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1. Introduction

Variational inclusions, as the generalization of variational inequalities, have been widely studied in recent years. For more details, see for example [6–10,12,13,17,19,24] and the references therein. Among the methods for solving variational inclusion problems, resolvent operator technique has been widely used. In particular, the applications of the resolvent operator technique have been explored and improved recently. For instance, Fang and Huang [7] introduced a class of $H$-monotone operators and defined the associated class of resolvent operators, which extends the classes of the resolvent operators associated with $\eta$-subdifferential operators of Ding and Lou [6], maximal monotone operators of Liu, Agarwal and Kang [19] and maximal monotone operators of Huang and Fang [12], respectively.

In 2001, Huang and Fang [11] introduced the generalized $m$-accretive mapping and give the definition of resolvent operator for the generalized $m$-accretive mapping in Banach spaces. Since then a number of researchers investigated several classes of generalized $m$-accretive mappings such as $H$-$\phi\eta$-accretive, $(H,\eta)$-accretive, $(A,\eta)$-accretive mappings, see for example [4–6,8,11,14–16,26].

Motivated and inspired by the work going on in this direction, in this paper, we introduce and study a new class of variational inclusions called system of generalized variational-like inclusion problem involving $H(\cdot,\cdot)-\phi\eta$-accretive operator in real $q$-uniformly smooth Banach spaces. We define the resolvent operator associated with $H(\cdot,\cdot)-\phi\eta$-accretive operator and prove its single-valuedness and Lipschitz continuity. By using resolvent operator technique, we prove the existence of solution for this system of inclusions. Further, we suggest a perturbed Mann iterative scheme with errors for approximating the solution of this system of generalized variational-like inclusion problem. Furthermore, we discuss the convergence and stability analysis of the iterative sequence generated by the iterative algorithm.

2. Resolvent Operator and Formulation of Problem

We need the following definitions and results from the literature.

Let $X$ be a real Banach space equipped with norm $\| \cdot \|$ and $X^*$ be the topological dual space of $X$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the dual pair between $X$ and $X^*$, and $2^X$ be the power set of $X$.

**Definition 2.1 ([25]).** For $q > 1$, the generalized duality mapping $J_q : X \to 2^{X^*}$ is defined by

$$J_q(x) = \{ f \in X^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \| x \|^q, \| x \|^{q-1} = \| f \| \}, \quad \forall \, x \in X.$$  

In particular, $J_2$ is the usual normalized duality mapping on $X$. It is well known (see, e.g., [25]) that

$$J_q(x) = \| x \|^{q-2} J_2(x), \quad \forall \, x(\neq 0) \in X.$$  

Note that if $X = H$, a real Hilbert space, then $J_2$ becomes the identity mapping on $X$.

**Definition 2.2 ([25]).** A Banach space $X$ is said to be smooth if, for every $x \in X$ with $\| x \| = 1$, there exists a unique $f \in X^*$ such that $\| f \| = f(x) = 1$.

The modulus of smoothness of $X$ is the function $\rho_X : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$, defined by

$$\rho_X(\sigma) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\| x + y \| + \| x - y \| - 1}{2} : x, y \in X, \| x \| = 1, \| y \| = \sigma \right\}.$$
Definition 2.3 ([25]). A Banach space $X$ is said to be

(i) uniformly smooth if $\lim_{\sigma \to 0} \frac{\rho_X(\sigma)}{\sigma} = 0$,

(ii) $q$-uniformly smooth, for $q > 1$, if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\rho_X(\sigma) \leq c\sigma^q$, $\sigma \in [0, \infty)$.

It is well known (see, e.g., [26]) that

\[ L_q \text{ (or } l_q \text{)} \begin{cases} \text{q-uniformly smooth,} & \text{if } 1 < q \leq 2, \\ \text{2-uniformly smooth,} & \text{if } q \geq 2. \end{cases} \]

Note that if $X$ is uniformly smooth, $J_q$ becomes single-valued. In the study of characteristic inequalities in $q$-uniformly smooth Banach spaces, Xu [25] established the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Let $q > 1$ be a real number and let $X$ be a smooth Banach space. Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $X$ is $q$-uniformly smooth.

(ii) There is a constant $c_q > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in X$, the following inequality holds:

\[ \|x + y\|^q \leq \|x\|^q + q(y, J_q(x)) + c_q\|y\|^q. \]

Definition 2.5. Let $X$ be a $q$-uniformly smooth Banach space. Let $A, B : X \to X$, $\mathcal{H}, \eta : X \times X \to X$ be single-valued mappings and $M : X \times X \to 2^X$ be a multi-valued mapping. Then

(i) $A$ is said to be $\eta$-accretive, if

\[ \langle Ax - Ay, J_q(\eta(x, y)) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in X. \]

(ii) $A$ is said to be strictly $\eta$-accretive, if $A$ is $\eta$-accretive and equality holds if and only if $x = y$.

(iii) $\mathcal{H}(\cdot , \cdot)$ is said to be $\alpha$-strongly $\eta$-accretive with respect to $A$ if there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

\[ \mathcal{H}(Ax, z) - \mathcal{H}(Ay, z), J_q(\eta(x, y)) \rangle \geq \alpha\|x - y\|^q, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X. \]

(iv) $\mathcal{H}(\cdot , B)$ is said to be $\beta$-relaxed $\eta$-accretive with respect to $B$ if there exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that

\[ \mathcal{H}(z, Bz) - \mathcal{H}(z, By), J_q(\eta(x, y)) \rangle \geq -\beta\|x - y\|^q, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X. \]

(v) $\mathcal{H}(\cdot , \cdot)$ is said to be $d_1$-Lipschitz continuous with respect to $A$ if there exists a constant $d_1 > 0$ such that

\[ \|\mathcal{H}(Ax, z) - \mathcal{H}(Ay, z)\| \leq d_1\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y, z \in X. \]

In a similar way, we can define the Lipschitz continuity of the mapping $\mathcal{H}(\cdot , \cdot)$ with respect to second argument.

(vi) $\eta$ is said to be $\tau$-Lipschitz continuous, if there exists a constant $\tau > 0$ such that

\[ \|\eta(x, y)\| \leq \tau\|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in X. \]

(vii) $M$ is said to be $\eta$-accretive if

\[ \langle u - v, J_q(\eta(x, y)) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in X, \forall u \in M(x, z), v \in M(y, z), \]

for each fixed $z \in X$. 
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(viii) $M$ is said to be strictly $\eta$-accretive, if $M$ is $\eta$-accretive and equality holds if and only if $x = y$.

**Definition 2.6.** $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is said to be $\alpha\beta$-symmetric $\eta$-accretive with respect to $A$ and $B$, if $\mathcal{H}(A, \cdot)$ is $\alpha$-strongly $\eta$-accretive with respect to $A$ and $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, B)$ is $\beta$-relaxed $\eta$-accretive with respect to $B$ with $\alpha \geq \beta$, and $\alpha = \beta$ if and only if $x = y$ for all $x, y \in X$.

**Definition 2.7.** Let $X$ be a $q$-uniformly smooth Banach space. Let $A : X \to X$, $\mathcal{H} : X \times X \to X$ be single-valued mappings. Then

1. $A$ is said to be $\delta$-strongly $\eta$-accretive if there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that
   \[
   \langle Ax - Ay, J_\eta(x, y) \rangle \geq \delta \|x - y\|^q, \quad \forall x, y \in X.
   \]

2. $A$ is said to be $\lambda$-Lipschitz continuous if there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that
   \[
   \| Ax - Ay \| \leq \lambda \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in X.
   \]

3. $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is said to be $(\nu, \xi)$-mixed Lipschitz continuous if there exist constants $\nu > 0$, $\xi > 0$ such that
   \[
   \| \mathcal{H}(x, s) - \mathcal{H}(y, t) \| \leq \nu \|x - y\| + \xi \|z - t\|, \quad \forall x, y, s, t \in X.
   \]

Throughout the rest of the paper unless otherwise stated, we assume that $X$ is a $q$-uniformly smooth Banach space.

**Definition 2.8.** Let $\phi, A, B : X \to X$, $\mathcal{H}, \eta : X \times X \to X$ be single-valued mappings, $M : X \times X \to 2^X$ be a multi-valued mapping. Then $M$ is said to be a $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\eta$-$\phi$-accretive mapping with respect to $A$ and $B$ if for each fixed $x^* \in X$, $\phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot)$ is $\eta$-accretive in the second argument and $(\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))X = X$, for all $\lambda > 0$.

**Remark 2.9.** If $\phi(x) = x$, for all $x \in X$, $M(\cdot, \cdot) = M(\cdot)$ and $\eta(x, y) = x - y$, then $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\eta$-$\phi$-accretive operator reduces to $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$-accretive operator, which was introduced and studied by Zou and Huang [27].

**Example 2.10.** Let $X = R$. Let $A_2 = 0$, $B_2 = \sin z$, $\mathcal{H}(A_2, B_2) = A_2 + B_2$ and $M(x^*, z) = x^2 + z^2$, for all $z \in X$ and for each fixed $x^* \in X$. Let $\phi \circ M(x^*, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}[M(x^*, z)] = 2z$ and $\eta(z_1, z_2) = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{2}$, for all $z_1, z_2 \in X$. Then
\[
\langle \phi \circ M(x^*, z_1) - \phi \circ M(x^*, z_2), \eta(z_1, z_2) \rangle = \langle 2z_1 - 2z_2, \frac{z_1 - z_2}{2} \rangle = (z_1 - z_2)^2 \geq 0,
\]
that is,
\[
\langle \phi \circ M(x^*, z_1) - \phi \circ M(x^*, z_2), \eta(z_1, z_2) \rangle \geq 0,
\]
which means that $\phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot)$ is $\eta$-accretive in the second argument.

