Orthogonal Wavelet Packets in Discrete Periodic Spaces and Applications
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Abstract. This paper proposes the construction and application of orthogonal wavelet packets in discrete space $\ell^2(Z_N)$. First, we give the definition and construction of orthogonal wavelet packets. Moreover, the corresponding orthogonal decomposition is proved. Then, the realization of decomposition and reconstruction algorithm is studied. Finally, a numerical example for signal processing is given, which shows that signal processing based on wavelet packets in discrete spaces can gain better effect in some cases.
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1. Introduction

Wavelet analysis has made great progress in the past twenty years, but most of them focus on the function space $L^2(R)$. In wavelet decomposition procedure, the generic step splits the approximation coefficients into two parts and obtains a vector of approximation coefficients and a vector of detail coefficients, both at a coarser scale. Then the next step consists of splitting the new approximation coefficient vector, successive details are never reanalyzed. In the corresponding wavelet packet situation ([1]), each detail coefficient vector is also decomposed into two parts using the same approach as in approximation vector splitting, this offers the richest analysis. Since the signals gathered in practice is discrete, it is more natural and more important to study the wavelet theory in discrete spaces ([2]-[8]). This paper mainly studies the
wavelet packets in discrete periodic space

\[ \ell^2(Z_N) = \{ z = (z(0), z(1), \ldots, z(N-1)) : z(j) \in C, \ 0 \leq j \leq N-1 \}. \]

In convention, \( z(j+N) = z(j), \ j \in Z \). It is a Hilbert space under the inner product and norm

\[ \langle z, \omega \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} z(k) \overline{\omega(k)}, \quad \| z \| = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} |z(k)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

The discrete Fourier transform \( \hat{z} = (\hat{z}(0), \hat{z}(1), \ldots, \hat{z}(N-1)) \in \ell^2(Z_N) \) is defined as

\[ \hat{z}(m) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} z(n) e^{-\frac{2\pi i mn}{N}}. \]

For \( z \in \ell^2(Z_N) \), define the following conjugate reflection, translation operator, up-sampling and down-sampling (\( N = 2M \)) as

\[ \check{z}(n) = \bar{z}(-n) = \bar{z}(N-n), \quad (R_k z)(n) = z(n-k). \]

\[ U : \ell^2(Z_N) \to \ell^2(Z_{2N}), \ U(z)(n) = \begin{cases} z \left( \frac{n}{2} \right), & n | 2, \\ 0, & n \nmid 2; \end{cases} \]

\[ D : \ell^2(Z_N) \to \ell^2(Z_M), \ D(z)(n) = z(2n). \]

## 2. Construction of Wavelet Packets

In this section, we will give the specific method for constructing orthogonal wavelet packets.

**Definition 2.1.** Suppose \( N \) is divisible by \( 2^p \). A \( p^{th} \)-wavelet filter sequence is a sequence of vectors \( u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, \ldots, u_p, v_p \) such that, for each \( \ell = 1, 2, \ldots, p, u_\ell, v_\ell \in \ell^2\left(Z_{\frac{N}{2^{\ell-1}}} \right) \), and the system matrix

\[ A_{\ell}(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_\ell(n) & \hat{v}_\ell(n) \\ \hat{u}_\ell \left( n + \frac{N}{2^\ell} \right) & \hat{v}_\ell \left( n + \frac{N}{2^\ell} \right) \end{pmatrix} \]

is unitary for all \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{N}{2^\ell} - 1 \).

