Communications in Mathematics and Applications Volume 2 (2011), Number 1, pp. 29–38 © RGN Publications



k-Tuple Total Domination in Supergeneralized Petersen Graphs

Adel P. Kazemi and Behnaz Pahlavsay

Abstract. Total domination number of a graph without isolated vertex is the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set, that is, a set of vertices such that every vertex of the graph is adjacent to at least one vertex of the set. Henning and Kazemi in [4] extended this definition as follows: for any positive integer *k*, and any graph *G* with minimum degree-*k*, a set *D* of vertices is a *k*-tuple total dominating set of *G* if each vertex of *G* is adjacent to at least *k* vertices in *D*. The *k*-tuple total domination number $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$ of *G* is the minimum cardinality of a *k*-tuple total domination number of the supergeneralized Petersen graphs. Also we calculate the exact amount of this number for some of them.

1. Introduction

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with vertex set V of order n and edge set E. A cycle on n vertices is denoted by C_n . The minimum degree (resp., maximum degree) among the vertices of G is denoted by $\delta(G)$ (resp., $\Delta(G)$) or briefly by δ (resp., Δ).

The *cartesian product* $G \Box H$ of two graphs G and H is a graph with the vertex set $V(G \Box H) = \{(v, w) \mid v \in V(G), w \in V(H)\}$, and two vertices (v, w) and (v', w') are adjacent together in $G \Box H$ if either w = w' and $vv' \in E(G)$ or v = v' and $ww' \in E(H)$.

In [6], Saražin et al defined the supergeneralized Petersen graph that is an extending of generalized Petersen graph as follows: let $m \ge 2$, $n \ge 3$ be integers and $l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_{m-1} \in Z_n - \{0\}$, where $Z_n = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. The vertex set of the graph $P(m, n, l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_{m-1})$ is $Z_m \times Z_n$ and the edges are defined by (i, j)(i + 1, j), $(i, j)(i, j + l_i)$, for all $i \in Z_m$ and $j \in Z_n$. The edges of type (i, j)(i + 1, j) will be called *horizontal*, while those of type $(i, j)(i, j + l_i)$ vertical. We will call such a graph supergeneralized Petersen graph (SGPG). Note that $\Delta(P(m, n, l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_{m-1})) \le 4$, and $P(m, n; 1, \ldots, 1)$ is the Cartesian product $C_m \square C_n$ of two cycles; in particular, the skeleton of the 4-dimensional hypercube is $Q_4 = C_4 \square C_4 = P(4, 4; 1, 1, 1, 1)$.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C69.

Key words and phrases. k-tuple total domination number; Supergeneralized Petersen graph; Cartesian product graph.

To avoid confusion we will pick $0 \le l_i \le \frac{n}{2}$ as a representative of $\{\pm l_i\}$. If *n* is odd, then $P = P(m, n; l_0, ..., l_{m-1})$ is obviously 4-*regular*, with the exception m = 2, when the graph is cubic. The same holds when *n* is even, if $l_i = n/2$, for every $i \in Z_m$. On the contrary, if $l_i = n/2$ for some *i*, then *P* is not regular, unless $l_i = n/2$ for all $i \in Z_n$. In this last case the graph *P* is not connected: it is formed by n/2 components each isomorphic to $C_m \Box K_2$.

Domination in graphs is now well studied in graph theory and the literature on this subject has been surveyed and detailed in the two books by Haynes, Hedetniemi, and Slater [2], [3]. A set $S \subseteq V$ is a *dominating set* if each vertex in $V \setminus S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex of S, and the minimum cardinality of a dominating set is the *domination number* of G and denoted by $\gamma(G)$. If in the definition of dominating set we replace V with $V \setminus S$, we obtain a *total dominating set* and similarly the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set is the *total domination number* of G and denoted by $\gamma_t(G)$.