Also, for any $z \in X$, it follows from above that
\[
(\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))(z) = \mathcal{H}(A_2, B_2) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, z)
\]
\[
= A_2 + B_2 + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, z)
\]
\[
= 0 + \sin z + 2\lambda z
\]
\[
= 2\lambda z + \sin z,
\]
which means that \((\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))\) is surjective. Thus \(M\) is an \(\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\)-\(\eta\)-accretive operator with respect to the mappings \(A\) and \(B\).

**Example 2.11.** Let \(X, A, B, \mathcal{H}, \eta \) and \(M\) be same as in above example. Let for each fixed \(x^* \in X\), \(\phi \circ M(x^*, z) = e^{x^*z + z^2}\), for all \(z \in X\). Then

\[
(\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))(z) = \mathcal{H}(Az, Bz) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, z) = Az + Bz + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, z)
\]

which shows \(0 \in (\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))(X)\), that is \((\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))\) is not surjective, hence \(M\) is not an \(\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\)-\(\eta\)-accretive operator with respect to the mappings \(A\) and \(B\).

**Proposition 2.12.** Let \(\phi, A, B : X \to X, \mathcal{H}, \eta : X \times X \to X\) be single-valued mappings, \(\mathcal{H}: X \times X \to X\) be an \(\alpha\beta\)-symmetric \(\eta\)-accretive mapping with respect to \(A\) and \(B\) \((\alpha > \beta)\), and \(M: X \times X \to 2^X\) be an \(\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\)-\(\eta\)-accretive mapping with respect to \(A\) and \(B\). If the following inequality

\[
\langle u - v, J_q(\eta(x, y)) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (y, v) \in \text{Graph}(\phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot)), \quad \text{then } (x, u) \in \text{Graph}(\phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot)),
\]

where \(\text{Graph}(\phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot)) := \{(x, u) \in X \times X : u \in \phi \circ M(x^*, x)\}\).

**Proof.** Suppose, on the contrary that there exists some \((x_0, u_0) \notin \text{Graph}(\phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))\) such that

\[
\langle u_0 - v, J_q(\eta(x_0, y)) \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (y, v) \in \text{Graph}(\phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot)). \tag{2.1}
\]

Since \(M\) is an \(\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\)-\(\eta\)-accretive operator with respect to the mappings \(A\) and \(B\), we have that \((\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))(X) = X\) holds for every \(\lambda > 0\), and hence there exists \((x_1, u_1) \in \text{Graph}(\phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))\) such that

\[
\mathcal{H}(Ax_1, Bx_1) + \lambda u_1 = \mathcal{H}(Ax_0, Bx_0) + \lambda u_0 \in X. \tag{2.2}
\]

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

\[
0 \leq \lambda \langle u_0 - u_1, J_q(\eta(x_0, x_1)) \rangle = -\lambda \langle \mathcal{H}(Ax_0, Bx_0) - \mathcal{H}(Ax_1, Bx_1), J_q(\eta(x_0, x_1)) \rangle \leq -\lambda (\alpha - \beta) \|x_0 - x_1\| \leq 0,
\]

which gives \(x_1 = x_0\), since \(\alpha > \beta\). From (2.2), we have \(u_1 = u_0\). This is a contradiction. This completes the proof. 

**Proposition 2.13.** Let \(\phi, A, B : X \to X, \mathcal{H}, \eta : X \times X \to X\) be single-valued mappings, \(\mathcal{H}: X \times X \to X\) be an \(\alpha\beta\)-symmetric \(\eta\)-accretive mapping with respect to \(A\) and \(B\) \((\alpha > \beta)\), and \(M: X \times X \to 2^X\) be an \(\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\)-\(\eta\)-accretive mapping with respect to \(A\) and \(B\). Then the mapping \((\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))^{-1}\) is single-valued, for all \(\lambda > 0\).

**Proof.** For any \(x^* \in X\), let \(x, y \in (\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))^{-1}(x^*)\). It follows that

\[
\frac{1}{\lambda} (x^* - \mathcal{H}(A, B)(x)) \in \phi \circ M(x^*, x)
\]

and

\[
\frac{1}{\lambda} (x^* - \mathcal{H}(A, B)(y)) \in \phi \circ M(x^*, y).
\]
Since $\phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot)$ is $\eta$-accretive in the second argument, $\mathcal{H}$ is $\alpha\beta$-symmetric $\eta$-accretive with respect to $A$ and $B$, we have
\[
0 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \left( z^* - \mathcal{H}(A, B)(x) - \mathcal{H}(A, B)(y), J_q(\eta(x, y)) \right) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \langle \mathcal{H}(A, B)(x) - \mathcal{H}(A, B)(y), J_q(\eta(x, y)) \rangle \\
= -\frac{1}{\lambda} \langle \mathcal{H}(A(x, B) - \mathcal{H}(A, B)(x), J_q(\eta(x, y))) - \frac{1}{\lambda} (\mathcal{H}(A, B)(x) - \mathcal{H}(A, B)(y), J_q(\eta(x, y))) \rangle \\
\leq -\frac{1}{\lambda} (\alpha - \beta) \| x - y \| ^q,
\]
which implies that
\[
\frac{1}{\lambda} (\alpha - \beta) \| x - y \| ^q \leq 0.
\]
It follows from $\alpha > \beta$, that $x = y$ and so $(\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))^{-1}$ is single-valued. This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

**Definition 2.14.** Let $\phi, A, B : X \to X$, $\mathcal{H}, \eta : X \times X \to X$ be single-valued mappings, $\mathcal{H} : X \times X \to X$ be an $\alpha\beta$-symmetric $\eta$-accretive mapping with respect to $A$ and $B$ ($\alpha > \beta$), and $M : X \times X \to 2^X$ be an $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$-symmetric mapping with respect to $A$ and $B$. Then for each fixed $x^* \in X$, the resolvent operator $R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta} : X \to X$ is defined by
\[
R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta}(x) = (\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))^{-1}(x), \ \forall \ x \in X.
\]
Next, the following result gives the Lipschitz continuity of the resolvent operator.

**Proposition 2.15.** Let $\phi, A, B : X \to X$, $\mathcal{H}, \eta : X \times X \to X$ be single-valued mappings, $\mathcal{H} : X \times X \to X$ be an $\alpha\beta$-symmetric $\eta$-accretive mapping with respect to $A$ and $B$ ($\alpha > \beta$), and $M : X \times X \to 2^X$ be an $\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)$-symmetric mapping with respect to $A$ and $B$. Let $\eta$ be $\tau$-Lipschitz continuous. Then for each fixed $x^* \in X$, the resolvent operator $R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta} : X \to X$ is Lipschitz continuous with constant $L$, that is,
\[
\| R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta}(x) - R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta}(y) \| \leq L \| x - y \|, \ \forall x, y \in X,
\]
where $L := \frac{\tau^2 - 1}{(\alpha - \beta)}$.

**Proof.** Let $x, y \in X$. Then by definition of resolvent operator, it follows that
\[
R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta}(x) = (\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))^{-1}(x)
\]
and
\[
R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta}(y) = (\mathcal{H}(A, B) + \lambda \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot))^{-1}(y).
\]
and so, we have
\[
\frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ x - \mathcal{H} \left[ A \left( R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta}(x) \right), B \left( R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta}(x) \right) \right] \right] \in \phi \circ M \left[ x^*, R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta}(x) \right]
\]
and
\[
\frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ y - \mathcal{H} \left[ A \left( R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta}(y) \right), B \left( R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta}(y) \right) \right] \right] \in \phi \circ M \left[ x^*, R_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot), \phi, \eta}(y) \right].
\]
For the sake of brevity, let \( E(x) = R^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot)\phi - \eta}(x) \), \( E(y) = R^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot)\phi - \eta}(y) \). Since \( \phi \circ M(x^*, \cdot) \) is an \( \eta \)-accretive operator in the second argument, we have

\[
0 \leq \left< \frac{1}{\lambda} (x - \mathcal{H}(A(E(x)), B(E(x)))) - \frac{1}{\lambda} (y - \mathcal{H}(A(E(y)), B(E(y)))), J_q(\eta(E(x), E(y))) \right>
\]

which implies,

\[
\langle x - y, J_q(\eta(E(x), E(y))) \rangle \leq \left< \mathcal{H}(A(E(x)), B(E(x))) - \mathcal{H}(A(E(y)), B(E(y))), J_q(\eta(E(x), E(y))) \right>.
\]

Since \( \mathcal{H} \) is a \( \alpha \beta \)-symmetric \( \eta \)-accretive with respect to \( A \) and \( B \), we have

\[
\|x - y\| \|\eta(E(x), E(y))\|^{q-1} \geq \langle x - y, J_q(\eta(E(x), E(y))) \rangle
\]

\[
\geq \langle \mathcal{H}(A(E(x)), B(E(x))) - \mathcal{H}(A(E(y)), B(E(y))), J_q(\eta(E(x), E(y))) \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle \mathcal{H}(A(E(x)), B(E(x))) - \mathcal{H}(A(E(y)), B(E(x))), J_q(\eta(E(x), E(y))) \rangle
\]

\[
+ \langle \mathcal{H}(A(E(y)), B(E(x))) - \mathcal{H}(A(E(y)), B(E(y))), J_q(\eta(E(x), E(y))) \rangle
\]

\[
\geq \alpha \|E(x) - E(y)\|^q - \beta \|E(x) - E(y)\|^q
\]

\[
\geq (\alpha - \beta) \|E(x) - E(y)\|^q,
\]

and so

\[
\|x - y\| \tau^{q-1} \|E(x) - E(y)\|^{q-1} \geq (\alpha - \beta) \|E(x) - E(y)\|^q.
\]

This implies

\[
\|E(x) - E(y)\| \leq \frac{\tau^{q-1}}{\alpha - \beta} \|x - y\|
\]

or

\[
\|R^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot)\phi - \eta}_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}(x) - R^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot)\phi - \eta}_{M(x^*, \cdot), \lambda}(y)\| \leq \frac{\tau^{q-1}}{\alpha - \beta} \|x - y\|.
\]

This completes the proof. \( \Box \)

**Definition 2.16.** For \( n \geq 0 \), let \( M^n, M : X \to 2^X \) be \( \mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\phi - \eta^n, \mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\phi - \eta \) accretive mappings with respect to \( A \) and \( B \), respectively. A sequence \( \{\phi^n \circ M^n\}_{n \geq 0} \) is said to be graph convergent to \( \phi \circ M \), denoted by \( \phi^n \circ M^n \xrightarrow{\text{g}} \phi \circ M \), if for each \((x, u) \in \text{graph}(\phi \circ M)\), there is a sequence \((x^n, u^n)\) such that \( x^n \to x \), \( u^n \to u \) as \( n \to \infty \).