As described in [2], \( p^{th} \) wavelet filter sequences can be obtained by folding method, that is, if we have \( u_1, v_1 \in \ell^2(Z_N) \) such that \( A_1(n) \) is unitary for \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{N}{2^1} - 1 \), then a \( p^{th} \) wavelet filter sequence is defined by

\[ u_\ell(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\ell-1}-1} u_1 \left( n + \frac{kN}{2^{\ell-1}} \right), \quad v_\ell(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^{\ell-1}-1} v_1 \left( n + \frac{kN}{2^{\ell-1}} \right). \]
**Definition 2.2.** Suppose $N$ is divisible by $2^p$, where $p$ is a positive integer. Let $B$ be a set of the form

$$\left\{ R_{2^p k} g_p^{(0)} \right\}_{k=0}^{N/2^p - 1} \cup \left\{ R_{2^p k} g_p^{(1)} \right\}_{k=0}^{N/2^p - 1} \cup \ldots \cup \left\{ R_{2^p k} g_p^{(2^p - 1)} \right\}_{k=0}^{N/2^p - 1}$$

for some $g_p^{(0)}, g_p^{(1)}, \ldots, g_p^{(2^p - 1)} \in \ell^2(Z_N)$. If $B$ forms an orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(Z_N)$, we call $B$ a $p$th stage wavelet packets for $\ell^2(Z_N)$.

The following two lemmas are taken from [2]:

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose $u, v \in \ell^2(Z_N)$, the set $\left\{ R_{2^k} u \right\}_{k=0}^{N/2^p - 1}$ is an orthonormal set with $N$ elements if and only if the system matrix $A(n)$ is unitary for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N/2^p - 1$.

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose $N$ is divisible by $2^\ell$, $g_{\ell - 1} \in \ell^2(Z_N)$, and the set $\left\{ R_{2^\ell k} g_{\ell - 1} \right\}_{k=0}^{N/2^p - 1}$ is orthonormal with $N/2^p - 1$ elements. Suppose $u, v \in \ell^2(Z_N)$ and the corresponding system matrix $A_{\ell}(n)$ is unitary for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N/2^p - 1$. Define

$$f_\ell = g_{\ell - 1} \ast U_{\ell - 1}(v) \text{ and } g_\ell = g_{\ell - 1} \ast U_{\ell - 1}(u).$$

Then $\left\{ R_{2^\ell} f_\ell \right\}_{k=0}^{N/2^p - 1} \cup \left\{ R_{2^\ell} g_\ell \right\}_{k=0}^{N/2^p - 1}$ is an orthonormal set with $N/2^p - 1$ elements.

Suppose $u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2, \ldots, u_p, v_p$ are $p$th stage wavelet filter sequences, let

$$g_1^{(0)} = u_1, \ g_1^{(1)} = v_1.$$  

For $\ell \geq 2$, define

$$g_\ell^{(2n)} = g_{\ell - 1}^{(n)} \ast U_{\ell - 1}(u_\ell), \ g_\ell^{(2n + 1)} = g_{\ell - 1}^{(n)} \ast U_{\ell - 1}(v_\ell).$$  

(2.1)

Furthermore, for $n \geq 0$, define the spaces

$$U_{-\ell}^{(n)} = \text{span} \left\{ R_{2^\ell k} g_\ell^{(n)} \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots, N/2^\ell - 1 \right\}.$$ 

Then, we have the following orthogonal decomposition:

**Theorem 2.5.** It holds that for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, 2^{\ell - 1} - 1$, there has

$$U_{-\ell}^{(n)} + U_{-\ell}^{(2n)} = U_{-\ell}^{(2n + 1)}.$$

Here, $U_0^{(0)} = \ell^2(Z_N)$.

**Proof.** Since $A_{1}(n)$ is unitary for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N/2 - 1$, by Lemma 2.3, we know

$$\left\{ R_{2 k} u \right\}_{k=0}^{N/2 - 1} \cup \left\{ R_{2 k} v \right\}_{k=0}^{N/2 - 1}$$
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is orthonormal. Furthermore, \( \ell^2(Z_N) = U^{(0)}_- \cup U^{(1)}_- \). Note that \( u_2, v_2 \in \ell^2(Z_N) \) and the system matrix \( A_2(n) \) is unitary for \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{N}{2^2} - 1 \), moreover,

\[
\begin{align*}
&g_2^{(0)} = u_1 \ast U(u_2), \quad g_2^{(1)} = u_1 \ast U(v_2); \\
&g_2^{(2)} = v_1 \ast U(u_2), \quad g_2^{(3)} = v_1 \ast U(v_2).
\end{align*}
\]

