

Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of Generalized Nonlinear α -difference Equation of Second Order

M. Maria Susai Manuel*, G. Britto Antony Xavier, D.S. Dilip,
and G. Dominic Babu

Abstract In this paper, the authors discuss the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the generalized nonlinear α -difference equation

$$\Delta_{\alpha(t)}(p(k)\Delta_{\alpha(t)}u(k)) + f(k)F(u(k)) = g(k), \quad (0.1)$$

$k \in [a, \infty)$, where the functions p , f , F and g are defined in their domain of definition and $\alpha > 1$, ℓ is positive real. Further, $uF(u) > 0$ for $u \neq 0$, $p(k) > 0$ for all $k \in [a, \infty)$ for some $a \in [0, \infty)$ and for all $0 \leq j < \ell$, $R_{a+j,k} \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$R_{t+j,k} = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{p(t+j+r\ell)}, \quad t \in [a, \infty) \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{N}_{\ell}(t+j+\ell).$$

1. Introduction

The basic theory of difference equations is based on the operator Δ defined as $\Delta u(k) = u(k+1) - u(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Eventhough many authors ([1], [20]-[22]) have suggested the definition of Δ as

$$\Delta u(k) = u(k+\ell) - u(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \ell \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}, \quad (1.1)$$

no significant progress has taken place on this line. But recently, E. Thandapani, M.M.S. Manuel and G.B.A. Xavier [7] considered the definition of Δ as given in (1.1) and developed the theory of difference equations in a different direction. For convenience, the operator Δ defined by (1.1) is labelled as Δ_{ℓ} and by defining its inverse Δ_{ℓ}^{-1} , many interesting results and applications in number theory (see [7], [15]-[19]) were obtained. By extending the study related to the sequences of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 39A12.

Key words and phrases. Generalized difference equation; Generalized difference operator; Oscillation and nonoscillation.

*Research supported by National Board for Higher Mathematics, Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, Mumbai, India.

Copyright © 2013 M. Maria Susai Manuel et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

complex numbers and ℓ to be real, some new qualitative properties of the solutions like rotatory, expanding, shrinking, spiral and weblike were obtained for difference equation involving Δ_ℓ . The results obtained using Δ_ℓ can be found in ([7]-[19]). Jerzy Popenda and B. Szmanda ([4], [5]) defined Δ as

$$\Delta_\alpha u(k) = u(k+1) - \alpha u(k) \quad (1.2)$$

and based on this definition they studied the qualitative properties of a particular difference equation and no one else has handled this operator. In this paper, we have generalized the definition of Δ_α given in (1.2) and defined and denoted it as

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)} u(k) = u(k+\ell) - \alpha u(k) \quad (1.3)$$

where $\alpha > 1$ and $\ell \in [0, \infty)$ and by defining its inverse, several interesting results on number theory were obtained.

In [6], John R. Graef worked on Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of a second order nonlinear differential equation and Blazej Szmanda [3] obtained the discrete analogous of [6]. The case of any real ℓ and $\alpha = 1$, in (1) were analysed in detail by M.M.S. Manuel and D.S. Dilip *et al.* [17]. In this paper the theory is extended from Δ_ℓ to $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}$ for all real $k \in [a, \infty)$ and we discuss asymptotic behavior of solutions of generalized nonlinear α -difference equation (0.1) is discussed.

Throughout this paper, we make use the following notations.

- (a) $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\mathbb{N}(a) = \{a, a+1, a+2, \dots\}$,
- (b) $\mathbb{N}_\ell(j) = \{j, j+\ell, j+2\ell, \dots\}$.
- (c) $\lceil x \rceil$ upper integer part of x .

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some preliminaries which will be useful for future discussion.

Definition 2.1 ([7]). Let $u(k)$, $k \in [0, \infty)$ be real or complex valued function and $\ell \in (0, \infty)$. Then, the inverse of Δ_ℓ denoted by Δ_ℓ^{-1} is defined as follows.

$$\text{If } \Delta_\ell v(k) = u(k), \text{ then } v(k) = \Delta_\ell^{-1} u(k) + c_j, \quad (2.1)$$

where c_j is a constant for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_\ell(j)$, $j = k - \lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil \ell$. In general $\Delta_\ell^{-n} u(k) = \Delta_\ell^{-1} (\Delta_\ell^{-(n-1)} u(k))$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}(2)$.