**Lemma 2.17.** For \( n \geq 0 \), let \( \mathcal{H} : X \times X \to X \) be a \((t^n, v^n)\)-mixed Lipschitz continuous and \( \alpha^n \beta^n \)-symmetric \( \eta^n \)-accretive mapping with respect to \( A \) and \( B \), and \( \mathcal{H} : X \times X \to X \) be a \((t, v)\)-mixed Lipschitz continuous and \( \alpha \beta \)-symmetric \( \eta \)-accretive mapping with respect to \( A \) and \( B \). Let \( \eta^n \) be \( \tau^n \)-Lipschitz continuous and \( \eta \) be \( \tau \)-Lipschitz continuous, \( M^n : X \to 2^X \) be an \( \mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\phi - \eta^n \) accretive mapping with respect to \( A \) and \( B \), and \( M : X \to 2^X \) be a \( \mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\phi - \eta \) accretive mapping with respect to \( A \) and \( B \). Assume that \( \frac{(t^n + v^n)(t^n)^{\eta - 1}}{(\alpha^n \beta^n)^{\eta - 1}} \) and \( \frac{(t^n)^{\eta - 1}}{(\alpha^n \beta^n)^{\eta - 1}} \) are bounded and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{H}(A,B)(x) = \mathcal{H}(A,B)(x) \) for each \( x \in X \). If \( \phi^n \circ M^n \xrightarrow{\text{g}} \phi \circ M \), then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} R^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\phi - \eta^n}_{M^n, \lambda}(x) = R^{\mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\phi - \eta}_{M, \lambda}(x) \), for all \( x \in X \) where \( \alpha^n > \beta^n \).

**Proof.** Since \( (\mathcal{H}(A,B) + \lambda \phi \circ M)X = X \), for each \( z^* \in X \). Hence there exists \((x, u) \in \text{graph}(\phi \circ M)\) such that \( z^* = \mathcal{H}(A,B)(x) + \lambda u \). Further, \( \phi^n \circ M^n \xrightarrow{\text{g}} \phi \circ M \), it follows there exists a sequence \((x^n, u^n)\) such that \( x^n \to x \), \( u^n \to u \) as \( n \to \infty \). Put

\[
z^n = \mathcal{H}(A,B)(x^n) + \lambda u^n
\]
and that
\[
R_{M,A}^{\beta(\cdot)}(\mathcal{H}(A,B)(x) + \lambda u) = x \quad \text{and} \quad R_{M,A}^{\beta(n,\cdot)}(\mathcal{H}(A,B)(x^n) + \lambda u^n) = x^n.
\]

Using Lipschitz continuity of \( R_{M,A}^{\beta(\cdot)} \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\|R_{M,A}^{\beta(n,\cdot)}(z^*) - R_{M,A}^{\beta(n,\cdot)}(z^*)\| \\
\leq \|R_{M,A}^{\beta(n,\cdot)}(z^*) - R_{M,A}^{\beta(n,\cdot)}(z^*)\| + \| R_{M,A}^{\beta(n,\cdot)}(z^*) - R_{M,A}^{\beta(n,\cdot)}(z^*) \|
\leq \|R_{M,A}^{\beta(n,\cdot)}(\mathcal{H}(A,B)(x^n) + \lambda u^n) - R_{M,A}^{\beta(n,\cdot)}(\mathcal{H}(A,B)(x) + \lambda u) + \frac{(t^n)^{g-1}}{(a^n - \beta^n)} \| z^n - z^* \|
\leq \| x^n - x \| + \frac{(t^n)^{g-1}}{(a^n - \beta^n)} \| \mathcal{H}(A,B)(x^n) - \mathcal{H}(A,B)(x) \| + \frac{(t^n)^{g-1}}{(a^n - \beta^n)} \| u^n - u \|
\leq \| x^n - x \| + \frac{(t^n + v^n)(t^n)^{g-1}}{(a^n - \beta^n)} \| x^n - x \| + \frac{(t^n + v^n)(t^n)^{g-1}}{(a^n - \beta^n)} \| \mathcal{H}(A,B)(x^n) - \mathcal{H}(A,B)(x) \| + \frac{(t^n)^{g-1}}{(a^n - \beta^n)} \| u^n - u \|
\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{align*}
\]

This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.18** ([18]). Let \( \{ \xi^n \}, \{ h^n \} \) and \( \{ c^n \} \) be nonnegative sequences satisfying
\[
\xi^{n+1} \leq (1 - a^n) \xi^n + \omega^n h^n + c^n, \quad \forall \ n \geq 0,
\]
where \( \{ \omega^n \}_{n=0}^\infty \subset [0,1], \sum_{n=0}^\infty \omega^n = +\infty, \lim_{n \to \infty} h^n = 0 \) and \( \sum_{n=0}^\infty c^n < \infty. \) Then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \xi^n = 0. \)

**Definition 2.19.** The Hausdorff metric \( \mathcal{D}(\cdot, \cdot) \) on \( CB(X) \), is defined by
\[
\mathcal{D}(S, T) = \max \left\{ \sup_{u \in S} \inf_{v \in T} d(u, v), \sup_{v \in T} \inf_{u \in S} d(u, v) \right\}, \quad S, T \in CB(X),
\]
where \( d(\cdot, \cdot) \) is the induced metric on \( X \) and \( CB(X) \) denotes the family of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of \( X \).

**Definition 2.20** ([3]). A set-valued mapping \( T : X \to CB(X) \) is said to be \( \gamma \)-\( \mathcal{D} \)-Lipschitz continuous, if there exists a constant \( \gamma > 0 \) such that
\[
\mathcal{D}(T(x), T(y)) \leq \gamma \| x - y \|, \quad \forall \ x, y \in X.
\]

**Theorem 2.21** (Nadler [22]). Let \( T : X \to CB(X) \) be a set-valued mapping on \( X \) and \( (X, d) \) be a complete metric space. Then, we have the following statements:

(i) For any given \( \mu > 0, \ x, y \in X \) and \( u \in T(x) \), there exists \( v \in T(y) \) such that
\[
d(u, v) \leq (1 + \mu) \mathcal{D}(T(x), T(y)).
\]
(ii) If \( T : X \to C(X) \), then (i) holds for \( \mu = 0 \), where \( C(X) \) denotes the family of all nonempty compact subsets of \( X \).
Definition 2.22 ([23]). Let $A : X \to X$ be a single-valued mapping, $x^0 \in X$, $x^{n+1} = f(A, x^n)$ be an iteration procedure which yields a sequence of points $(x^n)_{n \geq 0} \subset X$, where $f$ is a continuous mapping. Suppose that $\{x \in X : Ax = x\} \neq \emptyset$ and $(x^n)_{n \geq 0}$ converges to a fixed point $x^*$ of $A$. Let $(y^n)_{n \geq 0} \subset X$, $h^n = \|y^{n+1} - f(A, y^n)\|$. If $\lim_{n \to \infty} h^n = 0$ implies that $\lim_{n \to \infty} y^n = x^*$. Then the iteration procedure defined by $x^{n+1} = f(A, x^n)$ is said to be $A$-stable or stable with respect to $A$.

Now, we formulate our main problem.

Let for each $i \in \{1, 2\} \setminus i$, $X_i$ be a $q_i$-uniformly smooth Banach space with norm $\| \cdot \|_i$. Let $\phi_i, A_i, B_i, f_i, g_i : X_i \to X_i$, $H_i, \eta_i : X_i \times X_i \to X_i$, $N_i : X_i \times X_i \times X_i \to X_i$ be single-valued mappings and $M_i : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{X_i}$ be an $f_i(\cdot, \cdot)$-accretive mapping with respect to $A_i$ and $B_i$. Let $S_i, T_i, G_i : X_i \to C(X_i)$ be set-valued mappings.

We consider the following system of generalized variational-like inclusion problem (SGVLIP):

Find $(x_i, u_i, v_i, w_i)$ where $x_i \in X_i$, $u_i \in S_i(x_i)$, $v_i \in T_i(x_i)$, $w_i \in G_i(x_i)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_1 & \in H_i(f_i(x_1), x_1) - H_i(x_1, g_i(x_1)) + \lambda_1 N_i(u_1, v_2, w_1) + M_i(x_2, g_1(x_1)), \\
\theta_2 & \in H_2(x_2, g_2(x_2)) - H_2(f_2(x_2), x_2) + \lambda_2 N_2(u_2, v_1, w_2) + M_2(x_2, g_2(x_2)),
\end{align*}
$$

(3.3)

where $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are zero vectors of $X_1$ and $X_2$, respectively.

We remark that for appropriate and suitable choices of the above defined mappings, SGVLIP (2.1) includes a number of variational and variational-like inclusions as special cases, see for example [1, 2, 5, 7–10, 16, 28] and the related references cited therein.