By Lemma 2.4, \( \left\{ R_{2^2} k g_2^{(n)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2^2} - 1} \cup \left\{ R_{2^2} k g_2^{(1)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2^2} - 1} \) and \( \left\{ R_{2^2} k g_2^{(2)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2^2} - 1} \cup \left\{ R_{2^2} k g_2^{(3)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2^2} - 1} \) are orthonormal sets, then \( U^{(0)}_- \perp U^{(1)}_- \) and \( U^{(2)}_- \perp U^{(3)}_- \). Furthermore, by induction, one has

\[
U^{(0)}_- \perp U^{(1)}_- \perp U^{(2)}_- \perp U^{(3)}_-. \]

Therefore, \( U^{(2n)}_- \perp U^{(2n+1)}_- \). Moreover, for each \( n = 0, 1, \ldots, 2\ell - 1 \), the set

\[
\left\{ R_{2^\ell} k g_{\ell}^{(n)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2^\ell} - 1}
\]

is orthonormal basis for \( U^{(n)}_- \). Next, we claim that \( U^{(2n)}_- \) and \( U^{(2n+1)}_- \) are subspaces of \( U^{(n)}_- \). To see this, for \( k = 0, 1, \ldots, \frac{N}{2^\ell} - 1 \),

\[
R_{2^\ell} k g_{\ell}^{(2n)}(m) = g_{\ell}^{(2n)}(m - 2^\ell k)
= g_{\ell-1}^{(n)} \ast U^{\ell-1}(u_\ell)(m - 2^\ell k)
= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_{\ell-1}^{(n)}(m - 2^\ell k - i)U^{\ell-1}(u_\ell)(i)
= \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{2^\ell}-1} g_{\ell-1}^{(n)}(m - 2^\ell k - 2^\ell j)u_\ell(j)
= \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{2^\ell}-1} u_\ell(j)R_{2^\ell}^{\ell-1}(j+2k)g_{\ell-1}^{(n)}(m). \tag{2.2}
\]

In the same way, we obtain

\[
R_{2^\ell} k g_{\ell}^{(2n+1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{N}{2^\ell}-1} v_\ell(j)R_{2^\ell}^{\ell-1}(j+2k)g_{\ell-1}^{(n)}. \tag{2.3}
\]

Therefore, our claim is true. Furthermore, \( U^{(2n)}_- \) and \( U^{(2n+1)}_- \) each have dimension \( \frac{N}{2^\ell} \), so \( U^{(2n)}_- \oplus U^{(2n+1)}_- \) has dimension \( \frac{N}{2^\ell-1} \), which is the dimension of \( U^{(n)}_- \). It follows that

\[
U^{(n)}_- = U^{(2n)}_- \oplus U^{(2n+1)}_-.
\]

The proof is completed.
From Theorem 2.5, we have the following decomposition:
\[
\ell^2(Z_N) = U_0^{(0)}
\]
\[
= U_0^{(0)} \oplus U_1^{(1)}
\]
\[
= U_0^{(0)} \oplus U_2^{(1)} \oplus U_2^{(2)} \oplus U_2^{(3)}
\]
\[\vdots\]
\[
= U_{-p}^{(0)} \oplus U_{-p}^{(1)} \oplus U_{-p}^{(2)} \oplus \ldots \oplus U_{-p}^{(2p-2)} \oplus U_{-p}^{(2p-1)}. \tag{2.4}
\]

**Theorem 2.6.** Suppose \( N \) is divisible by \( 2^p \), where \( p \) is a positive integer. Then the set
\[
B = \{ R_{2^p k} g_{(0)}^{(0)} \}_{k=0}^{N^{2p-1}} \cup \{ R_{2^p k} g_{(1)}^{(1)} \}_{k=0}^{N^{2p-1}} \cup \ldots \cup \{ R_{2^p k} g_{(2p-1)}^{(2p-1)} \}_{k=0}^{N^{2p-1}}
\]
which is defined in (2.1) is a \( p \)th stage wavelet packets for \( \ell^2(Z_N) \).

