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1. Introduction

In 1986, Atanassov [4] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of fuzzy sets. Later in 1996, Coker [9] introduced the concept of intuitionistic set and intuitionistic points. This is a discrete form of intuitionistic fuzzy sets where all the sets are crisp set. In 2000, Coker [11] also introduced the concept of “intuitionistic topological space” and investigated basic properties of continuous functions and compactness. In general topological space (Levine [16]) introduced semi open sets and semi continuity and Abd El. Monsef et al. [1] introduced “\(\beta\)-open sets and \(\beta\)-continuous mapping” and discussed some of their basic properties. Andrijevic [3] introduced and discussed some more properties of semi pre open set in topological space. Csaszar [5, 6] introduced and discussed generalized open set, \(\gamma\)-interior and \(\gamma\)-closure in topological space. Recently Gnanambal Ilango and Selvanayaki [14], introduced and studied generalized pre regular closed sets in intuitionistic topological spaces. Singaravelan [21] introduced intuitionistic \(\beta\)-open sets in intuitionistic topological space.

In this paper, properties of intuitionistic \(\beta\)-open mappings and intuitionistic \(\beta\)-closed mappings are discussed.

2. Preliminaries

Let us recall some basic definitions and results which are useful for this sequel. Throughout the present study, a space \(X\) means an intuitionistic topological space.

**Definition 2.1** ([9]). Let \(X\) be a non empty set. An intuitionistic set (IS for short) \(A\) is an object having the form \(A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle\), where \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) are subsets of \(X\) satisfying \(A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset\). The set \(A_1\) is called the set of members of \(A\), while \(A_2\) is called the set of non-members of \(A\).

**Definition 2.2** ([9]). Let \(X\) be a non empty set and let \(A, B\) are intuitionistic sets in the form \(A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle\), \(B = \langle X, B_1, B_2 \rangle\), respectively. Then

(a) \(A \subseteq B\) iff \(A_1 \subseteq B_1\) and \(B_2 \subseteq A_2\)
(b) \(A = B\) iff \(A \subseteq B\) and \(B \subseteq A\)
(c) \(A^c = \langle X, A_2, A_1 \rangle\)
(d) \(\bigcap A = \langle X, A_1, (A_1)^c \rangle\)
(e) \(A - B = A \cap B^c\)
(f) \(\phi_\sim = \langle X, \phi, X \rangle, X_\sim = \langle X, X, \phi \rangle\)
(g) \(A \cup B = \langle X, A_1 \cup B_1, A_2 \cap B_2 \rangle\)
(h) \(A \cap B = \langle X, A_1 \cap B_1, A_2 \cup B_2 \rangle\).

Furthermore, let \(\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}\) be an arbitrary family of intuitionistic sets in \(X\), where \(A_\alpha = \langle X, A_\alpha^{(1)}, A_\alpha^{(2)} \rangle\). Then
(i) \( \cap A_\alpha = \langle X, \cap A_\alpha(1), UA_\alpha(2) \rangle \).
(j) \( \cup A_\alpha = \langle X, \cup A_\alpha(1), \cap A_\alpha(2) \rangle \).

**Definition 2.3** ([11]). An intuitionistic topology (for short IT) on a non empty set \( X \) is a family of IS's in \( X \) satisfying the following axioms.

1. \( \phi, X \in \tau \)
2. \( G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau \) for any \( G_1, G_2 \in \tau \).
3. \( G_\alpha \in \tau \) for any arbitrary family \( \{ G_i : G_\alpha/\alpha \in J \} \subseteq \tau \) where \( (X, \tau) \) is called an intuitionistic topological space (for short IT(S(X))) and any intuitionistic set in is called an intuitionistic open set (for short IOS) in \( X \). The complement \( A^c \) of an IOS \( A \) is called an intuitionistic closed set (for short ICS) in \( X \).