Definition 2.2. The inverse of the Generalized α -difference operator denoted by $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1}$ on $u(k)$ is defined as follows. If $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)} v(k) = u(k)$, then

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}^{-1} u(k) = v(k) - \alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil} c_j. \quad (2.2)$$

where c_j is a constant for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_\ell(j)$, $j = k - \lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil \ell$.

Lemma 2.3 ([7]). *If the real valued function $u(k)$ is defined for all $k \in [a, \infty)$, then*

$$\Delta_\ell^{-1}u(k) = \sum_{r=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-a}{\ell} \rfloor} u(k - r\ell) + c_j, \tag{2.3}$$

where c_j is a constant for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_\ell(j)$, $j = k - a - \lfloor \frac{k-a}{\ell} \rfloor \ell$.

Corollary 2.4. *If $\Delta_\ell v(k) = u(k)$ for $k \in [k_2, \infty)$ and $j = k - k_2 - \lfloor \frac{k-k_2}{\ell} \rfloor \ell$, then*

$$v(k) - v(k_2 + j) = \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-k_2-j-\ell}{\ell} \rfloor} u(k_2 + j + r\ell).$$

Proof. The proof follows by Definition 2.1, Lemma 2.4 and $c_j = v(k_2 + j)$. □

Definition 2.5. The solution $u(k)$ of (0.1) is called oscillatory if for any $k_1 \in [a, \infty)$ there exists a $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}_\ell(k_1)$ such that $u(k_2)u(k_2 + \ell) \leq 0$. The difference equation itself is called oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory. If the solution $u(k)$ is not oscillatory, then it is said to be nonoscillatory (i.e., $u(k)u(k + \ell) > 0$ for all $k \in [k_1, \infty)$).

3. Main Results

In this section we present conditions for the oscillation and nonoscillation of equation (0.1).

Lemma 3.1. *The relation between Δ_ℓ and $\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}$ is given by*

$$\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k+\ell}{\ell} \rceil} \Delta_\ell \left(\frac{u(k)}{\alpha^{\lfloor \frac{k}{\ell} \rfloor}} \right) = \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)} u(k).$$

Theorem 3.2. *Consider the generalized difference equation*

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}(p(k)\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}u(k)) + f(k)F(u(k)) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

and assume that in addition to the given hypotheses on the functions p , f and F , $|F(u)|$ is bounded away from zero if $|u|$ is bounded away from zero, $f(k) \geq 0$ for all $k \in [a, \infty)$ and $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_2+j+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_1 + j + r\ell) = \infty$, then (3.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $u(k)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (0.1) and suppose that $u(k) > 0$ eventually. From the given hypothesis, there exists a positive constant c such that $F(u(k)) \geq c$ for all $k \in [k_2, \infty)$.

On the other hand, from (0.1), we have

$$\Delta_\ell \left(\frac{p(k)}{\alpha^{\lfloor \frac{k}{\ell} \rfloor}} \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)} u(k) \right) + \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k+\ell}{\ell} \rceil} c f(k) \leq 0, \quad k \in [k_1, \infty). \tag{3.2}$$

By Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.4 we obtain

$$p(k)\alpha\Delta_\ell u(k) \leq -\frac{c}{\alpha} \sum_{r=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k-j-k_2-\ell}{\ell} \rfloor} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_2+j+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_2 + j + r\ell) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

We then have $\Delta_\ell \frac{u(k)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}} = -1/\alpha p(k)$. Again by Definition 2.1 and Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\frac{u(k)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}} \leq - \sum_{r=0}^{\lceil \frac{k-\ell-a-j}{\ell} \rceil} \frac{1}{\alpha p(a+j+r\ell)},$$

where $k \in [k_2, \infty)$, where $j = k - k_2 - \lceil \frac{k-k_2}{\ell} \rceil \ell$, which tends to $-\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This leads to a contradiction to our assumption that $u(k) > 0$ eventually. The case $u(k) < 0$ eventually can be treated similarly. \square

Example 3.3. For the generalized α -difference equation

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}(k\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}u(k)) - 2\alpha^2u(k)(2k + \ell) = 0,$$

and for $p(k) = k$, $f = (2k + \ell)\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}$, $F(u(k)) = \frac{-2\alpha^2ku(k)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}}$, the conditions of Theorem 3.2 hold and hence all the solutions of the generalized α -difference equation is oscillatory. Infact $u(k) = (-\alpha)^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}$ is one such solution.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that the following conditions hold.