In [4], Henning and Kazemi initiated a study of *k*-tuple total domination in graphs. A subset *S* of *V* is a *k*-tuple total dominating set of *G*, abbreviated kTDS, if every vertex $v \in V$ has at least *k* neighbors in *S*. The *k*-tuple total domination number $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a kTDS of *G*. We remark that $\gamma_t(G) = \gamma_{\times 1,t}(G)$ and $\gamma_{\times 2,t}(G)$ is the same double total domination number. Obviously $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq \gamma_{\times (k+1),t}(G)$ for all graphs *G* with $\delta(G) \geq k + 1$.

In this paper, we give some upper bounds for the *k*-tuple total domination number of the supergeneralized Petersen graphs $P(m, n, l_0, l_1, \ldots, l_{m-1})$, when $l_0 = l_1 = \ldots = l_{m-1}$, and $1 \le k \le 4$. Also we calculate the exact amount of this number for some of them.

2. Some Bounds

We begin with the following trivial observation about the *k*-tuple total domination number of a graph. The proof follow readily from the definitions and is omitted.

Observation 2.1. Let *G* be a graph of order *n* with $\delta(G) \ge k$, and let *S* be a *k*TDS in *G*. Then

(i) $k+1 \leq \gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq n$,

(ii) if *G* is a spanning subgraph of a graph *H*, then $\gamma_{\times k,t}(H) \leq \gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$,

(iii) if *v* is a degree-*k* vertex in *G*, then $N_G(v) \subseteq S$.

Observation 2.1(iii) concludes that $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = nm$, when $G = P(m, n; l_0, \dots, l_{m-1})$ is 4-regular and k = 4. Therefore in continuance, we consider $1 \le k \le 3$. Now we give a lower bound that we stated it in [5].

Lemma 2.2. If G is a graph of order n with $\delta(G) \ge k$, then

 $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \ge \lceil kn/\Delta \rceil.$

Proof. Let G = (V, E). Let *S* be a $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$ -set. Each vertex $v \in S$ is adjacent to at least *k* vertices in *S* and therefore to at most $d_G(v) - k$ vertices outside *S*. Hence,

$$|[S, V \setminus S]| \le \sum_{\nu \in S} (d_G(\nu) - k) = \sum_{\nu \in S} d_G(\nu) - k|S| \le |S|(\Delta - k)$$

Since each vertex in $V \setminus S$ is adjacent to at least k vertices in S, we note that

$$|[S, V \setminus S]| \ge k|V \setminus S| = k(n - |S|)$$

Thus, $|S|(\Delta - k) \ge k(n - |S|)$, whence $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = |S| \ge kn/\Delta$.

Remark 1. Let P(m,n;l,l,...,l) be a SGPG. Let $n \equiv r \pmod{4l}$. If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4l}$, then P(m,n,l,l,...,l) is formed by l components each isomorphic to $P\left(m,\frac{n}{l};1,1,...,1\right)$ with the vertex set $\{(i,tl+j) \mid 0 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{l} - 1, 0 \leq i \leq m - 1\}$, and so

$$\gamma_{\times k,t}(P(m,n;l,l,\ldots,l)) = l\gamma_{\times k,t}\left(P\left(m,\frac{n}{l};1,1,\ldots,1\right)\right).$$

Also if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4l}$, then *G* is formed by l' components each isomorphic to $P(m, \frac{n}{l'}; 1, 1, ..., 1)$ with the vertex set $\{(i, tl' + j) \mid 0 \le t \le \frac{n}{l'} - 1, 0 \le i \le m - 1\}$, when l' is the greatest common divisor between *n* and *l*, and so

$$\gamma_{\times k,t}(P(m,n;l,l,\ldots,l)) = l'\gamma_{\times k,t}\left(P\left(m,\frac{n}{l'},1,1,\ldots,1\right)\right)$$

Therefore for calculating the *k*-tuple total domination number of P(m, n; l, l, ..., l) it suffices to calculate the *k*-tuple total domination number of $P(m, n; 1, 1, ..., 1) = C_m \Box C_n$, for every integers *m* and *n*. The next three propositions give upper bounds for the *k*-tuple total domination number of $C_m \Box C_n$, where *m* and *n* are two arbitrary integers at least 4. Gravier in [1] gave the upper bound $\frac{(m+2)(n+2)}{4}$ for the total domination number of $C_m \Box C_n$. Next proposition improves this upper bound.