**Definition 2.4** ([11]). Let \( (X, \tau) \) be an intuitionistic topological space (for short IT(S(X))) and \( A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle \) be an IS in \( X \). Then the interior and closure of \( A \) are defined by

\[
Icl(A) = \cap \{ K : K \text{ is an ICS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K \},
\]
\[
Int(A) = \cup \{ G : G \text{ is an IOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A \}.
\]

It can be shown that \( Icl(A) \) is an ICS and \( Int(A) \) is an IOS in \( X \) and \( A \) is an ICS in \( X \) iff \( Icl(A) = A \) and is an IOS in \( X \) iff \( Int(A) = A \).

**Definition 2.5** ([9]). Let \( X \) be a non empty set and \( p \in X \). Then the ISP defined by \( p = \langle X, \{ p \}, \{ p^c \} \rangle \) is called an intuitionistic point (IP for short) in \( X \). The intuitionistic point \( p \) is said to be contained in \( A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle \) (i.e., \( p \in A \)) if and only if \( p \in A_1 \).

**Definition 2.6** ([14]). Let \( (X, \tau) \) be an \( ITS(X) \). An intuitionistic set \( A \) of \( X \) is said to be

1. Intuitionistic semiopen if \( A \subseteq Icl(Int(A)) \).
2. Intuitionistic preopen if \( A \subseteq Int(Icl(A)) \).
3. Intuitionistic regular open if \( A = Int(Icl(A)) \).
4. Intuitionistic \( \alpha \)-open if \( A \subseteq Int(Icl(Icl(A))) \).

The family of all intuitionistic pre open, intuitionistic regular open and intuitionistic \( \alpha \)-open sets of \( (X, \tau) \) are denoted by IPOS, IROS and I\( \alpha \)OS, respectively.

**Definition 2.7** ([21]). A subset \( A \) of an intuitionistic topological space \( X \) is intuitionistic \( \beta \)-open, if there exists a intuitionistic preopen set \( U \) in \( X \), such that \( U \subseteq A \subseteq Icl(U) \). The family of all intuitionistic \( \beta \)-open sets in \( X \) will be denoted by IPOS(X). The complement of intuitionistic \( I\beta \)-open set is I\( \beta \)-closed set.

**Definition 2.8** ([9] [11]). Let \( A, A_i \ (i \in J) \) be IS's in \( X, B, B_j \ (j \in K) \) IS's in \( Y \) and \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) a function. Then

(a) \( A_1 \subseteq A_2 \Rightarrow f(A_1) \subseteq f(A_2) \)
(b) \( B_1 \subseteq B_2 \Rightarrow f^{-1}(B_1) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_2) \)

(c) \( A \subseteq f^{-1}(f(A)) \) and if \( f \) is 1-1, then \( A = f^{-1}(f(A)) \).

(d) \( f(f^{-1}(B)) \) and if \( f \) is onto, then \( f(f^{-1}(B)) = B \).

(e) \( f^{-1}(\bigcup B_j) = \bigcup f^{-1}(B_j) \).

(f) \( f^{-1}(\bigcap B_j) = \bigcap f^{-1}(B_j) \).

(g) \( f(\bigcup A_i) = \bigcup f(A_i) \).

(h) \( f(\bigcap A_i) \subseteq \bigcap f(A_i) \) and if \( f \) is 1-1, then \( f(\bigcap A_i) = \bigcap f(A_i) \).

(i) \( f^{-1}(Y_-) = X \).

(j) \( f^{-1}(\phi_-) = \phi_- \).

(k) \( f(X_-) = Y_- \). If \( f \) is onto.

(l) \( f(\phi_-) = \phi_- \).

(m) If \( f \) is onto, then \( \overline{f(A)} \subseteq f(\overline{A}) \); and if furthermore, \( f \) is 1-1, we have \( \overline{f(A)} \subseteq f(\overline{A}) \).

(n) \( f^{-1}(\overline{B}) = \overline{f^{-1}(B)} \).

(o) \( B_1 \sqsubset B_2 \Rightarrow f^{-1}(B_1) \sqsubset f^{-1}(B_2) \).