3. Existence of Solution

First, we give the following lemma which guarantees the existence of solution of SGVLIP (2.1). The proof of this result can be followed by the definition of the resolvent operator $R_{M_i(x_j, \cdot), \lambda_i}(\cdot)$ and hence is omitted.

Lemma 3.1. For $i = 1, 2, j \in \{1, 2\} \setminus i$, let $A_i, B_i, f_i, g_i : X_i \to X_i$, $H_i, \eta_i : X_i \times X_i \to X_i$, $N_i : X_i \times X_i \times X_i \to X_i$ be single-valued mappings, let $\phi_i : X_i \to X_i$ be a mapping satisfying $\phi_i(x_i + x'_i) = \phi_i(x_i) + \phi_i(x'_i)$ for all $x_i, x'_i \in X_i$ and Ker$(\phi_i) = \{0\}$, where Ker$(\phi_i) = \{x_i \in X_i : \phi_i(x_i) = 0\}$ and $M_i : X_i \times X_i \to 2^{X_i}$ be an $f_i(\cdot, \cdot)$-accretive mapping with respect to $A_i$ and $B_i$. Then $(x_i, u_i, v_i, w_i)$ is a solution of SGVLIP (2.1) where $x_i \in X_i$, $u_i \in S_i(x_i)$, $v_i \in T_i(x_i)$, $w_i \in G_i(x_i)$ if and only if it satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
g_1(x_1) &= R_{M_2(x_2, \cdot), \lambda_2}^{H_2(\cdot, \cdot) - \phi_2 \eta_2} \left[ H_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(x_1) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1, v_2, w_1) \\
& \quad - \phi_1 \circ H_1(f_1(x_1), x_1) + \phi_1 \circ H_1(x_1, g_1(x_1)) \right], \\
g_2(x_2) &= R_{M_2(x_2, \cdot), \lambda_2}^{H_2(\cdot, \cdot) - \phi_2 \eta_2} \left[ H_2(A_2(g_2(\cdot)), B_2(g_2(\cdot)))(x_2) - \lambda_2 \phi_2 \circ N_2(u_2, v_1, w_2) \\
& \quad - \phi_2 \circ H_2(x_2, g_2(x_2)) + \phi_2 \circ H_2(f_2(x_2), x_2) \right],
\end{align*}
$$

(3.1)

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ are constants and

$$
R_{M_i(x_j, \cdot), \lambda_i}^{\mathcal{H}_i(A_i, B_i) + \lambda_i \phi_i \circ M_i(x_j, \cdot)}(x_i) = \mathcal{H}_i(A_i, B_i) + \lambda_i \phi_i \circ M_i(x_j, \cdot)
$$

$\forall x_i \in X_i, x_j \in X_j$.

Theorem 3.2. For $i = 1, 2, j \in \{1, 2\} \setminus i$, let $A_i, B_i, f_i, g_i : X_i \to X_i$, $H_i, \eta_i : X_i \times X_i \to X_i$, $N_i : X_i \times X_i \times X_i \to X_i$ be single-valued mappings. Let $\phi_i : X_i \to X_i$ be a mapping satisfying $\phi_i(x_i + x'_i) = \phi_i(x_i) + \phi_i(x'_i)$ for all $x_i, x'_i \in X_i$ and Ker$(\phi_i) = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{H}_i : X_i \times X_i \to X_i$ be an $\alpha_i \beta_i$-
symmetric $\eta_i$-accretive mapping with respect to $A_i(g_i(\cdot))$ and $B_i(g_i(\cdot))$, $(v_i, \xi_i)$-mixed Lipschitz continuous and let $\eta_i, \phi_i$ be $\tau_i, l_{g_i}$-Lipschitz continuous, respectively and $g_i$ be $\delta_i$-strongly $\eta_i$-accretive and $l_{g_i}$-Lipschitz continuous. Let $\phi_i \circ N_i$ be a $\mu_i$-strongly $\eta_i$-accretive mapping in the first argument and $d_i, s_i$ and $p_i$-Lipschitz continuous in the first, second and third arguments, respectively and $\phi_i \circ \mathcal{H}_i$ be $(\rho_i, \gamma_i)$-mixed Lipschitz continuous. Let $M_i : X_j \times X_i \to 2^{X_i}$ be an $\mathcal{H}_i(-,\cdot)$-$\phi_i$-$\eta_i$-accretive mapping with respect to $A_i$ and $B_i$ and $S_i, T_i, G_i : X_i \to C(X_i)$ be such that $S_i$ is $\mathcal{L}_{S_i,-}^\mathbb{D}$-Lipschitz continuous, $T_i$ is $\mathcal{L}_{T_i,-}^\mathbb{D}$-Lipschitz continuous and $G_i$ is $\mathcal{L}_{G_i,-}^\mathbb{D}$-Lipschitz continuous. Suppose that there are constants $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, r, r_1, r_2 > 0$ satisfying the following conditions:
\[
 k_i := m_i + \{L_j \lambda_j s_j \mathcal{L}_{T_i} + r \} < 1, \quad (3.2)
\]
where
\[
m_i := \left[ (1 - q_i \delta_i + q_i l_{g_i} \times (1 + \tau_i^{-1}) + c_{q_i} l_{g_i}^{q_i})^{1/q_i} + L_i \left[ (1 - q_i (\alpha_i - \beta_i) + q_i (v_i + \xi_i) \times (1 + \tau_i^{-1}) + c_{q_i} (v_i + \xi_i q_i) \right)^{1/q_i} + \left( 1 - \lambda_i q_i \mu_i + q_i d_i \mathcal{L}_{S_i} + (1 + \tau_i^{-1}) + \lambda_i q_i \mathcal{L}_{S_i} \right)^{1/q_i} + \lambda_i p_i \mathcal{L}_{G_i} + p_i (1 + l_{f_i}) + g_i (1 + l_{g_i}) \right], \quad L_i := -\frac{\tau_i^{-1}}{(\alpha_i - \beta_i)}
\]
and further assume that
\[
\| R_{M_i(x_j, \cdot), \lambda_i}^{\mathcal{H}_i(-,\cdot)\phi_i(\cdot)(\eta_i)}(x^*_i) - R_{M_i(x_j, \cdot), \lambda_i}^{\mathcal{H}_i(-,\cdot)\phi_i(\cdot)(\eta_i)}(x^*_i) \| \leq r_i \| x_j - x'_j \|_j, \quad \forall x^*_i \in X_i, x_j, x'_j \in X_j. \quad (3.3)
\]
Then SGVLIP (2.1) has a solution.

Proof. For each $(x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$, define a mapping $Q : X_1 \times X_2 \to X_1 \times X_2$ by
\[
Q(x_1, x_2) = (P_1(x_1, x_2), P_2(x_1, x_2)), \quad (3.4)
\]
where $P_1 : X_1 \times X_2 \to X_1$ and $P_2 : X_1 \times X_2 \to X_2$ are given by
\[
P_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1 - g_1(x_1) + R_{M_1(x_2, \cdot), \lambda_1}^{\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))}(x_1)
- \lambda_1 \phi_i \circ N_i (u_1, v_2, w_1) - \phi_i \circ \mathcal{H}_i (f_1(x_1), x_1) + \phi_i \circ \mathcal{H}_i (x_1, g_1(x_1)), \quad (3.5)
\]
\[
P_2(x_1, x_2) = x_2 - g_2(x_2) + R_{M_2(x_1, \cdot), \lambda_2}^{\mathcal{H}_2(A_2(g_2(\cdot)), B_2(g_2(\cdot)))}(x_2)
- \lambda_2 \phi_2 \circ N_i (u_2, v_1, w_2) - \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2 (f_2(x_2), g_2(x_2)) + \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2 (f_2(x_2), x_2), \quad (3.6)
\]
for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$, respectively. Then, for any $(x_1, x_2), (x'_1, x'_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$, it follows from (3.5), (3.6) and the Lipschitz continuity of $R_{M_1(x_2, \cdot), \lambda_1}$ and $R_{M_2(x_1, \cdot), \lambda_2}$ that
\[
\| P_1(x_1, x_2) - P_1(x'_1, x'_2) \|_1 \leq \| x_1 - g_1(x_1) + R_{M_1(x_2, \cdot), \lambda_1}^{\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))}(x_1)
- \lambda_1 \phi_i \circ N_i (u_1, v_2, w_1) - \phi_i \circ \mathcal{H}_i (f_1(x_1), x_1) + \phi_i \circ \mathcal{H}_i (x_1, g_1(x_1)) \|_1
- \| x'_1 - g_1(x'_1) + R_{M_1(x_2, \cdot), \lambda_1}^{\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))}(x'_1)
- \lambda_1 \phi_i \circ N_i (u'_1, v'_2, w'_1) - \phi_i \circ \mathcal{H}_i (f_1(x'_1), x'_1) + \phi_i \circ \mathcal{H}_i (x'_1, g_1(x'_1)) \|_1
\leq \| (x_1 - x'_1) - (g_1(x_1) - g_1(x'_1)) \|_1 + \| R_{M_1(x_2, \cdot), \lambda_1}^{\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))}(x_1) - \lambda_1 \phi_i \circ N_i (u_1, v_2, w_1)
\]
This implies that by using Lemma 2.4, we have
\[
\| (x_1 - x'_1) - (g_1(x_1) - g_1(x'_1)) \|_1 \leq (1 - q_1 \delta_1 + q_1 l_{g_1} (1 + r_{q_1}^{-1}) + c_{q_1} l_{g_1}^{q_1} ) \| x_1 - x'_1 \|_1.
\] (3.8)