- (i) $f(k) \geq b > 0$ for all $k \in [a, \infty)$,
- (ii) $|F(u)|$ is bounded away from zero if $|u|$ is bounded away from zero, and
- (iii) the function $G(k) = \sum_{r=0}^{\lceil \frac{k-\ell-a-j}{\ell} \rceil} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{a+j+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} g(a+j+r\ell)$ is bounded on $[a, \infty)$.

Then, for every nonoscillatory solution $u(k)$ of (0.1), $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(k) = 0$.

Proof. In system form, equation (0.1) is equivalent to

$$\Delta_\ell \frac{u(k)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}} = \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k+\ell}{\ell} \rceil} (v(k) + G(k))/p(k), \tag{3.3}$$

$$\Delta_\ell \frac{v(k)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}} = -\alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k+\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k)F(u(k)). \tag{3.4}$$

If $u(k)$ is a nonoscillatory solution of (0.1), then we can assume that $u(k) > 0$ eventually (the case $u(k) < 0$ can be similarly treated). First, we shall show that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(k) = 0$. If not, there exist $k_1 \geq a$ and a positive constant c_1 such that $F(u(k)) \geq c_1$ for all $k \in [k_1, \infty)$. From (3.4) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{v(k+\ell)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k+\ell}{\ell} \rceil}} - \frac{v(k_1)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k_1}{\ell} \rceil}} &= - \sum_{r=0}^{\lceil \frac{k-k_1-j}{\ell} \rceil} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_1+j+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_1+j+r\ell)F(u(k_1+j+r\ell)) \\ &\leq -c_1 \sum_{r=0}^{\lceil \frac{k-k_1-j}{\ell} \rceil} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_1+j+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_1+j+r\ell) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to $-\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

We then have

$$\Delta_\ell \frac{u(k)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}} = \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k+\ell}{\ell} \rceil} (v(k) + G(k))/p(k) \leq -1/p(k) \text{ for all } k \in [k_2, \infty),$$

for some $k_2 \geq k_1$.

This implies that

$$\frac{u(k)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}} \leq \frac{u(k_2)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k_2}{\ell} \rceil}} - \sum_{r=0}^{\lceil \frac{k-k_2}{\ell} \rceil} 1/p(k_2 + j + r\ell)$$

which tends to $-\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. But, this contradicts the fact that $u(k)$ is eventually positive. From the above argument, we also have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_1+j+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_1 + j + r\ell) F(u(k_1 + j + r\ell)) < \infty. \quad (3.5)$$

If $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(k) = \gamma > 0$, then there exists a sequence $\{k_t\} \subseteq [0, \infty)$, such that $u(k_t) \rightarrow \gamma$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, there is $t(0) (k_{t(0)} \geq a)$ such that $u(k_t) \geq \gamma/2$ and $F(u(k_t)) \geq c_2$ for all $t \geq t(0)$, where c_2 is a positive constant. But, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=0}^{\lceil \frac{k_t - k_{t(0)}}{\ell} \rceil} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_{t(0)} + j + r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_{t(0)} + j + r\ell) F(u(k_{t(0)} + j + r\ell)) \\ & \geq \sum_{r=0}^{\lceil \frac{t - k_{t(0)}}{\ell} \rceil} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_{t(0)} + j + r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_{t(0)} + j + r\ell) F(u(k_{t(0)} + j + r\ell)) \\ & \geq bc_1(t - t(0) + \ell) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to ∞ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, so that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_1+j+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_1 + j + r\ell) F(u(k_1 + j + r\ell)) = \infty$$

which contradicts (3.5). □

Example 3.5. For the generalized α -difference equation

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)} \left(\frac{1}{k} \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)} u(k) \right) + \frac{(\alpha^2 - 1)ku(k + 2\ell)}{(k + \ell)} = \frac{(\alpha^2 - 1)}{k\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}},$$

and for $p(k) = \frac{1}{k}$, $F(u(k)) = \frac{ku(k+2\ell)}{(k+\ell)}$, the conditions of Theorem 3.4 hold and hence all nonoscillatory solutions of the generalized α -difference equation, satisfies

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(k) = 0.$$

Theorem 3.6. In addition to the condition (ii), let

(iv) $f(k) > 0$ for all $k \in [a, \infty)$, and $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_1+j+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_1 + j + r\ell) = \infty$, and

(v) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g(k)/f(k) = 0$.