**Definition 2.9** ([11]). Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \Phi)\) be two ITS's and let \( f : X \to Y \) be a function. Then \( f \) is said to be continuous iff the preimage of each intuitionistic open in \( \Phi \) is an intuitionistic open in \( \tau \).

**Definition 2.10** ([5]). Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \Phi)\) be two ITS's and let \( f : X \to Y \) be a function. Then \( f \) is said to be open iff the preimage of each intuitionistic open in \( \tau \) is an intuitionistic open in \( \Phi \).

**Definition 2.11.** Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \Phi)\) be two ITS's and let \( f : X \to Y \) is called intuitionistic semi continuous if for every intuitionistic open \( V \) of \( Y \), \( f^{-1}(V) \) is semi open in \( X \).

**Definition 2.12.** Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \Phi)\) be two ITS's and let \( f : X \to Y \) is called intuitionistic regular continuous if for every intuitionistic open set \( V \) of \( Y \), \( f^{-1}(V) \) is regular open in \( X \).

**Definition 2.13.** Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \Phi)\) be two ITS's and let \( f : X \to Y \) is called intuitionistic pre continuous if for every intuitionistic open set \( V \) of \( Y \), \( f^{-1}(V) \) is pre open in \( X \).

**Definition 2.14.** Let \((X, \tau)\) and \((Y, \Phi)\) be two ITS's and let \( f : X \to Y \) is called intuitionistic \( \alpha \)-continuous if for every intuitionistic open set \( V \) of \( Y \), \( f^{-1}(V) \) is \( \alpha \)-open in \( X \).

**Definition 2.15** ([11]). Let \((X, \tau_1)\) and \((Y, \tau_2)\) be two ITS on \( X \). Then \( \tau_1 \) is said to be contained in \( \tau_2 \) (in symbols, \( \tau_1 \subseteq \tau_2 \)), if \( G \in \tau_2 \) for each \( G \in \tau_1 \). In this case, we also say that \( \tau_1 \) is coarser than \( \tau_2 \).

**Definition 2.16** ([21]). Let \((X, \tau)\) be an intuitionistic topological space and let \( A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle \) be the subset of \( X \). Then \( I\beta-cl(A) = \cap \{ F : F \) is intuitionistic \( \beta \)-closed in \( X \) and \( A \subseteq F \} \).

**Definition 2.17** ([21]). Let \((X, \tau)\) be an intuitionistic topological space and let \( A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle \) be the subset of \( X \). Then \( I\beta-int(A) = U \{ F : F \) is intuitionistic \( \beta \)-open in \( X \) and \( F \subseteq A \} \).
Proposition 2.18 ([21]). A subset \( A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle \) of an ITS(X) is intuitionistic \( \beta \)-open set iff \( A \subseteq Icl(Iint(Icl(A))) \).

Lemma 2.19 ([21]). Let \( A \) and \( B \) be subsets of ITS(X), then the following results are obvious.

(i) \( I\beta-cl(X) = X \) and \( I\beta-cl(\emptyset) = \emptyset \).

(ii) If \( A \subseteq B \), then \( I\beta-cl(A) \subseteq I\beta-cl(B) \).

(iii) \( I\beta-cl(I\beta-cl(A)) = I\beta-cl(A) \).

3. Properties of \( I\beta \)-Open and \( I\beta \)-Closed Mappings

Definition 3.1. A mapping \( f : X \to Y \) is said to be \( I\beta \)-open, if the image of each open set in \( X \) is \( I\beta \)-open in \( Y \).

Definition 3.2. A mapping \( f : X \to Y \) is said to be \( I\beta \)-closed, if the image of each closed set in \( X \) is \( I\beta \)-closed in \( Y \).

Definition 3.3. A mapping \( f : X \to Y \) is said to be IP-closed, if the image of each closed set in \( X \) is IP-closed in \( Y \).

Definition 3.4. A mapping \( f : X \to Y \) is said to be IS-closed, if the image of each closed set in \( X \) is IS-closed in \( Y \).