Now, we have
\[
\| R_{M_1(x_1)}^{\beta_1, \eta_1} [ H_1(A_1(x_1), B_1(x_1)) (x_1) ] - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1, v_2, w_1)
\]
\[
- \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_1), x_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, g_1(x_1))
\]
\[
- R_{M_1(x_1, g_1(x_1))}^{\beta_1, \eta_1} [ H_1(A_1(x_1), B_1(x_1)) (x_1) ] - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u'_1, v'_2, w'_1)
\]
\[
- \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x'_1), x'_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x'_1, g_1(x'_1)) \|_1
\]
\[
\leq L_1 \| H_1(A_1(x_1), B_1(x_1)) (x_1) \|_1 + r_1 \| x_2 - x'_2 \|_2
\]

Since $g_i$ is $\delta_i$-strongly $\eta_i$-accretive, $l_{g_i}$-Lipschitz continuous and $\eta_i$ is $\tau_i$-Lipschitz continuous, by using Lemma 2.4, we have
\[
\| (x_1 - x'_1) - (g_1(x_1) - g_1(x'_1)) \|_1 \leq (1 - q_1 \delta_1 + q_1 l_{g_1} (1 + r_{q_1}^{-1}) + c_{q_1} l_{g_1}^{q_1} ) \| x_1 - x'_1 \|_1.
\] (3.8)
Since $\mathcal{H}_i$ is an $a_i\beta_i$-symmetric $\eta_i$-accretive mapping with respect to $A_i(g_i(\cdot))$ and $B_i(g_i(\cdot))$, $(v_i, \xi_i)$-mixed Lipschitz continuous and $\eta_i$ is $r_i$-Lipschitz continuous, by using Lemma 2.4 we have
\[
\|\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1) - \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1^i) - (x_1 - x_1^i)\|_q^1
\leq x_1 - x_1^i\|_q^1 - q_1\|\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1) - \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1^i), J_{q_1}(\eta_1(x_1, x_1^i))\|_1
- q_1\|\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1) - \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1^i), J_{q_1}(\eta_1(x_1, x_1^i))\|_1
+ c_{q_1}\|\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1) - \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1^i), J_{q_1}(\eta_1(x_1, x_1^i))\|_1
\leq x_1 - x_1^i\|_q^1 - q_1\|\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1) - \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1^i), J_{q_1}(\eta_1(x_1, x_1^i))\|_1
+ q_1\|\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1) - \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1^i), J_{q_1}(\eta_1(x_1, x_1^i))\|_1
+ c_{q_1}\|\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1) - \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1^i), J_{q_1}(\eta_1(x_1, x_1^i))\|_1
\leq (1 - q_1(a_1 - \beta_1) + q_1(v_1 + \xi_1) \times (1 + r_{q_1}^{-1}) + c_{q_1}(v_1 + \xi_1)^{q_1})\|x_1 - x_1^i\|_{q_1}^1.
\]
This implies
\[
\|\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1) - \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot))(x_1^i))\|_1
\leq (1 - q_1(a_1 - \beta_1) + q_1(v_1 + \xi_1) \times (1 + r_{q_1}^{-1}) + c_{q_1}(v_1 + \xi_1)^{q_1})\|x_1 - x_1^i\|_{q_1}^1.
\]
\[ \leq \|x_1 - x'_1\|^{q_1} + \lambda_1 q_1 \mu_1 \|x_1 - x'_1\|^{q_1} + \lambda_1 q_1 d_1 \mathcal{L}_{S_1} \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1 \]
\[ \times (\|x_1 - x'_1\|^{q_1-1} + r_1^{q_1-1}\|x_1 - x'_1\|^{q_1-1}) + \lambda_1^{q_1} c_1 d_1^{q_1} \mathcal{L}_{S_1}^{q_1} \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1 \]
\[ \leq (1 - \lambda_1 q_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_1 q_1 d_1 \mathcal{L}_{S_1} \times (1 + r_1^{q_1-1}) + \lambda_1^{q_1} c_1 d_1^{q_1} \mathcal{L}_{S_1}^{q_1}) \|x_1 - x'_1\|^{q_1}_1. \]

This implies that
\[ \| (x_1 - x'_1) - \lambda_1 (\phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1, v_2, w_1) - \phi_1 \circ N_1(u'_1, v'_2, w'_1)) \|_1 \]
\[ \leq (1 - \lambda_1 q_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_1 q_1 d_1 \mathcal{L}_{S_1} \times (1 + r_1^{q_1-1}) + \lambda_1^{q_1} c_1 d_1^{q_1} \mathcal{L}_{S_1}^{q_1})^{1/q_1} \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1. \]  

(3.11)

Also, we have
\[ \| \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1, v_2, w_1) - \phi_1 \circ N_1(u'_1, v'_2, w'_1) \|_1 \leq s_1 \| v_2 - v'_2 \|_2 \]
\[ \leq s_1 \mathcal{D}(T_2(x_2), T_2(x'_2)) \]
\[ \leq s_1 \mathcal{L}_{T_2} \|x_2 - x'_2\|_2 \]  

(3.12)

and
\[ \| \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1, v'_2, w_1) - \phi_1 \circ N_1(u'_1, v'_2, w'_1) \|_1 \leq p_1 \| w_1 - w'_1 \|_1 \]
\[ \leq p_1 \mathcal{D}(G_1(x_1), G_1(x'_1)) \]
\[ \leq p_1 \mathcal{L}_{G_1} \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1. \]  

(3.13)

Again, since \( \phi_i \circ \mathcal{H}_i \) is \((\rho_i, \gamma_i)\)-mixed Lipschitz continuous, \( f_i, g_i \) is \( l_{f_i}, l_{g_i} \)-Lipschitz continuous, respectively, we have
\[ \| \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_1), x_1) - \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f'_1(x'_1), x'_1) \|_1 \leq \rho_1 \| f_1(x_1) - f'_1(x'_1) \|_1 + \gamma_1 \| x_1 - x'_1 \|_1 \]
\[ \leq \rho_1 l_{f_1} \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1 + \gamma_1 \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1 \]  

(3.14)

and
\[ \| \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, g_1(x_1)) - \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x'_1, g_1(x'_1)) \|_1 \leq \rho_1 \| x_1 - x'_1 \|_1 + \gamma_1 \| g_1(x_1) - g_1(x'_1) \|_1 \]
\[ \leq \rho_1 \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1 + \gamma_1 l_{g_1} \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1. \]  

(3.15)

From (3.5), (3.7)-(3.15), we have
\[ \| P_1(x_1, x_2) - P_1(x'_1, x'_2) \|_1 \leq m_1 \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1 + (L_1 \lambda_1 s_1 \mathcal{L}_{T_2} + r_1) \|x_2 - x'_2\|_2. \]  

(3.16)

Similarly, we have
\[ \| P_2(x_1, x_2) - P_2(x'_1, x'_2) \|_2 \leq m_2 \|x_2 - x'_2\|_2 + (L_2 \lambda_2 s_2 \mathcal{L}_{T_1} + r_2) \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1. \]  

(3.17)

From (3.16) and (3.17), we have
\[ \| P_1(x_1, x_2) - P_1(x'_1, x'_2) \|_1 + \| P_2(x_1, x_2) - P_2(x'_1, x'_2) \|_2 \leq k_1 \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1 + k_2 \|x_2 - x'_2\|_2 \]
\[ \leq k \{ \|x_1 - x'_1\|_1 + \|x_2 - x'_2\|_2 \}, \]  

(3.18)

where \( k = \max(k_1, k_2) \).

Now, define the norm \( \| \cdot \|_* \) on \( X_1 \times X_2 \) by
\[ \| (x_1, x_2) \|_* = \|x_1\|_1 + \|x_2\|_2, \quad \forall (x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2. \]  

(3.19)

Then we observe that \( (X_1 \times X_2, \| \cdot \|_*) \) is a Banach space. Hence, it follows from (3.4), (3.18) and (3.19) that
\[ \| Q(x_1, x_2) - Q(x'_1, x'_2) \|_* \leq \| (P_1(x_1, x_2), P_2(x_1, x_2)) - (P_1(x'_1, x'_2), P_2(x'_1, x'_2)) \|_* \]
\[ \leq \| P_1(x_1, x_2) - P_1(x'_1, x'_2), P_2(x_1, x_2) - P_2(x'_1, x'_2) \|_* \]
\( \leq \|P_1(x_1, x_2) - P_1(x'_1, x'_2)\|_1 + \|P_2(x_1, x_2) - P_2(x'_1, x'_2)\|_2 \leq k\{\|x_1 - x'_1\|_1 + \|x_2 - x'_2\|_2 \}. \)

Since \( k = \max\{k_1, k_2\} < 1 \) by (3.2), it follows from (3.20) that \( Q \) is a contraction mapping. Hence, by Banach contraction principle, it admits a unique fixed point \((x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \times X_2 \) such that \( Q(x_1, x_2) = (x_1, x_2), \) which implies that

\[
\begin{align*}
g_1(x_1) &= R^{3\{\cdot, \cdot\}}_{M_1(x_2, 1)} \left[ \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(x_1) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1, v_2, w_1) - \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_1), x_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, g_1(x_1)) \right] \} + a^n e^n, \\
g_2(x_2) &= R^{3\{\cdot, \cdot\}}_{M_2(x_1, 1)} \left[ \mathcal{H}_2(A_2(g_2(\cdot)), B_2(g_2(\cdot)))(x_2) - \lambda_2 \phi_2 \circ N_2(u_2, v_1, w_2) - \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(f_2(x_2), x_2) + \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(x_2, g_2(x_2)) \right] + a^n e^n.
\end{align*}
\]

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that \((x_i, u_i, v_i, w_i)\) is a solution of SGVLIP (2.1). This completes the proof.

\[
\text{4. Mann Type Perturbed Iterative Algorithm, Convergence and Stability Analysis}
\]

Lemma 3.1 is very important from the numerical point of view as it along with Nadler [22] allows us to suggest the following Mann type perturbed iterative algorithm (in short, MTPIA) for finding the approximate solution of SGVLIP (2.1).

