That is,

$$(Tf)(y) = f(\psi(y))$$

for all $f \in A$ and $y \in \delta B$. In particular, A is necessarily a function algebra and T is an algebra isomorphism.

Proof. Let $y_0 \in p(B) = \delta B$. Condition (2.9) implies that $\|Tf \cdot Tg\| = \|fg\|$ for every $f, g \in A$. Let $\psi : \delta B \rightarrow \delta A$ be the homeomorphism from Theorem 1.2, such that $|(Tf)(y)| = |f(\psi(y))|$ for all $y \in \delta B$ and $f \in A$. Clearly $(Tf)(y_0) = f(\psi(y_0))$ whenever $(Tf)(y_0) = 0$.

Let $(Tf)(y_0) \neq 0$ and let $V \subset \delta B$ be an open neighborhood of y_0 .

Case (a): According to Lemma 1.1, there exists a peaking function $k \in \mathcal{P}_{y_0}(B)$ such that $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot k) = \{(Tf)(y_0)\}$ and $E(Tf \cdot k) = E(k) \subset V$. Note that if $h \in T^{-1}(k)$ then $(Tf)(y_0) \in \sigma_\pi(fh)$ since, by (a), $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot k) \cap \sigma_\pi(fh) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, there is a point $x_1 \in \delta A$ so that $(Tf \cdot k)(y_0) = (fh)(x_1)$. Since ψ is surjective, there is an $y_1 \in \delta B$ so that $x_1 = \psi(y_1)$. Hence

$$(Tf)(y_0) = (Tf)(y_0)k(y_0) = f(\psi(y_1))h(\psi(y_1)). \quad (2.10)$$

By (1.2), $|(Tf)(y_0)| = |(Tf)(y_0)||k(y_0)| = |f(\psi(y_1))||h(\psi(y_1))| = |(Tf)(y_1)||k(y_1)|$. Hence $y_1 \in E(Tf \cdot k) = E(k) \subset V$. Therefore, $|h(\psi(y_1))| = |k(y_1)| = 1$. Condition (a) implies that $\sigma_\pi(h) \subset \sigma_\pi(k) = \{1\}$, thus $h(\psi(y_1)) \in \sigma_\pi(h)$, hence $h(\psi(y_1)) = 1$. Now the equality (2.10) becomes $(Tf)(y_0) = f(\psi(y_1))$. Since V was an arbitrary neighborhood of y_0 , the continuity of f and ψ yield $(Tf)(y_0) = f(\psi(y_0))$ as desired.

Case (b): Note that $U = \psi(V)$ is an open neighborhood of $\psi(y_0)$ in δA . By Lemma 1.1 there exists a peaking function $h \in \mathcal{P}_{\psi(y_0)}(A)$ such that $\sigma_\pi(fh) = \{f(\psi(y_0))\}$ and $E(f \cdot h) = E(h) \subset U$. If $Th = k$, then $f(\psi(y_0)) \in \sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot k)$ since, by (2.9), $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot k) \cap \sigma_\pi(fh) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, there is a point $y_1 \in p(B)$ so that $(Tf \cdot k)(y_1) = f(\psi(y_0))$. Hence

$$f(\psi(y_0)) = f(\psi(y_0))h(\psi(y_0)) = (Tf)(y_1)k(y_1). \quad (2.11)$$

By (1.2), $|f(\psi(y_0))| = |(Tf)(y_1)||k(y_1)| = |f(\psi(y_1))|(Th)(y_1)| = |f(\psi(y_1))| |h(\psi(y_1))|$. Hence $\psi(y_1) \in E(f \cdot h) = E(h) \subset U = \psi(V)$, thus $y_1 \in V$. We have that $|h(\psi(y_1))| = |(Th)(y_1)| = |k(y_1)| = 1$. Since, by condition (b), $\sigma_\pi(k) \subset \sigma_\pi(h) = \{1\}$, we deduce that $k(y_1) \in \sigma_\pi(k) = \{1\}$, hence $k(y_1) = 1$. Then the equality (2.11) becomes $f(\psi(y_0)) = (Tf)(y_1)$. Since V was an arbitrary neighborhood of y_0 , the continuity of Tf yields $(Tf)(y_0) = f(\psi(y_0))$ as claimed. \square

In [2] it is shown that a surjective weakly peripherally-multiplicative map T between uniform algebras is a composition operator if conditions (a) or (b) in Theorem 2.5 are replaced by the single condition T to be continuous at the unity. Below we generalize this result.

Recall that a set $E \subset X$ is called a *peak set* for a function algebra $A \subset C(X)$ if E is the maximum modulus set of a peaking function, i.e. if $E = E(h)$, $h \in \mathcal{P}(A)$. It is known that if $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(f)$ for some $f \in A$, then $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a peak set for A (e.g. [5]).