Definition 3.5. A mapping \( f : X \to Y \) is said to be Ir-closed, if the image of each closed set in \( X \) is Ir-closed in \( Y \).

Definition 3.6. A mapping \( f : X \to Y \) is said to be \( I\alpha \)-closed, if the image of each closed set in \( X \) is \( I\alpha \)-closed in \( Y \).

Lemma 3.7. Let \( A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle \) be a subset of intuitionistic topological space \( X \), then the following conditions are equivalent.

(i) \( A \in I\beta O(X) \)

(ii) \( A \subseteq Icl(Iint(Icl(A))) \)

(iii) \( A \subseteq Isint(Iscl(A)) \)

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 3.8. Let \( (X, \tau) \) and \( (Y, \sigma) \) be intuitionistic topological spaces. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(i) \( f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma) \) is a \( I\beta \)-closed function.

(ii) \( I\beta-cl(f(A)) \subseteq f(I\beta-cl(A)) \) for each \( I\beta \)-closed set \( A \) in \( X \).
Proof. (a)⇒(b): Let \( A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle \) be any \( I\beta \)-closed set in \( X \), clearly \( I\beta \)-cl\( (A) \) is an \( I\beta \)-closed in \( X \). Since \( f \) is \( I\beta \)-closed function, \( f(I\beta \text{-cl}(A)) \subseteq I\beta \text{-cl}(f(I\beta \text{-cl}(A))) = f(I\beta \text{-cl}(A)) \).
\[
\Rightarrow f(I\beta \text{-cl}(A)) \subseteq f(I\beta \text{-cl}(A)).
\]
(b)⇒(c): Let \( A \) be any \( I\beta \)-closed set in \( X \), then \( I\beta \text{-cl}(A) = A \), by (b)
\[
\Rightarrow I\beta \text{-cl}(f(A)) \subseteq f(I\beta \text{-cl}(A)) = f(A) \subseteq I\beta \text{cl}(f(A)).
\]
Thus \( f(A) = I\beta \text{-cl}(f(A)) \) and hence \( f(A) \) is an \( I\beta \)-closed set in \( Y \). Therefore \( f \) is an \( I\beta \)-closed function.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \( f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \) be a intuitionistic continuous and intuitionistic open, then for each \( I\beta \)-open set \( A \) of \( X \), \( f(A) \) is \( I\beta \)-open subset of \( Y \).

**Proof.** Let \( A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle \) be any \( I\beta \)-open set. Then \( A \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A)))\),
\[
\Rightarrow f(A) \subseteq f(I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A)))) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f(A)))
\]
\[
\Rightarrow f(A) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f(A)))
\]
Therefore \( f(A) \) is \( I\beta \)-open subset of \( Y \).

\[\square\]

**Theorem 3.10.** Let \( f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \) be intuitionistic topological space, then the followings are equivalent

(i) \( f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \) is \( I\beta \)-open.

(ii) \( f(I\beta \text{-int}(A)) \subseteq I\beta \text{-int}(f(A)) \) for each intuitionistic set \( A \) in \( X \).

(iii) \( I\beta \text{-int}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\beta \text{-int}(B)) \) for each intuitionistic set \( B \) in \( Y \).

**Proof.** (i)⇒(ii): Let \( f \) be an \( I\beta \)-open function. Since \( f(I\beta \text{-int}(A)) \) is an \( I\beta \)-open set contained in \( f(A) \), \( f(I\beta \text{-int}(A)) \subseteq I\beta \text{-int}(f(A)) \) by definition \( I\beta \)-interior.