**Algorithm 4.1** (Mann Type Perturbed Iteration). For each \( i = 1, 2, \ j \in \{1, 2\} \setminus i, \) given \((x^0_i, u^0_i, v^0_i, w^0_i), \) where \( x^0_i \in X_i, \ u^0_i \in S_i(x^0_i), \ v^0_i \in T_i(x^0_i), \ w^0_i \in G_i(x^0_i) \) such that \( S_i, T_i, G_i : X_i \rightarrow C(X_i), \) compute the sequences \((x^n_i), (u^n_i), (v^n_i), (w^n_i)\) by the iterative schemes:

\[
\begin{align*}
x^{n+1}_1 &= \left(1 - a^n\right)x^n_1 + a^n \{x^n_1 - g_1(x^n_1) + R^{3\{\cdot, \cdot\}}_{M^n_1(x^n_2)} \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(x^n_1) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1, v_2, w_1) - \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x^n_1), x^n_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, g_1(x^n_1)) \} + a^n e^n, \\
x^{n+1}_2 &= \left(1 - a^n\right)x^n_2 + a^n \{x^n_2 - g_2(x^n_2) + R^{3\{\cdot, \cdot\}}_{M^n_2(x^n_1)} \mathcal{H}_2(A_2(g_2(\cdot)), B_2(g_2(\cdot)))(x^n_2) - \lambda_2 \phi_2 \circ N_2(u_2, v_1, w_2) - \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(f_2(x^n_2), x^n_2) + \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(x_2, g_2(x^n_2)) \} + a^n e^n, \\
u^{n+1}_i &\in S_i(x^n_i) : \|u^{n+1}_i - u^n_i\|_i \leq \mathcal{D}(S_i(x^n_i), S_i(x^n_i)), \quad \|v^{n+1}_i - v^n_i\|_i \leq \mathcal{D}(T_i(x^n_i), T_i(x^n_i)) , \\
w^{n+1}_i &\in G_i(x^n_i) : \|w^{n+1}_i - w^n_i\|_i \leq \mathcal{D}(G_i(x^n_i), G_i(x^n_i)),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \), \( \lambda_i > 0 \) are constants, \( M^n_i \) is an \( \mathcal{H}^n_i(\cdot, \cdot) \)-\( \phi^n_i \)-\( \eta^n_i \)-accretive mapping and \( \{(e^n_1, e^n_2)\}_{n \geq 0} \) is sequence in \( X_1 \times X_2 \) introduced to take into account possible inexact computation which satisfies \( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|e^n_1\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|e^n_2\| = 0 \) and \( \{a^n\} \) is a sequence of real numbers such that \( a^n \in [0, 1] \) and \( \sum_{n = 0}^{\infty} a^n = +\infty. \)

**Theorem 4.2.** For \( i = 1, 2, j \in \{1, 2\} \setminus i, \) let \( A_i, B_i, f_i, g_i : X_i \rightarrow X_i, \mathcal{H}_i, \eta_i : X_i \times X_i \rightarrow X_i, N_i : X_i \times X_j \times X_i \rightarrow X_i \) be single-valued mappings. Let \( \phi_i : X_i \rightarrow X_i \) be a mapping satisfying \( \phi_i(x_i + x'_i) = \phi_i(x_i) + \phi_i(x'_i) \) for all \( x_i, x'_i \in X_i \) and \( \text{Ker}(\phi_i) = \{0\}, \mathcal{H}_i : X_i \times X_i \rightarrow X_i \) be an \( \alpha_i \beta_i \)-symmetric \( \eta_i \)-accretive mapping with respect to \( A_i(g_i(\cdot)) \) and \( B_i(g_i(\cdot)), \{v_i, \xi_i\} \)-mixed Lipschitz continuous and let \( \eta_i, f_i \) be \( \tau_i, l_{f_i} \)-Lipschitz continuous, respectively and \( g_i \) be \( \delta_i \)-strongly
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For any sequences \( \eta_i \)-accretive and \( L_{g_i} \)-Lipschitz continuous. Let \( \phi_i \circ N_i \) be a \( \mu_i \)-strongly \( \eta_i \)-accretive mapping in the first argument and \( a_i, s_i \) and \( p_i \)-Lipschitz continuous in the first, second and third arguments, respectively and \( \phi_i \circ \mathcal{H}_i \) be \( (\rho_i, \gamma_i) \)-mixed Lipschitz continuous. Let \( M_i : X_i \times X_i \to \bar{2}^{X_i} \), be an \( \mathcal{H}_i(\cdot, \cdot) \)-\( \phi_i \)-\( \eta_i \)-accretive mapping with respect to \( A_i \) and \( B_i \) and \( S_i, T_i, G_i : X_i \to C(X_i) \) be such that \( S_i \) is \( L_{S_i} \)-D-Lipschitz continuous, \( T_i \) is \( L_{T_i} \)-D-Lipschitz continuous and \( G_i \) is \( L_{G_i} \)-D-Lipschitz continuous. Let \( \phi_i^n \) be a single-valued mapping, \( \eta_i^n : X_i \times X_i \to X_i \) be \( \tau_i^n \)-Lipschitz continuous and \( \mathcal{H}_i^n : X_i \times X_i \to X_i \) be an \( \alpha^n_i \beta^n_i \)-symmetric \( \eta_i^n \)-accretive mapping. Further, let \( M_i^n : X_i \times X_i \to \bar{2}^{X_i} \) satisfy the followings:

(i) \( M_i^n(x_j, \cdot) : X_i \to \bar{2}^{X_i} \) is \( \mathcal{H}_i^n(\cdot, \cdot) \)-\( \phi_i^n \)-\( \eta_i^n \)-accretive mapping for each \( x_j \in X_j \),

(ii) \( \phi_i^n \circ M_i^n(x_j, \cdot) \overset{g}{\rightarrow} \phi_i \circ M_i(x_j, \cdot), \mathcal{H}_i^n(A_i, B_i)(x_i) \to \mathcal{H}_i(A_i, B_i)(x_i) \) as \( n \to \infty \) for each \( x_j \in X_j \), \( x_i \in X_i \),

(iii)

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\| R_{M_i^n(x_j, \cdot), A_i}^{\mathcal{H}_i^n(\cdot, \cdot) \phi_i^n - \eta_i^n}(x^n) - R_{M_i^n(x_j, \cdot), A_i}^{\mathcal{H}_i^n(\cdot, \cdot) \phi_i^n - \eta_i^n}(y^n) \right\| & \leq L_i^n \| x^n - y^n \|, \quad \forall x^n, y^n \in X_i, \\
\left\| R_{M_i^n(x_j, \cdot), A_i}^{\mathcal{H}_i^n(\cdot, \cdot) \phi_i^n - \eta_i^n}(x^n) - R_{M_i^n(x_j, \cdot), A_i}^{\mathcal{H}_i^n(\cdot, \cdot) \phi_i^n - \eta_i^n}(x^j) \right\| & \leq r_i^n \| x^n - x^j \|, \quad \forall x^n \in X_i, x_j, x^j \in X_j,
\end{align*}
\]

(iv) \( a^n_i - a_i, \beta^n_i - \beta_i, r^n_i - r_i, \tau^n_i - \tau_i \) as \( n \to \infty \) where, \( L_i^n := \frac{r_i^n \beta_i^n - 1}{\alpha_i^n a_i^n - \beta_i^n} \).

Further, suppose that \( ((\tilde{x}_i^n, \tilde{u}_i^n, \tilde{v}_i^n, \tilde{w}_i^n))_{n \geq 0} \) is a sequence in \( X_i \) and define \( e^n = e^n_1 + e^n_2 \) for \( n \geq 0 \) by

\[
\begin{align*}
e^n_1 & = \left\| \tilde{x}_i^{n+1}_1 - \left[(1-a^n)\tilde{x}_i^n_1 + a^n \{ \tilde{x}_i^n - g_1(\tilde{x}_i^n) + R_{M_i^n(\cdot, \cdot), A_i}^{\mathcal{H}_i^n(\cdot, \cdot) \phi_i^n - \eta_i^n}(\tilde{x}_i^n) \} + \lambda_1 \phi_i \circ N_i(\tilde{u}_i^n, \tilde{v}_i^n, \tilde{w}_i^n) - \phi_i \circ \mathcal{H}_i(f_1(\tilde{x}_i^n), \tilde{x}_i^n) + \phi_i \circ \mathcal{H}_i(\tilde{x}_i^n, g_1(\tilde{x}_i^n)) \right] \right\|_1, \\
e^n_2 & = \left\| \tilde{x}_i^{n+1}_2 - \left[(1-a^n)\tilde{x}_i^n_2 + a^n \{ \tilde{x}_i^n - g_2(\tilde{x}_i^n) + R_{M_i^n(\cdot, \cdot), A_i}^{\mathcal{H}_i^n(\cdot, \cdot) \phi_i^n - \eta_i^n}(\tilde{x}_i^n) \} + \lambda_2 \phi_2 \circ N_2(\tilde{u}_i^n, \tilde{v}_i^n, \tilde{w}_i^n) - \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(g_2(\tilde{x}_i^n), \tilde{x}_i^n) + \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(\tilde{x}_i^n, g_2(\tilde{x}_i^n)) \right] \right\|_2, \\
e^n_1 & \in S_i(\tilde{x}_i^n_1) : \| \tilde{u}_i^n_1 - \tilde{u}_i^n_1 \| \leq \mathcal{D}(S_i(\tilde{x}_i^{n+1}_1), S_i(\tilde{x}_i^n_1)), \\
e^n_2 & \in T_i(\tilde{x}_i^n_2) : \| \tilde{u}_i^n_2 - \tilde{u}_i^n_2 \| \leq \mathcal{D}(T_i(\tilde{x}_i^{n+1}_2), T_i(\tilde{x}_i^n_2)), \\
e^n_1 & \in G_i(\tilde{x}_i^n) : \| \tilde{w}_i^n_1 - \tilde{w}_i^n_1 \| \leq \mathcal{D}(G_i(\tilde{x}_i^{n+1}_1), G_i(\tilde{x}_i^n_1)).
\end{align*}
\]

If there exist positive constants \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) such that (3.2) holds then we have the following statements:

(a) The iterative sequence \( ((\tilde{x}_i^n, \tilde{u}_i^n, \tilde{v}_i^n, \tilde{w}_i^n))_{n \geq 0} \) generated by MTPIA (4.1) converges to the solution \( (x_i, u_i, v_i, w_i) \) of SGVLIP (2.1).