In fact, it is easy to know from (2.4) the following special conclusion:

**Corollary 2.7.** Suppose \( N \) is divisible by \( 8 \), then the set
\[
B = \{ R_{2^2 k} g_{(0)}^{(0)} \}_{k=0}^{N^{2-1}} \cup \{ R_{2^2 k} g_{(2)}^{(2)} \}_{k=0}^{N^{2-1}} \cup \{ R_{2^2 k} g_{(3)}^{(3)} \}_{k=0}^{N^{2-1}} \cup \{ R_{2^2 k} g_{(2)}^{(2)} \}_{k=0}^{N^{2-1}} \cup \{ R_{2^2 k} g_{(3)}^{(3)} \}_{k=0}^{N^{2-1}}
\]
is still an orthogonal basis for \( \ell^2(Z_N) \).

**Remark 2.8.** We call the basis in the form of (2.6) as 3-stage incomplete wavelet packets, the corresponding basis in (2.5) is called complete wavelet packets.

### 3. Decomposition and Reconstruction Algorithms

This section will give the realization of decomposition and reconstruction algorithm.

\[
U_{-\ell+1}^{(n)} = U_{-\ell}^{(2n)} \oplus U_{-\ell}^{(2n+1)} \iff f_{-\ell+1}^{(n)} = f_{-\ell}^{(2n)} + f_{-\ell}^{(2n+1)}
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{N^{2-1}} c_{-\ell+1}^{(n)} R_{2^{(1-k)} \ell} g_{-\ell-1}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{N^{2-1}} c_{-\ell}^{(2n)} R_{2^k \ell} g_{\ell}^{(2n)} + \sum_{k=0}^{N^{2-1}} c_{-\ell}^{(2n+1)} R_{2^k \ell} g_{\ell}^{(2n+1)}. \tag{3.1}
\]

In the both sides of (3.1), do inner product with \( R_{2^m \ell} g_{\ell}^{(2n)} \):

\[
c_{-\ell}^{(2n)}(m) = \sum_{k=0}^{N^{2-1}} c_{-\ell+1}^{(n)}(k) \langle R_{2^{(1-k)} \ell} g_{-\ell-1}^{(n)}, R_{2^m \ell} g_{\ell}^{(2n)} \rangle.
\]

By (2.2), \( R_{2^m \ell} g_{\ell}^{(2n)} = \sum_{j=0}^{N^{2-1}} u_{\ell}(j) R_{2^{(j+2m)} \ell} g_{\ell-1}^{(n)} \), then we have

\[
c_{-\ell}^{(2n)}(m) = \sum_{k=0}^{N^{2-1}} c_{-\ell+1}^{(n)}(k) u_{\ell}(k - 2m) = D(c_{-\ell+1}^{(n)} * \tilde{u}_{\ell})(m). \tag{3.2}
\]
In the same way, the both sides do inner product with $R_{2^f}m g^{(2n+1)}_\ell$:

$$c^{(2n+1)}_\ell(m) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}} c^{(n)}_{-\ell+1}(k) \langle R_{2^f}R_{2^f}^{-1}k g^{(n)}_\ell, R_{2^f}m g^{(2n+1)}_\ell \rangle.$$