(ii)⇒(iii): Let \( B \) be any \( I\beta \)-set in \( Y \). Then \( f^{-1}(B) \) is an \( I\beta \)-set in \( X \), by (ii), \( f(I\beta \text{-int}(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq I\beta \text{-int}(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq I\beta \text{-int}(B) \),
\[
\Rightarrow I\beta \text{-int}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\beta \text{-int}(B)).
\]

(iii)⇒(i): Let \( A \) be any \( I\beta \)-open in \( X \). Then \( I\beta \text{-int}(A) = A \) and \( f(A) \) is an \( I\beta \)-open in \( Y \) by (iii), \( A = I\beta \text{-int}(A) \subseteq I\beta \text{-int}(f^{-1}(f(A))) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\beta \text{-int}(f(A))) \). Hence we have \( f(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\beta \text{-int}(f(A))) \subseteq I\beta \text{-int}(f(A)) \subseteq f(A) \). Thus \( f(A) = I\beta \text{-int}(f(A)) \) and hence \( f(A) \) is an \( I\beta \)-open set in \( Y \). Therefore \( f \) is an \( I\beta \)-open function.

\[\square\]

**Theorem 3.11.** Let \( f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma) \) be a \( I\beta \)-continuous and \( I\alpha \)-open function then the inverse image of each intuitionistic open set in \( Y \) is \( I\beta \)-open in \( X \).

**Proof.** Let \( A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle \) is a \( I\beta \)-open, then \( A \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A))) \) and so
\[
f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A)))) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A)))
\]
as $f$ is $I\alpha$-open and $I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A))$ is intuitionistic preopen. Since $f$ is $I\beta$-continuous,
\[ f^{-1}(A) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f^{-1}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A))))) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f^{-1}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A))))) \]
\[ \Rightarrow f^{-1}(A) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f^{-1}(A))), \]
because $f$ is $I\alpha$-open function. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 3.12.** Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be a $I\beta$-continuous and intuitionistic open function then the following statements are hold.

(a) The inverse image of each intuitionistic preopen in $Y$ is $I\beta$-open in $X$.

(b) The inverse image of each intuitionistic semi open in $Y$ is $I\beta$-open in $X$.

**Proof.** (a): Let $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ is intuitionistic preopen in $Y$, $A \subseteq I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A))$. Then $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A))) \Rightarrow f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A))) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f^{-1}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A)))))$, as $f^{-1}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A)))$ is $I\beta$-open being $f$ is $I\beta$-continuous. That is $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f^{-1}(I\text{int}(I\text{cl}(A)))))$, $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f^{-1}(I\text{cl}(A))))$, $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f^{-1}(A)))$, as $f$ is open function. Therefore inverse image of intuitionistic preopen in $Y$ is $I\beta$-open in $X$.

(b): Let $B = \langle X, B_1, B_2 \rangle$ is an intuitionistic semi open in $Y$, $B \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(B))$. Then
\[ f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(B)))) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f^{-1}(I\text{int}(B)))), \]
\[ f^{-1}(B) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f^{-1}(B))), \]
\[ f^{-1}(B) \subseteq I\text{cl}(I\text{int}(f^{-1}(B))). \]
Therefore inverse image of intuitionistic preopen in $Y$ is $I\beta$-open in $X$. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 3.13.** A intuitionistic bijective function is $I\beta$-open iff it is $I\beta$-closed.

**Proof.** Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is an intuitionistic bijective $I\beta$-open function and let $F$ be any intuitionistic closed subset of $X$. Then $X - F$ is intuitionistic open and hence $f(X - F) = X - f(F)$ is $I\beta$-open implies $f(F)$ is $I\beta$-closed. Therefore $f$ is $I\beta$-closed function.

Conversely, let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ is an intuitionistic bijective $I\beta$-closed and $U$ be intuitionistic open and subset of $X$. Then $X - U$ is intuitionistic closed subset of $X$ and hence $f(X - U) = X - f(U)$ is $I\beta$-closed implies $f(U)$ is $I\beta$-open. Therefore $f$ is $I\beta$-open function. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 3.14.** Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be bijective $I\beta$-continuous and $g : (Y, \sigma) \to (Z, \Psi)$ be bijective continuous function then $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \to (Z, \Psi)$ is $I\beta$-continuous function.