(b) For any sequences \( ((\tilde{x}_i^n, \tilde{u}_i^n, \tilde{v}_i^n, \tilde{w}_i^n))_{n \geq 0} \), \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (\tilde{x}_i^n, \tilde{u}_i^n, \tilde{v}_i^n, \tilde{w}_i^n) = (x_i, u_i, v_i, w_i) \) if and only if \( \lim_{n \to \infty} e^n = 0 \), where \( e^n = e^n_1 + e^n_2 \), for all \( n \geq 0 \).

Proof. SGVLIP (2.1) has a solution \( (x_i, u_i, v_i, w_i) \) by Theorem 3.2. From Lemma 3.1 we have

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 & = (1-a^n)x_1 + a^n(x_1 - g_1(x_1)) + R_{M_1(\cdot, \cdot), A_1}^{\mathcal{H}_1(\cdot, \cdot) \phi_1 - \eta_1} \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(x_1) \\
& - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1, v_1, w_1) - \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_1), x_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, g_1(x_1))), \\
x_2 & = (1-a^n)x_2 + a^n(x_2 - g_2(x_2)) + R_{M_2(\cdot, \cdot), A_2}^{\mathcal{H}_2(\cdot, \cdot) \phi_2 - \eta_2} \mathcal{H}_2(A_2(g_2(\cdot)), B_2(g_2(\cdot)))(x_2) \\
& - \lambda_2 \phi_2 \circ N_2(u_2, v_2, w_2) - \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(g_2(x_2), x_2) + \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(x_2, g_2(x_2))).
\end{align*}
\]
Now, from MTPIA (4.1), (4.3) and using the same arguments used in estimating (3.7)-(3.15), we have
\[
\|x_{n+1} - x_1\| = \|(1-a^n)x_n^n + a^n\{x_n^n - g_1(x_n^n) + R_{M_1^n(x_{n+1}^n),\lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)),B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(x_n^n) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1^n,v_2^n,w_1^n) - \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_n^n),x_1^n) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_n^n,1))\| + a^n \epsilon^n_1
\]
\[
\leq (1-a^n)\|x_n^n - x_1\|_1 + a^n\|(x_n^n - x_1) - (g_1(x_n^n) - g_1(x_1))\|_1 + a^n \|R_{M_1^n(x_{n+1}^n),\lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)),B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(x_n^n) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1^n,v_2^n,w_1^n) - \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_n^n),x_1^n) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_n^n,1))\|_1 + a^n \epsilon^n_1
\]
\[
\leq (1-a^n)\|x_n^n - x_1\|_1 + a^n\|(x_n^n - x_1) - (g_1(x_n^n) - g_1(x_1))\|_1 + a^n \|R_{M_1^n(x_{n+1}^n),\lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)),B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(x_n^n) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1^n,v_2^n,w_1^n) - \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_n^n),x_1^n) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_n^n,1))\|_1 + a^n \epsilon^n_1
\]
\[
\leq (1-a^n)\|x_n^n - x_1\|_1 + a^n\|(x_n^n - x_1) - (g_1(x_n^n) - g_1(x_1))\|_1 + a^n \|R_{M_1^n(x_{n+1}^n),\lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)),B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(x_n^n) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1^n,v_2^n,w_1^n) - \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_n^n),x_1^n) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_n^n,1))\|_1 + a^n \epsilon^n_1
\]
\[
\leq (1-a^n)\|x_n^n - x_1\|_1 + a^n\|(x_n^n - x_1) - (g_1(x_n^n) - g_1(x_1))\|_1 + a^n \|R_{M_1^n(x_{n+1}^n),\lambda_1}(\mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)),B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(x_n^n) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1^n,v_2^n,w_1^n) - \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_n^n),x_1^n) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_n^n,1))\|_1 + a^n \epsilon^n_1
\]
where
\[ b^n_{M_1} := \| R^{(\zeta_1),\phi_1}_M(x_2,\lambda_1) [ \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)),B_1(g_1(\cdot)))x_1) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1,v_2,w_1) \\
- \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_1),x_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_1,g_1(x_1))] - R^{(\zeta_1),\phi_1}_M(x_2,\lambda_1) [ \mathcal{H}_1(A_1(g_1(\cdot)),B_1(g_1(\cdot)))x_1) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(u_1,v_2,w_1) \\
- \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_1),x_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_1,g_1(x_1))] \|_1. \]

Similarly, we obtain
\[
\| x^{n+1}_2 - x_2 \|_2 \leq (1 - a^n) \| x^n_2 - x_2 \|_2 + a^n \left\{ m^n_2 \| x^n_2 - x_2 \|_2 + (L^n_2 \lambda_2 s_2 L T_1 + r^n_2) \| x^n_1 - x_1 \|_1 \\
+ a^n \| b^n_{M_2} + a^n \| e^n_2 \|_2, \right. \tag{4.5}
\]

where
\[
\begin{aligned}
& b^n_{M_2} := \| R^{(\zeta_2),\phi_2}_M(x_2,\lambda_2) [ \mathcal{H}_2(A_2(g_2(\cdot)),B_2(g_2(\cdot)))x_2) - \lambda_2 \phi_2 \circ N_2(u_2,v_1,w_2) \\
& - \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(f_2(x_2),x_2) + \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(f_2(x_2),x_2)] - R^{(\zeta_2),\phi_2}_M(x_2,\lambda_2) [ \mathcal{H}_2(A_2(g_2(\cdot)),B_2(g_2(\cdot)))x_2) - \lambda_2 \phi_2 \circ N_2(u_2,v_1,w_2) \\
& - \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(f_2(x_2),x_2) + \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(f_2(x_2),x_2)] \|_2.
\end{aligned}
\]

It follows from (4.4) and (4.5) that
\[
\begin{aligned}
\| x^{n+1}_1 - x_1 \|_1 + \| x^{n+1}_2 - x_2 \|_2 & \leq [1 - a^n(1 - k^n)] \| x^n_1 - x_1 \|_1 + [1 - a^n(1 - k^n)] \| x^n_2 - x_2 \|_2 \\
& + a^n \left\{ b^n_{M_1} + b^n_{M_2} + \| e^n_1 \|_1 + \| e^n_2 \|_2 \right\} \\
& \leq [1 - a^n(1 - k^n)] \| x^n_1 - x_1 \|_1 + \| x^n_2 - x_2 \|_2 \\
& + a^n \left\{ b^n_{M_1} + b^n_{M_2} + \| e^n_1 \|_1 + \| e^n_2 \|_2 \right\}, \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}
\]

where
\[
\begin{aligned}
k^n & := m^n_1 + \{ L^n_1 \lambda_j s_j L T_1 + r^n_1 \}, \\
m^n_i & := \left\{ (1 - q_i \delta_i + q_i l_i g_i \times (1 + \tau_i^{-q_i i}) + c_i l_i g_i) \right\} \right. \\
& + L^n_1 \{ (1 - q_i (\alpha_i - \beta_i) + q_i (v_i + \xi_i) \times (1 + \tau_i^{-q_i i}) + c_i (v_i + \xi_i)) \} l_i g_i^{-q_i i} \\
& + (1 - \lambda_i q_i g_i + \lambda_i q_i d_i L S_1 \times (1 + \tau_i^{-q_i i}) + \lambda_i q_i c_i d_i^{-q_i i} L S_1) + \lambda_i \rho_i s G_i + \rho_i (1 + l f) + g_i (1 + l g i) \}, \\
k^n & = \max(k^n_1, k^n_2) 	ext{ and from Lemma 2.17, } b^n_{M_i} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\end{aligned}
\]

Clearly, for \( k' = \frac{1}{2}(k + 1) \in (k, 1) \), there exists \( N_0 \geq 1 \) such that \( k^n < k' \), for all \( n \geq N_0 \).