By (2.3), $R_{2^f}m g^{(2n+1)}_\ell = \sum_{j=0}^{N_{2^f}} v_{\ell}(j) R_{2^f}^{-1}(j+2m) g^{(n)}_\ell$, then we have

$$c^{(2n+1)}_\ell(m) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}} c^{(n)}_{-\ell+1}(k) v_{\ell}(k-2m) = D(c^{(n)}_{-\ell+1} \ast \tilde{v}_{\ell})(m). \quad (3.3)$$

**Decomposition Algorithms.** since $\{R_{2^f} R_{2^f}^{-1} u_k\}_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}}$ is the orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(Z_N)$, then for any $z \in \ell^2(Z_N)$, one has

$$z = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}} \langle z, R_{2^f} R_{2^f}^{-1} u_k \rangle R_{2^f} R_{2^f}^{-1} u_k = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}} \langle z, R_{2^f} R_{2^f}^{-1} v_k \rangle R_{2^f} R_{2^f}^{-1} v_k = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}} c^{(0)}_{-\ell+1} R_{2^f} R_{2^f}^{-1} v_k + \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}} c^{(1)}_{-\ell+1} R_{2^f} R_{2^f}^{-1} v_k.$$

$$c^{(0)}_{-\ell+1}(k) = \langle z, R_{2^f} R_{2^f}^{-1} u_k \rangle = z \ast \tilde{u}_1(2k) = D(z \ast \tilde{u}_1)(k);$$

$$c^{(1)}_{-\ell+1}(k) = \langle z, R_{2^f} R_{2^f}^{-1} v_k \rangle = z \ast \tilde{v}_1(2k) = D(z \ast \tilde{v}_1)(k).$$

Furthermore, $c^{0}_{-2}$, $c^{1}_{-2}$, $c^{2}_{-2}$ and $c^{3}_{-2}$ are obtained from (3.2) and (3.3) with fast algorithm.

**Reconstruction Algorithms.** the both sides of (3.1) do inner product with $R_{2^f}^{-1}m g^{(n)}_{\ell-1}$:

$$c^{(n)}_{-\ell+1}(m) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}} c^{(n)}_{-\ell+1}(k) \langle R_{2^f} R_{2^f}^{-1}k g^{(n)}_{\ell}, R_{2^f}^{-1}m g^{(n)}_{\ell-1} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}} c^{(n)}_{-\ell+1}(k) \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{N_{2^f}} u_{\ell}(j) R_{2^f}^{-1}(j+2k) g^{(n)}_{\ell-1}, R_{2^f}^{-1}m g^{(n)}_{\ell-1} \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}} c^{(n)}_{-\ell+1}(k) \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{N_{2^f}} v_{\ell}(j) R_{2^f}^{-1}(j+2k) g^{(n)}_{\ell-1}, R_{2^f}^{-1}m g^{(n)}_{\ell-1} \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}} c^{(n)}_{-\ell+1}(k) u_{\ell}(m-2k) + \sum_{k=0}^{N_{2^f}} c^{(n+1)}_{-\ell+1}(k) v_{\ell}(m-2k)$$

$$= U(c^{(n)}_{-\ell+1}) \ast u_{\ell}(m) + U(c^{(n+1)}_{-\ell}) \ast v_{\ell}(m).$$
Example 3.1 (Haar wavelet packets).

\[ g_1^{(0)} = u_1 = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0 \right), \quad g_1^{(1)} = v_1 = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0 \right). \]

For \( 2 \leq \ell \leq p \), by folding method,

\[
\begin{align*}
u_\ell(0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad u_\ell(1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad u_\ell(n) = 0, \quad 2 \leq n \leq \frac{N}{2^{\ell-1}} - 1; \\
u_\ell(0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad v_\ell(1) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad v_\ell(n) = 0, \quad 2 \leq n \leq \frac{N}{2^{\ell-1}} - 1.
\end{align*}
\]