**Proof.** Let $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be any intuitionistic open subset of $Z$, then $g^{-1}(A)$ be open in $Y$ and as $f$ is $I\beta$-continuous, $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(A))$ is $I\beta$-open in $X$, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(A)$ is $I\beta$-open in $X$ implies $g \circ f$ is $I\beta$-continuous function. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 3.15.** Let $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ and $g : (Y, \sigma) \to (Z, \Psi)$ be two mappings. If $f$ is intuitionistic continuous and onto and $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \to (Z, \Psi)$ is $I\beta$-closed mappings, then $g$ is intuitionistic $I\beta$-closed mapping.
Proof. Let $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be a intuitionistic closed set in $Y$. Then $f^{-1}(A)$ is intuitionistic closed in $X$. Since $f$ is intuitionistic continuous, now $g \circ f$ is $I\beta$-closed and $f$ is onto, $(g \circ f)^{-1}(f^{-1}(A)) = g(A)$ is intuitionistic $\beta$-closed in $Z$. Hence $g$ is a intuitionistic $\beta$-closed mapping. 

Theorem 3.16. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $I\beta$-open if and only if $f(I\text{int}(A)) \subseteq I\beta\text{-int}(f(A))$, for every intuitionistic set $A$ of $X$.

Proof. (Necessity): If $f$ is $I\beta$-open mapping, then $f(I\text{int}(A)) \in I\beta\text{O}(Y)$. Hence $f(I\text{int}(A)) = I\beta\text{-int}(f(I\text{int}(A))) \subseteq I\beta\text{-int}(f(A)) \Rightarrow f(I\text{int}(A)) \subseteq I\beta\text{-int}(f(A))$.

(Sufficiency): Let $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be a intuitionistic open set of $X$. Then by hypothesis, $f(A) = f(I\text{int}(A)) \subseteq I\beta\text{-int}(f(A)) \Rightarrow f(A) \subseteq I\beta\text{-int}(f(A))$. Hence $f(A)$ is $I\beta$-open set in $Y$.

Theorem 3.17. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is $I\beta$-closed if and only if $I\beta\text{-cl}(f(A)) \subseteq f(I\text{cl}(A))$, for every intuitionistic set $A$ of $X$.

Proof. (Necessity): If $f$ is $I\beta$-closed mapping, then $f(I\text{cl}(A))$ is $I\beta$-closed set containing $f(A)$ and therefore $I\beta\text{-cl}(f(A)) \subseteq f(I\text{cl}(A))$.

(Sufficiency): Let $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ be a intuitionistic closed set of $X$. Then by hypothesis, $I\beta\text{-cl}(f(A)) \subseteq f(I\text{cl}(A)) = f(A)$. By the definition of $I\beta$-closure, we have $f(A) \subseteq I\beta\text{-cl}(f(A))$ and so $f(A)$ is $I\beta$-closed in $Y$. Hence $f$ is a $I\beta$-closed mapping.

Theorem 3.18. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is intuitionistic open mapping (res. intuitionistic closed) and $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \Psi)$ is $I\beta$-open mapping (res. $I\beta$-closed) then $g \circ f$ is $I\beta$-open mapping (res. $I\beta$-closed).

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 3.19. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a $I\beta$-open mapping. If $A = \langle X, A_1, A_2 \rangle$ is a intuitionistic set in $Y$ and $B = \langle X, B_1, B_2 \rangle$ is intuitionistic closed set in $X$ containing $f^{-1}(A)$, then there exists a intuitionistic $\beta$-closed set $C$ in $Y$ such that $A \subseteq C$ and $f^{-1}(C) \subseteq B$.

Proof. Let $C = Y - f(X - B)$. Since $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq B$, we have $f(X - B) \subseteq (Y - A)$. Since $f$ is $I\beta$-open, then $C$ is a $I\beta$-closed set of $Y$ and $f^{-1}(C) = X - f^{-1}(f(X - B)) \subseteq X - (X - B) = B \Rightarrow f^{-1}(C) \subseteq B$.
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