Proposition 2.3. Let $G = C_m \square C_n$, where $m \ge n \ge 4$, and let *s* and *r* be non-negative integers such that $m \equiv s \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{4}$. Then

$$\gamma_t(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{m(n+1)}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (0,3), \\ \frac{m(n+r)}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{0\} \times \{0,1,2\}, \\ \frac{(m-s)(n+1)}{4} + \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{1,2\} \times \{1\}, \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+1)}{4} + \frac{3(n-1)}{4} + 1 & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,1), \\ \frac{(m-2)(n+1)}{4} + n-1 & \text{if } (s,r) = (2,2), \\ \frac{(m+1)(n+1)}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{3\} \times \{2,3\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{split} S_{0} &= \left\{ (4i,4j), (4i+3,4j), (4i+2,4j+2), (4i+1,4j+2) \\ &\mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-s}{4} - 1, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-r}{4} - 1 \right\}, \\ S_{1} &= \left\{ (4i,n-1), (4i+3,n-1) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-s}{4} - 1 \right\}, \\ S_{2} &= \left\{ (4i,n-2), (4i+3,n-2), (4i+1,n-1), (4i+2,n-1) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-s}{4} - 1 \right\}, \\ S_{3} &= \left\{ (4i,n-3), (4i+3,n-3), (4i+1,n-1), (4i+2,n-1) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-s}{4} - 1 \right\}, \\ S_{1,1} &= \left\{ (m-1,4j+2), (m-1,4j+3) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-1}{4} - 1 \right\}, \\ S_{2,1} &= \left\{ (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-1}{4} - 1 \right\}, \\ S_{3,1} &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j+2) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-1}{4} - 1 \right\}, \\ S_{2,2} &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+3), (m-2,4j), \\ (m-2,4j+3) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-2}{4} - 1 \right\} \cup \{(m-2,n-2)\}, \\ S_{3,2} &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-2}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,4j), (m-1,4j+2), (m-2,4j+2), (m-3,4j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (m-1,n-1), (m-1,n-3), (m-2,n-1), (m-3,n-3) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Since obviously $S_0 \cup S_r \cup S_{s,r}$ when $0 < r \le s \le 3$, and $S_0 \cup S_r$ when $0 \le r \le 3$ and s = 0, are total dominating sets of *G* with the wanted cardinality, then our proof will be completed.

Proposition 2.4. Let $G = C_m \square C_n$, where $m \ge n \ge 4$, and let *s* and *r* be non-negative integers such that $m \equiv s \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{4}$. Then