The generators of \( 2^{th} \) stage wavelet packets are

\[
\begin{align*}
g_2^{(0)} &= g_1^{(0)} * U(u_2) =: \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & n = 0, 1, 2, 3; \\
0, & n = 4, \ldots, N-1. \end{cases} \\
g_2^{(1)} &= g_1^{(0)} * U(v_2) =: \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & n = 0, 1; \\
-\frac{1}{2}, & n = 2, 3; \\
0, & n = 4, \ldots, N-1. \end{cases} \\
g_2^{(2)} &= g_1^{(0)} * U(u_2) =: \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & n = 0, 2; \\
-\frac{1}{2}, & n = 1, 3; \\
0, & n = 4, \ldots, N-1. \end{cases} \\
g_2^{(3)} &= g_1^{(0)} * U(v_2) =: \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & n = 0, 3; \\
-\frac{1}{2}, & n = 1, 2; \\
0, & n = 4, \ldots, N-1. \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Then the \( 2^{th} \) stage wavelet packets is

\[
\left\{ R_{2^k} g_2^{(0)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2^2} - 1} \cup \left\{ R_{2^k} g_2^{(1)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2^2} - 1} \cup \left\{ R_{2^k} g_2^{(2)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2^2} - 1} \cup \left\{ R_{2^k} g_2^{(3)} \right\}_{k=0}^{\frac{N}{2^2} - 1}.
\]

The generators of \( 3^{rd} \) stage wavelet packets are

\[
\begin{align*}
g_3^{(0)} &= g_2^{(0)} * U^2(u_3) =: \begin{cases} 2^{-3_2}, & n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7; \\
0, & n = 8, \ldots, N-1. \end{cases} \\
g_3^{(1)} &= g_2^{(0)} * U^2(v_3) =: \begin{cases} 2^{-3_2}, & n = 0, 1, 2, 3; \\
-2^{-3_2}, & n = 4, 5, 6, 7; \\
0, & n = 8, \ldots, N-1. \end{cases} \\
g_3^{(2)} &= g_2^{(1)} * U^2(u_3) =: \begin{cases} 2^{-3_2}, & n = 0, 1, 4, 5; \\
-2^{-3_2}, & n = 2, 3, 6, 7; \\
0, & n = 8, \ldots, N-1. \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]
The generators of 2

\[ g_3^{(1)} = g_2^{(1)} * U^2(v_3) = \begin{cases} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}}, & n = 0, 1, 6, 7; \\ -2^{-\frac{3}{2}}, & n = 2, 3, 4, 5; \\ 0, & n = 8, \ldots, N - 1. \end{cases} \]

\[ g_3^{(2)} = g_2^{(2)} * U^2(u_3) = \begin{cases} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}}, & n = 0, 2, 4, 6; \\ -2^{-\frac{3}{2}}, & n = 1, 3, 5, 7; \\ 0, & n = 8, \ldots, N - 1. \end{cases} \]

\[ g_3^{(3)} = g_2^{(3)} * U^2(v_3) = \begin{cases} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}}, & n = 0, 2, 5, 7; \\ -2^{-\frac{3}{2}}, & n = 1, 3, 4, 6; \\ 0, & n = 8, \ldots, N - 1. \end{cases} \]

Then the 3rd stage wavelet packets is

\[ \left\{ R^{2k}g_3^{(0)} \right\}_{k=0}^{N-1} U \left\{ R^{2k}g_3^{(1)} \right\}_{k=0}^{N-1} U \left\{ R^{2k}g_3^{(2)} \right\}_{k=0}^{N-1} U \left\{ R^{2k}g_3^{(3)} \right\}_{k=0}^{N-1}. \]

Example 3.2 (Shannon wavelet packets).

\[ \tilde{g}_1^{(0)} = \tilde{u}_1 = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2}, & n = 0, 1, \ldots, N/4 - 1 \text{ or } 3N/4, \ldots, N - 1; \\ 0, & n = \frac{N}{4}, \ldots, 3\frac{N}{4} - 1. \end{cases} \]

\[ \tilde{g}_1^{(1)} = \tilde{v}_1 = \begin{cases} 0, & n = 0, 1, \ldots, N/4 - 1 \text{ or } 3N/4, \ldots, N - 1; \\ \sqrt{2}, & n = \frac{N}{4}, \ldots, 3\frac{N}{4} - 1. \end{cases} \]