Then, for every nonoscillatory solution $u(k)$ of (0.1), $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u(k)| = 0$.

Proof. Let $u(k)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (0.1), say, $u(k) > 0$ for all $k \in [k_1, \infty)$, where $k_1 \geq a$. Then, $u(k)$ is also a nonoscillatory solution of

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}(p(k)\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}u(k)) + [f(k) - g(k)/F(u(k))]F(u(k)) = 0, \quad k \in [k_1, \infty).$$

Suppose that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(k) > 0$, then by the hypotheses, there exists a positive constant c such that $F(u(k)) \geq c$ for all $k \in [k_1, \infty)$. Thus, by (v) there exists a $k_2 \geq k_1$ such that $g(k)/(f(k)F(u(k))) < 1/2$ for all $k \in [k_2, \infty)$. This implies that

$$f(k) - \frac{g(k)}{F(u(k))} = f(k) \left[1 - \frac{g(k)}{(f(k)F(u(k)))} \right] \geq \frac{1}{2}f(k), \quad k \in [k_2, \infty).$$

So from (iv) we get

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_1+j+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} \left[f(k_1+j+r\ell) - \frac{g(k_1+j+r\ell)}{F(u(k_1+j+r\ell))} \right] = \infty.$$

But, then by Theorem 3.2, $u(k)$ must be oscillatory. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Example 3.7. For the generalized α -difference equation

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)} \left(\frac{1}{k} \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)} u(k) \right) + \frac{(1-\alpha^2)u(k)}{k} = \frac{k(1-\alpha^2)}{(k+\ell)\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k+2\ell}{\ell} \rceil}},$$

and for $p(k) = \frac{1}{k}$, $f = k\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}$, $F(u(k)) = \frac{(1-\alpha^2)u(k)^2}{k^2}$, the conditions of Theorem 3.6 hold and hence all the nonoscillatory solutions of the generalized α -difference equation satisfies $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |u(k)| = 0$. $u(k) = \frac{1}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}}$ is one such solution.

Theorem 3.8. In addition to the condition (iv) let

- (vi) $F(u)$ is continuous at $u = 0$, and
- (vii) $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-t-j}{\ell}} g(t+j+r\ell)}{\sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-t-j}{\ell}} f(t+j+r\ell)} \geq c > 0$ for every $t \in [a, \infty)$.

Then, no solution of (0.1) approaches zero.

Proof. Let $u(k)$ be a solution of (0.1) which approaches zero. Then, by the hypotheses on the function F there exists a $k_1 \geq a$ such that $F(u(k)) < c/4$ for all $k \in [k_1, \infty)$. Hence, from equation (0.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p(k+\ell)\alpha\Delta_{\ell} \frac{u(k+\ell)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}} - \alpha p(k_1+j)\Delta_{\ell} \frac{u(k_1+j)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k_1+j}{\ell} \rceil}} \\ & \geq -\frac{c}{4} \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-k_1-j}{\ell}} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_1+j+\ell+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_1+j+r\ell) + \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-k_1-j}{\ell}} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_1+j+\ell+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} g(k_1+j+r\ell), \end{aligned}$$

which by (vii) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha p(k+\ell)\Delta_\ell \frac{u(k+\ell)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}}}{\sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-k_1-j}{\ell}} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_1+j+\ell+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_1+j+r\ell)} - \frac{\alpha p(k_1+j)\Delta_\ell \frac{u(k_1+j)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k_1+j}{\ell} \rceil}}}{\sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-k_1-j}{\ell}} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{k_1+j+\ell+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(k_1+j+r\ell)} \\ & \geq -\frac{c}{4} + \frac{\sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-k_1-j}{\ell}} g(k_1+j+r\ell)}{\sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-k_1-j}{\ell}} f(k_1+j+r\ell)} \geq -\frac{c}{4} + \frac{c}{2} = \frac{c}{4} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all large k . Now, because of (iv) in the above inequality implies that $p(k)\Delta_\ell \frac{u(k)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}}$ which tends to ∞ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, which in turn leads to the contradictive conclusion that $u(k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Example 3.9. For the generalized α -difference equation

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}(k\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}u(k)) + \alpha^2(\alpha-1)ku(k) = \alpha^3(\alpha-1)(k+\ell)\alpha^{2\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil},$$

and for $F(u(k)) = u(k)$, $f = k\alpha^2(\alpha-1)$, $g = \alpha^3(\alpha-1)(k+\ell)\alpha^{2\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}$, all the conditions of Theorem 3.8 hold and hence all the solutions of the generalized α -difference equation are unbounded. $u(k) = \alpha^{2\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}$ is one such solution.