32

 ${\bf k}\mbox{-}Tuple$ Total Domination in Supergeneralized Petersen Graphs

$$\gamma_{\times 2,t}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{mn}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) = (0,0) \\ \frac{m(n+1)}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{0\} \times \{1,2,3\}, \\ \frac{(m-1)(n+1)}{2} + n - 1 & \text{if } (s,r) = (1,1), \\ \frac{(m-2)(n+1)}{2} + \frac{3(n-r)}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{2\} \times \{1,2\}, \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+1)}{2} + 2n - 2 & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,1), \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+1)}{2} + \frac{5n - 6}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,2), \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+1)}{2} + \frac{9n - 7}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,3). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{split} S_0 &= \left\{ (4i,4j), (4i,4j+3), (4i+1,4j+1), (4i+1,4j+2), \\ &(4i+2,4j+1), (4i+2,4j+2), (4i+3,4j), \\ &(4i+3,4j+3) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-s}{4} - 1, 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-r}{4} - 1 \right\}, \\ S_1 &= \{ (i,n-1) \mid 0 \leq i \leq m-s-1 \}, \\ S_2 &= \left\{ (4i,n-2), (4i+3,n-2) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-s}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &\cup \{ (i,n-1) \mid 0 \leq i \leq m-s-1 \}, \\ S_3 &= \left\{ (4i,n-3), (4i+3,n-3), (4i+1,n-2), (4i+2,n-2) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-s}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &\cup \{ (i,n-1) \mid 0 \leq i \leq m-s-1 \}, \\ S_{1,1} &= \{ (m-1,j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-2 \}, \\ S_{2,1} &= \{ (m-1,j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-2 \} \cup \left\{ (m-2,4j), (m-2,4j+3) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-1}{4} - 1 \right\}, \\ S_{3,1} &= \{ (m-2,j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-2 \} \\ &\cup \left\{ (i,4j), (i,4j+3) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-1}{4} - 1, i \in \{m-3,m-1\} \right\}, \\ S_{2,2} &= \{ (m-1,j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-3 \} \\ &\cup \left\{ (m-2,4j), (m-2,4j+3) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-2}{4} - 1 \right\}, \end{split}$$

Adel P. Kazemi and Behnaz Pahlavsay

$$\begin{split} S_{3,2} &= \left\{ (m-3,4j), (m-3,4j+3) \mid 0 \le j \le \frac{n-2}{4} - 1 \right\} \\ &\cup \{ (i,j) \mid m-2 \le i \le m-1, 0 \le j \le n-3 \} \\ &\cup \{ (m-2,n-2), (m-2,n-1) \}, \end{split}$$

$$S_{3,3} &= \left\{ (m-3,4j), (m-3,4j+3) \mid 0 \le j \le \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\} \cup \\ &\{ (m-3,n-3) \} \cup \{ (m-2,j) \mid 0 \le j \le n-1 \} \\ &\cup \{ (m-1,j) \mid 0 \le j \le n-1 \} - \{ (m-1,n-2), (m-1,n-1) \} \\ &\cup \left\{ (m-2,4j+3) \mid 0 \le j \le \frac{n-3}{4} - 1 \right\}. \end{split}$$

Since obviously $S_0 \cup S_r \cup S_{s,r}$ when $0 < r \le s \le 3$, and $S_0 \cup S_r$ when $0 \le r \le 3$ and s = 0, are total dominating sets of *G* with the wanted cardinality, then our proof will be completed.

Proposition 2.5. Let $G = C_m \square C_n$, where $m \ge n \ge 4$, and let *s* and *r* be non-negative integers such that $m \equiv s \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv r \pmod{4}$. Then

$$\gamma_{\times 3,t}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{m(3n+1)}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (0,3), \\ \frac{m(3n+r)}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{0\} \times \{0,1,2\}, \\ \frac{(m-1)(3n+1)}{4} + \frac{5(n-1)}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (1,1), \\ \frac{(m-s)(3n+1)}{4} + sn - s & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{2,3\} \times \{1\}, \\ \frac{(m-2)(3n+1)}{4} + 2n & \text{if } (s,r) = (2,2), \\ \frac{(m-3)(3n+1)}{4} - \frac{n+6}{4} + 3n & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,2), \\ \frac{(m-3)(3n+1)}{4} - \frac{n+6}{4} + 3n & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,3), \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let

$$S_{0} = \left\{ (4i, 4j), (4i+3, 4j), (4i+2, 4j+2), (4i+1, 4j+2) \\ | \ 0 \le i \le \frac{m-s}{4} - 1, 0 \le j \le \frac{n-r}{4} - 1 \right\} \cup \\ \left\{ (i, 2j+1) \ | \ 0 \le j \le \frac{n-r}{2} - 1, 0 \le i \le m-s-1 \right\},$$