By folding method, we have

\[ \tilde{u}_\ell(n) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2}, & 0 \leq n \leq \frac{N}{2^\ell+1} - 1; \frac{3N}{2^\ell+1} \leq n \leq \frac{N}{2^\ell+1} - 1; \\ 0, & \frac{N}{2^\ell+1} \leq n \leq \frac{3N}{2^\ell+1} - 1. \end{cases} \]

\[ \tilde{v}_\ell(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq n \leq \frac{N}{2^\ell+1} - 1; \frac{3N}{2^\ell+1} \leq n \leq \frac{N}{2^\ell+1} - 1; \\ \sqrt{2}, & \frac{N}{2^\ell+1} \leq n \leq \frac{3N}{2^\ell+1} - 1. \end{cases} \]

The generators of 2th stage wavelet packets are

\[ \tilde{g}_2^{(0)}(n) = \tilde{u}_1(n)\tilde{u}_2(n) = \begin{cases} 2, & 0 \leq n \leq \frac{N}{8} - 1; \frac{7N}{8} \leq n \leq N - 1; \\ 0, & \frac{N}{8} \leq n \leq \frac{7N}{8} - 1. \end{cases} \]
The generators of 3rd stage wavelet packets are

\[
\tilde{g}^{(0)}_3(n) = \tilde{g}^{(0)}_2(n)\tilde{u}_3(n) := \begin{cases} 
2^{3}, & 0 \leq n \leq \frac{N}{16} - 1, \frac{15N}{16} \leq n \leq N - 1; \\
0, & \frac{N}{16} \leq n \leq \frac{15N}{16} - 1.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\tilde{g}^{(1)}_3(n) = \tilde{g}^{(0)}_2(n)\tilde{v}_3(n) := \begin{cases} 
2^{3}, & \frac{N}{16} \leq n \leq \frac{N}{8} - 1, \frac{7N}{8} \leq n \leq \frac{15N}{16} - 1; \\
0, & 0 \leq n \leq \frac{N}{16} - 1, \frac{N}{8} \leq n \leq \frac{7N}{8} - 1, \frac{15N}{16} \leq n \leq N - 1.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\tilde{g}^{(2)}_3(n) = \tilde{g}^{(1)}_2(n)\tilde{u}_3(n) := \begin{cases} 
2^{3}, & \frac{3N}{8} \leq n \leq \frac{3N}{4} - 1, \frac{3N}{4} \leq n \leq \frac{13N}{16} - 1; \\
0, & 0 \leq n \leq \frac{3N}{8} - 1, \frac{3N}{4} \leq n \leq \frac{13N}{16} - 1, \frac{7N}{8} \leq n \leq N - 1.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\tilde{g}^{(3)}_3(n) = \tilde{g}^{(1)}_2(n)\tilde{v}_3(n) := \begin{cases} 
2^{3}, & \frac{N}{8} \leq n \leq \frac{3N}{16} - 1, \frac{13N}{16} \leq n \leq \frac{7N}{8} - 1; \\
0, & 0 \leq n \leq \frac{N}{8} - 1, \frac{3N}{16} \leq n \leq \frac{13N}{16} - 1, \frac{7N}{8} \leq n \leq N - 1.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\tilde{g}^{(4)}_3(n) = \tilde{g}^{(2)}_2(n)\tilde{u}_3(n) := \begin{cases} 
2^{3}, & \frac{7N}{8} \leq n \leq \frac{9N}{16} - 1; \\
0, & 0 \leq n \leq \frac{7N}{8} - 1, \frac{9N}{16} \leq n \leq N - 1.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\tilde{g}^{(5)}_3(n) = \tilde{g}^{(2)}_2(n)\tilde{v}_3(n) := \begin{cases} 
2^{3}, & \frac{3N}{8} \leq n \leq \frac{7N}{16} - 1, \frac{9N}{16} \leq n \leq \frac{5N}{8} - 1; \\
0, & 0 \leq n \leq \frac{3N}{8} - 1, \frac{7N}{16} \leq n \leq \frac{9N}{16} - 1, \frac{5N}{8} \leq n \leq N - 1.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\tilde{g}^{(6)}_3(n) = \tilde{g}^{(3)}_2(n)\tilde{u}_3(n) := \begin{cases} 
2^{3}, & \frac{N}{4} \leq n \leq \frac{5N}{16} - 1, \frac{11N}{16} \leq n \leq \frac{13N}{16} - 1; \\
0, & 0 \leq n \leq \frac{N}{4} - 1, \frac{5N}{16} \leq n \leq \frac{11N}{16} - 1, \frac{13N}{16} \leq n \leq N - 1.
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\tilde{g}^{(7)}_3(n) = \tilde{g}^{(3)}_2(n)\tilde{v}_3(n) := \begin{cases} 
2^{3}, & \frac{5N}{16} \leq n \leq \frac{3N}{8} - 1, \frac{5N}{8} \leq n \leq \frac{11N}{16} - 1, \frac{13N}{16} \leq n \leq \frac{7N}{8} - 1; \\
0, & 0 \leq n \leq \frac{5N}{16} - 1, \frac{2N}{8} \leq n \leq \frac{5N}{8} - 1, \frac{11N}{16} \leq n \leq \frac{13N}{16} - 1, \frac{7N}{8} \leq n \leq N - 1.
\end{cases}
\]