Theorem 2.6. *Let A and B be uniform algebras on compact Hausdorff spaces X and Y . If $T: A \rightarrow B$ is a surjective map such that*

- (i) $\sigma_\pi(Tf \cdot T) \cap \sigma_\pi(fg) \neq \emptyset$ for all $f, g \in A$ and
- (ii) *There exist an $a \in A$ with $a^2 = 1$ such that T has a limit, say b , at a ,*

then $b^2 = 1$ and $(Tf)(y) = b(y)a(\psi(y))f(\psi(y))$ for every $f \in A$ and $y \in \delta B$, i.e. the map $f \mapsto bT(af)$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism.

Proof. Condition (i) implies that $\|Tf \cdot Tg\| = \|fg\|$ for all $f, g \in A$. In particular, $\|(Tf)^2\| = \|f^2\|$ and therefore, $\|Tf\| = \|f\|$ for every $f \in A$.

We claim that $\sigma_\pi(f) \subset \sigma_\pi(bT(af))$ for every $f \in A$. Let $f \in A$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(f)$. If $\lambda = 0$, then $\|f\| = 0$ and so $f = 0$, thus $af = 0$ and hence $\|T(af)\| = 0$. Consequently, $bT(af) = 0$ and, therefore, $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(bT(af))$.

If $\lambda \neq 0$, then $f^{-1}(\lambda)$ is a peak set in X , so there exists a peaking function $h \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ such that $E(h) = f^{-1}(\lambda)$. Define $h_n = a \frac{n+h}{n+1}$. Clearly, $ah_n \in \mathcal{P}(A)$ for every n . Note that since $(ah_n)^{-1}(1) = f^{-1}(\lambda)$ for every n , we have that $\sigma_\pi(ah_n f) = \{\lambda\}$. Condition (i) implies that $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(Th_n \cdot T(af))$ for every n . Since h_n converges uniformly to a , we must have $Th_n \rightarrow b$ and therefore $\lambda \in \sigma_\pi(bT(af))$. Consequently,

$$\sigma_\pi(f) \subset \sigma_\pi(bT(af)) \tag{2.12}$$

as claimed. Theorem 2.5 implies that the map $f \mapsto bT(af)$ is a ψ -composition operator on δB . Hence $b(y)(T(af)(y) = f(\psi(y))$, and therefore, $T(f)(y) = b(y)a(\psi(y))f(\psi(y))$ for all $y \in \delta B$ and $f \in A$.

To show that $b^2 = 1$, let $y \in \delta B$ and consider the set $K = b^{-1}(b(y))$. Since $y \in \delta B$, K is a peak set and, therefore, there exists a peaking function $k \in \mathcal{P}(B)$ with $E(k) = K$. Let $h \in A$ be such that $T(ah) = k$. According to (2.12), $\sigma_\pi(h) \subset \sigma_\pi(bT(ah)) = \sigma_\pi(bk) = \{b(y)\}$. Hence $\sigma_\pi(h^2) = \sigma_\pi((ah)^2) = \{b(y)^2\}$,

so by (a), $\{b(y)^2\} \in \sigma_\pi(T(ah)^2) = \sigma_\pi(k^2) = \{1\}$ since $k \in \mathcal{P}(B)$. Therefore $b(y)^2 = 1$ for every $y \in \delta B$. \square

Acknowledgement

We appreciate the helpful remarks and suggestions by the referees.

References

- [1] S. Grigoryan and T. Tonev, Shift-invariant uniform algebras on groups, *Monografie Matematyczne*, 68, New Series, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel—Boston—Berlin, 2006.
- [2] O. Hatori, T. Miura, S. Lambert, A. Luttman, T. Tonev and R. Yates, Spectral preservers in commutative Banach algebras, *Contemp. Mathematics* **547** (2011), 103–123.
- [3] O. Hatori, T. Miura and H. Takagi, *Multiplicatively spectrum-preserving and norm-preserving maps between invertible groups of commutative Banach algebras*, preprint, 2006, arXiv:0904.1939.
- [4] S. Lambert, A. Luttman and T. Tonev, Weakly peripherally-multiplicative operators between uniform algebras, *Contemp. Math.* **435**(2007), 265–281.
- [5] G. Leibowitz, *Lectures on Complex Function Algebras*, Scott, Foresman and Co., 1970.
- [6] A. Luttman and T. Tonev, Uniform algebra isomorphisms and peripheral multiplicativity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135**(2007), 3589–3598.
- [7] T. Tonev, Weak multiplicative operators on function algebras without units, *Banach Center Publications* **91**(2010), 411-421.

J. Johnson, *Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812, USA.*

E-mail: jeffrey.johnson@umontana.edu

T. Tonev, *Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Montana, Missoula, MT 59812, USA.*

E-mail: tonevtv@mso.umt.edu