34

$$\begin{split} S_1 &= \{(i, n-1) \mid 0 \leq i \leq m-s-1\}, \\ S_2 &= \{(i, n-1), (i, n-2) \mid 0 \leq i \leq m-s-1\}, \\ S_3 &= \{(i, n-1), (i, n-2) \mid 0 \leq i \leq m-s-1\} \cup \\ &\left\{(4i, n-3), (4i+3, n-3) \mid 0 \leq i \leq \frac{m-s}{4}-1\right\}, \\ S_{1,1} &= \left\{(m-1, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-2\} \cup \{(m-2, 4j+2) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-1}{4}-1\right\}, \\ S_{2,1} &= \{(m-1, j), (m-2, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-2\}, \\ S_{3,1} &= \{(m-1, j), (m-2, j), (m-3, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-2\}, \\ S_{2,2} &= \{(m-1, j), (m-2, j), (m-3, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-2\}, \\ S_{3,2} &= \{(m-1, j), (m-2, j), (m-3, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}, \\ S_{3,2} &= \{(m-1, j), (m-2, j), (m-3, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-1\} - \\ &\left\{(m-2, 4j+1) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-2}{4}-1\right\} \cup \{(m-3, n-2), (m-2, n-2)\}, \\ S_{3,3} &= \{(m-1, j), (m-2, j), (m-3, j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq n-1\} - \\ &\left\{(m-2, 4j+1) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \frac{n-3}{4}-1\right\} \cup \{(m-3, n-3), (m-2, n-3)\}. \end{split}$$

Since obviously $S_0 \cup S_r \cup S_{s,r}$ when $0 < r \le s \le 3$, and $S_0 \cup S_r$ when $0 \le r \le 3$ and s = 0, are total dominating sets of *G* with the wanted cardinality, then our proof will be completed.

By the last three propositions and the previous Remark, we can conclude the next three results.

Theorem 2.6. Let G = P(m, n; l, l, ..., l) be a SGPG, where $m \ge n \ge 4$, and let *s* and *r* be non-negative integers such that $m \equiv s \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4l}$. Then

$$\gamma_t(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{m(n+l)}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (0,3), \\ \frac{m(n+rl)}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{0\} \times \{0,1,2\}, \\ \frac{(m-s)(n+l)}{4} + \frac{n-l}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{1,2\} \times \{1\}, \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+l)}{4} + l & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,1), \\ \frac{(m-2)(n+l)}{4} + n-l & \text{if } (s,r) = (2,2), \\ \frac{(m+1)(n+l)}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{3\} \times \{2,3\}. \end{cases}$$

and if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4l}$, such that l' is the greatest common divisor between n and l, then

$$\gamma_t(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{m(n+l')}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (0,3), \\ \frac{m(n+rl')}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{0\} \times \{0,1,2\}, \\ \frac{(m-s)(n+l')}{4} + \frac{n-l'}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{1,2\} \times \{1\}, \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+l')}{4} + l' & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,1), \\ \frac{(m-2)(n+l')}{4} + n-l' & \text{if } (s,r) = (2,2), \\ \frac{(m+1)(n+l')}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{3\} \times \{2,3\}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.7. Let G = P(m, n; l, l, ..., l) be a SGPG, where $m \ge n \ge 4$, and let *s* and *r* be non-negative integers such that $m \equiv s \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4l}$. Then

$$\gamma_{\times 2,t}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{mn}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) = (0,0), \\ \frac{m(n+l)}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{0\} \times \{1,2,3\}, \\ \frac{(m-1)(n+l)}{2} + n - l & \text{if } (s,r) = (1,1), \\ \frac{(m-2)(n+l)}{2} + \frac{3(n-rl)}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{2\} \times \{1,2\}, \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+l)}{2} + 2n - 2l & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,1), \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+l)}{2} + \frac{5n - 6l}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,2), \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+l)}{2} + \frac{9n - 7l}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,3). \end{cases}$$

and if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4l}$, such that l' is the greatest common divisor between n and l, then