4. Numerical Examples

The purpose of decomposition is to project signals onto the wavelet packets and obtain a series of coefficients, then we will use these coefficients to characterize the feature of signals. If the difference between these coefficients is small, then it is difficult to find the feature and the corresponding decomposition is not the best one. Therefore, the best decomposition of wavelet packets is the decomposition that the difference for the final coefficients is the largest.

The difference for the coefficients can be evaluated by Shannon entropy as

\[
S = \sum_k S_k = -\sum_i \sum_k V_i^{(k)} \log V_i^{(k)}.
\]
Here, $V_i^{(k)} = \frac{|u_i^{(k)}|^2}{\sum_k \sum_i |u_i^{(k)}|^2}$, $u_i^{(k)}$ denotes the value of $i$th coefficient for the $k$th node. If $S$ is the smallest, then the corresponding decomposition is the best one.

Let $N = 512$, take a signal in $\ell^2(Z_N)$ as in Figure 1 and add Gauss white noise as in Figure 2. Now, we will use Haar wavelet packets in Example 3.1 to de-noise for this signal.

To evaluate the denoising effect, we mainly consider the following three constantly used index:

(Signal Noise Ratio) $\text{SNR} = 10 \log \frac{\sum_k |z(k)|^2}{\sum_k |z(k) - \tilde{z}(k)|^2}$,

(Rest Mean Square Error) $\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_k |z(k) - \tilde{z}(k)|^2}$,

(Rest Noise Standard Deviation) $\text{RNSD} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_k |\tilde{z}_n(k) - \bar{z}_n|^2}$,
here, $\tilde{z}_n(k) = \tilde{z}(k) - z(k)$ and $\tilde{z}_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \tilde{z}_n(k)$.

**Table 1.** Denoising based on Haar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Wavelet decomposition</th>
<th>Complete wavelet packets</th>
<th>Best wavelet packets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>18.7524</td>
<td>19.0821</td>
<td>19.7206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>0.3463</td>
<td>0.3334</td>
<td>0.3097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNSD</td>
<td>0.3460</td>
<td>0.3331</td>
<td>0.3094</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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