Therefore, for any \( n \geq N_0 \),
\[
\| x^{n+1}_1 - x_1 \|_1 + \| x^{n+1}_2 - x_2 \|_2 \leq [1 - a^n(1 - k')] \| x^n_1 - x_1 \|_1 + \| x^n_2 - x_2 \|_2 \\
+ a^n \left\{ b^n_{M_1} + b^n_{M_2} + \| e^n_1 \|_1 + \| e^n_2 \|_2 \right\} \\
\leq [1 - a^n(1 - k')] \| x^n_1 - x_1 \|_1 + \| x^n_2 - x_2 \|_2 \\
+ a^n(1 - k') \frac{b^n_{M_1} + b^n_{M_2} + \| e^n_1 \|_1 + \| e^n_2 \|_2}{(1 - k')},
\]

Let \( \tilde{\zeta}^n = \| x^n_1 - x_1 \|_1 + \| x^n_2 - x_2 \|_2, \tilde{\zeta}^n \to 0 \) \( l^n = \frac{\{ b^n_{M_1} + b^n_{M_2} + \| e^n_1 \|_1 + \| e^n_2 \|_2 \}}{(1 - k')}, \omega^n = a^n(1 - k'). \) Then, we have
\[
\tilde{\zeta}^{n+1} \leq (1 - k^n) \tilde{\zeta}^n + \omega^n \tilde{\zeta}^n.
\]
Using Lemma 2.18, we have $\epsilon^n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ (since by Lemma 2.17 $b^n_i$ and $b^n_{M_2}$ both tend to 0 as $n \to \infty$). This implies $x^n_1 \to x_1, x^n_2 \to x_2$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $S_i$ is $L_i$-Lipschitz continuous, it follows from MTPIA 4.1 that

$$
\|u^n_i - u^n_i\|_i \leq \mathcal{D}(S_i(x^n_i), S_i(x_i))_i \leq L_i \|x^n_i - x_i\|_i.
$$

This implies that $u^n_i \to u_i$ as $n \to \infty$. Further, claim that $u_i \in S_i(x_i)$. We have

$$
d(u_i, S_i(x_i)) \leq \|u_i - u^n_i\|_i + d(u^n_i, S_i(x_i))_i
\leq \|u_i - u^n_i\|_i + \mathcal{D}(S_i(x^n_i), S_i(x_i))_i
\leq \|u_i - u^n_i\|_i + L_i \|x^n_i - x_i\|_i \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

Since $S_i(x_i)$ is compact, we have $u_i \in S_i(x_i)$. Similarly, we can prove that $v_i \in T_i(x_i), w_i \in G_i(x_i)$. Thus the approximate solution $(x^n_i, u^n_i, v^n_i, w^n_i)$ generated by MTPIA (4.1) converges strongly to a solution $(x_i, u_i, v_i, w_i)$ of (2.1).

Now, we demonstrate (b). By using (4.2) and (4.3), and proceeding as in (4.6) we deduce that

$$
\|\tilde{x}^{n+1}_1 - x_1\|_1 = \|\tilde{x}^{n+1}_1 - (1 - a^n)\tilde{x}^n_1 + a^n(\tilde{x}^n_1 - g_1(\tilde{x}^n_1)) + R^{[\mathcal{H}_n]}_{M_1}([\mathcal{H}_n](1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(\tilde{x}^n_1) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(\tilde{u}^n_1, \tilde{v}^n_2, \tilde{w}^n_1)
+ \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(\tilde{x}^n_1), \tilde{x}^n_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(\tilde{x}^n_1, g_1(\tilde{x}^n_1))) \} + a^n e^n_1\|_1
+ \|((1 - a^n)\tilde{x}^n_1 + a^n(\tilde{x}^n_1 - g_1(\tilde{x}^n_1))
+ R^{[\mathcal{H}_n]}_{M_1}([\mathcal{H}_n](1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(\tilde{x}^n_1) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(\tilde{u}^n_1, \tilde{v}^n_2, \tilde{w}^n_1)
+ \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(\tilde{x}^n_1), \tilde{x}^n_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(\tilde{x}^n_1, g_1(\tilde{x}^n_1))) \} + a^n e^n_1\|_1
\| \leq e^n_1 + \|((1 - a^n)\tilde{x}^n_1 + a^n(\tilde{x}^n_1 - g_1(\tilde{x}^n_1))
+ R^{[\mathcal{H}_n]}_{M_1}([\mathcal{H}_n](1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(\tilde{x}^n_1) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(\tilde{u}^n_1, \tilde{v}^n_2, \tilde{w}^n_1)
+ \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(\tilde{x}^n_1), \tilde{x}^n_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(\tilde{x}^n_1, g_1(\tilde{x}^n_1))) \} + a^n e^n_1\|_1
\| \leq e^n_1 + (1 - a^n)\|\tilde{x}^n_1 - x_1\|_1 + a^n[m^n_1\|\tilde{x}^n_1 - x_1\|_1
+ (L_{1,1}^n \lambda_{1,1} \mathcal{L}_T + r^n_{1,1})\|\tilde{x}^n_1 - x_1\|_1 + a^n h^n_{M_1} + a^n e^n_1\|_1, \quad (4.7)
$$

where

$$
h^n_{M_1} := \|R^{[\mathcal{H}_n]}_{M_1}([\mathcal{H}_n](1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(x_1) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(\tilde{u}^n_1, \tilde{v}^n_2, \tilde{w}^n_1)
- \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_1), x_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, g_1(x_1))) \|_1
- R^{[\mathcal{H}_n]}_{M_1}([\mathcal{H}_n](1(g_1(\cdot)), B_1(g_1(\cdot)))(x_1) - \lambda_1 \phi_1 \circ N_1(\tilde{u}^n_1, \tilde{v}^n_2, \tilde{w}^n_1)
- \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(f_1(x_1), x_1) + \phi_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_1(x_1, g_1(x_1))) \|_1.
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\|\tilde{x}^{n+1}_2 - x_2\|_2 \leq e^n_2 + (1 - a^n)\|\tilde{x}^n_2 - x_2\|_2 + a^n[m^n_2\|\tilde{x}^n_2 - x_2\|_2
+ (L_{2,2}^n \lambda_{2,2} \mathcal{L}_T + r^n_{2,2})\|\tilde{x}^n_1 - x_1\|_1 + a^n h^n_{M_2} + a^n e^n_2\|_2, \quad (4.8)
$$
where
\[
\begin{align*}
 h^n_{M_2} &:= \left\| R^{\mathcal{H}_2(A_2(g_2(-)), B_2(g_2(-)))(x_2) - \lambda_2 \phi_2 \circ N_2(u_2, v_1, w_2) - \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(x_2, g_2(x_2)) + \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(f_2(x_2), x_2) \right\|_2 \\
 & \quad - R^{\mathcal{H}_2(A_2(g_2(-)), B_2(g_2(-)))(x_2) - \lambda_2 \phi_2 \circ N_2(u_2, v_1, w_2) - \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(x_2, g_2(x_2)) + \phi_2 \circ \mathcal{H}_2(f_2(x_2), x_2) \right\|_2.
\end{align*}
\]

By Lemma 2.17, \( h^n_{M_1} \) and \( h^n_{M_2} \) both tend to 0 as \( n \to \infty \). Hence from (4.7) and (4.8), for all \( n \geq N_0 \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\| \tilde{x}^{n+1}_1 - x_1 \|_1 + \| \tilde{x}^{n+1}_2 - x_2 \|_2 & \leq (1 - a^n (1 - k')) \| \tilde{x}^n_1 - x_1 \|_1 + \| \tilde{x}^n_2 - x_2 \|_2 \\
& \quad + a^n (1 - k') \left( h^n_{M_1} + h^n_{M_2} + \| e^n_1 \|_1 + \| e^n_2 \|_2 \right) + e^n. \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
\]

Suppose that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} e^n = 0 \). Let \( \zeta^n = \| \tilde{x}^n_1 - \tilde{x}_1 \|_1 + \| \tilde{x}^n_2 - \tilde{x}_2 \|_2 \), \( h^n = \frac{h^n_{M_1} + h^n_{M_2} + \| e^n_1 \|_1 + \| e^n_2 \|_2}{(1 - k')} \) and \( \omega^n = a^n (1 - k') \). Then we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{n+1} & \leq (1 - \omega^n) \zeta^n + \omega^n h^n.
\end{align*}
\]

Using Lemma 2.18 we have \( \zeta^n \to 0 \) as \( n \to \infty \). This implies \( \tilde{x}^n \to x_1, \tilde{x}^n \to x_2 \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Proceeding as in the convergence of the sequence of \((u^n_i, v^n_i, w^n_i)\), it follows that \((\bar{u}^n_i, \bar{v}^n_i, \bar{w}^n_i) \to (u_i, v_i, w_i)\) as \( n \to \infty \).

Conversely suppose that \((\tilde{x}^n_i, \bar{u}^n_i, \bar{v}^n_i, \bar{w}^n_i) \to (x_i, u_i, v_i, w_i)\) as \( n \to \infty \). In view of (4.9), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
e^n & = e^n_1 + e^n_2 \\
& \leq \| \tilde{x}^{n+1}_1 - x_1 \|_1 + \| \tilde{x}^{n+1}_2 - x_2 \|_2 + [1 - a^n (1 - k')] \| \tilde{x}^n_1 - x_1 \|_1 + \| \tilde{x}^n_2 - x_2 \|_2 \\
& \quad + a^n (1 - k') \left( h^n_{M_1} + h^n_{M_2} + \| e^n_1 \|_1 + \| e^n_2 \|_2 \right), \forall n \geq N_0.
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} e^n = 0 \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.3.** Theorem 4.2 extend, improve and unify Theorem 3.1 of Fang and Huang [7], Theorem 4.1 of Fang et al. [8], Theorem 4.1 of Huang [10], Theorem 2.1 of He, Lou and He [9], Theorem 3.1 of Kazmi and Bhat [13], Theorem 3.5-3.8 of Liu, Kang and Ume [20], Theorem 4.3 of Bhat and Zahoore [1], Theorem 4.2 of Bhat and Zahoore [2], Theorem 4.1 of Liu et al. [21]. The class of \( \mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\)-\( \psi \)-\( \eta \)-accretive operator is much wider and more general than those of \((\cdot, \cdot)\)-\( \psi \)-\( \eta \)-accretive operator in [5], \((H, \eta)\)-monotone operator in [8] and \((\cdot, \cdot)\)-\( \psi \)-\( \eta \)-accretive operator in [16].

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we consider a new class of variational inclusions which is called a system of generalized variational-like inclusion problem involving \( \mathcal{H}(\cdot, \cdot)\)-\( \psi \)-\( \eta \)-accretive operator in real \( q \)-uniformly smooth Banach spaces. By using the new resolvent operator technique, we prove the existence of solution for this system of inclusions. And also, we discuss the convergence and stability analysis of the iterative sequence generated by perturbed Mann iterative scheme with errors. The our main Theorem 4.2 is an extension, improvement and unification of the well-known results (see [7], [8], [9], [10], [13], [20], [21]).
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