$$\gamma_{\times 2,t}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{mn}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) = (0,0), \\ \frac{m(n+l')}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{0\} \times \{1,2,3\}, \\ \frac{(m-1)(n+l')}{2} + n - l' & \text{if } (s,r) = (1,1), \\ \frac{(m-2)(n+l')}{2} + \frac{3(n-rl')}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{2\} \times \{1,2\}, \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+l')}{2} + 2n - 2l' & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,1), \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+l')}{2} + \frac{5n - 6l'}{2} & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,2), \\ \frac{(m-3)(n+l')}{2} + \frac{9n - 7l'}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,3). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 2.8. Let G = P(m, n; l, l, ..., l) be a SGPG, where $m \ge n \ge 4$, and let s and r be non-negative integers such that $m \equiv s \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4l}$. Then

$$\gamma_{\times 3,t}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{m(3n+l)}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (0,3), \\ \frac{m(3n+rl)}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{0\} \times \{0,1,2\}, \\ \frac{(m-1)(3n+l)}{4} + \frac{5n-5l}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (1,1), \\ \frac{(m-s)(3n+l)}{4} + sn-sl & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{2,3\} \times \{1\}, \\ \frac{(m-2)(3n+l)}{4} + 2n & \text{if } (s,r) = (2,2), \\ \frac{(m-3)(3n+l)}{4} - \frac{n+6l}{4} + 3n & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,2), \\ \frac{(m-3)(3n+l)}{4} - \frac{n+6l}{4} + 3n & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,3). \end{cases}$$

and if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4l}$, such that l' is the greatest common divisor between n and l, then

$$\gamma_{\times 3,t}(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{m(3n+l')}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (0,3), \\ \frac{m(3n+rl')}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{0\} \times \{0,1,2\}, \\ \frac{(m-1)(3n+l')}{4} + \frac{5n-5l'}{4} & \text{if } (s,r) = (1,1), \\ \frac{(m-s)(3n+l')}{4} + sn-sl' & \text{if } (s,r) \in \{2,3\} \times \{1\}, \\ \frac{(m-2)(3n+l')}{4} + 2n & \text{if } (s,r) = (2,2), \\ \frac{(m-3)(3n+l')}{4} - \frac{n+6l'}{4} + 3n & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,2), \\ \frac{(m-3)(3n+l')}{4} - \frac{n+6l'}{4} + 3n & \text{if } (s,r) = (3,3). \end{cases}$$

3. Sharp Bounds

By Lemma 2.2 and the last three theorems and the previous Remark, we can conclude the next two results.

Theorem 3.1. Let $1 \le k \le 4$. Let G = P(m, n; l, l, ..., l) be a SGPG, where $m \ge n \ge 4$, and let s and r be non-negative integers such that $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Then

$$\gamma_{\times k,t}(G)=\frac{kmn}{4}.$$

Since in every cases of the following theorem $P(m, n, l_0, l_1, ..., l_{m-1})$ is 3-regular, then Observation 1(iii) follows the next result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $G = P(m, n; l_0, l_1, ..., l_{m-1})$ be a SGPG. If either n is odd and m = 2 or n is even and $l_i = \frac{n}{2}$, for at least m - 2 indices i, then

 $\gamma_{\times 3,t}(G)=mn.$

References

- [1] S. Gravier, Total domination number of grid graphs, *Discrete App. Math.* **121** (2002), 119–128.
- [2] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater (editors), Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [3] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater (editors), *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [4] M.A. Henning and A.P. Kazemi, k-Tuple total domination in graphs, *Discrete App. Math.* 158 (2010), 1006–1011.
- [5] M.A. Henning and A.P. Kazemi, *k*-Tuple total domination in cross product graphs, *Manuscript*.
- [6] M.L. Saražin, W. Pacco and A. Previtali, Generalizing the generalized Petersen graphs, Discrete Math., 307 (2007), 534–543.

Adel P. Kazemi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Iran. E-mail: adelpkazemi@yahoo.com

Behnaz Pahlavsay, master student, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Iran. E-mail: pahlavsayb@yahoo.com

ReceivedNovember 11, 2010AcceptedDecember 30, 2010