Remark 3.10. If we replace conditions (iv) and (vii) by

$$(iv)' \quad f(k) < 0 \text{ for all } k \in [a, \infty), \text{ and } \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{t+j+\ell+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f(t+j+r\ell) = -\infty \text{ and}$$

$$(v)' \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-t-j}{\ell}} g(t+j+r\ell) / \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-t-j}{\ell}} f(t+j+r\ell) \leq c < 0 \text{ for every } t \in [a, \infty),$$

then the assertion of Theorem 3.8 holds.

Theorem 3.11. Suppose that the following conditions hold.

(viii) $F(u)$ is locally bounded in $[0, \infty)$

$$(ix) \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{t+j+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} |f(t+j+r\ell)| < \infty, \quad \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{t+j+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} g(t+j+r\ell) = \infty.$$

Then, every solution of (0.1) is unbounded.

Proof. Let $u(k)$ be a bounded solution of (0.1), i.e. $|u(k)| < M$, where M is a positive constant. Then, by (viii) there exist constants L_1 and L_2 such that

$L_1 \leq F(u(k)) \leq L_2$. But then, from (0.1) and (ix), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha p(k + \ell) \Delta_\ell \frac{u(k + \ell)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}} - \alpha p(a + j) \Delta_\ell \frac{u(a + j)}{\alpha^{\lceil \frac{a+j}{\ell} \rceil}} \\ & \geq \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-a-j}{\ell}} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{a+j+\ell+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} g(a + j + r\ell) - L_2 \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-a-j}{\ell}} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{a+j+\ell+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f^+(a + j + r\ell) \\ & \quad - L_1 \sum_{r=0}^{\frac{k-a-j}{\ell}} \alpha^{-\lceil \frac{a+j+\ell+r\ell}{\ell} \rceil} f^-(a + j + r\ell) \end{aligned}$$

which tends to ∞ , as $k \rightarrow \infty$. However, this leads to the fact that $u(k) \rightarrow \infty$. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Example 3.12. For the generalized α -difference equation

$$\Delta_{\alpha(\ell)}(k \Delta_{\alpha(\ell)} u(k)) + \alpha^2(\alpha - 1)ku(k) = \alpha^3(\alpha - 1)(k + \ell)\alpha^{2\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil},$$

and for $F(u(k)) = u(k)$, $f = k\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)$, $g = \alpha^3(\alpha - 1)(k + \ell)\alpha^{2\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}$, all the conditions of Theorem 3.11 hold and hence all the solutions of generalized α -difference equation are unbounded. Infact $u(k) = \alpha^{2\lceil \frac{k}{\ell} \rceil}$ is one such solution.

References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2000.
- [2] R.P. Agarwal, Young-Ho Kim and S.K. Sen, New retarded discrete inequalities with applications, *International Journal of Difference Equations* **1**(4) (2009), 1–19.
- [3] Blazej Szmanda, Note on the behaviour of solutions of a second order nonlinear difference equation, *Atti Della Accademia Nazionale Dei Lincei* **69**(8) (1980), 120–125.
- [4] Jerzy Popenda and Blazej Szmanda, On the oscillation of solutions of certain difference equations, *Demonstratio Mathematica* **XVII**(1) (1984), 153–164.
- [5] Jerzy Popenda, Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for second-order difference equations, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **123**(1) (1987), 34–38.
- [6] John R. Graef and P.W. Spikes, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of a second order nonlinear differential equation, *Journal of Differential Equation* **17**(2) (1975), 461–476.
- [7] M. Maria Susai Manuel, G. Britto Antony Xavier and E. Thandapani, Theory of generalized difference operator and its applications, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **20**(2) (2006), 163–171.
- [8] M. Maria Susai Manuel and G. Britto Antony Xavier, Generalized Difference calculus of sequences of real and complex numbers, *International Journal of Computational and Numerical Analysis and Applications* **6**(4) (2004), 401–415.

- [9] M. Maria Susai Manuel, G. Britto Antony Xavier and E. Thandapani, Qualitative properties of solutions of certain class of difference equations, *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences* **23**(3) (2006), 295–304.
- [10] M. Maria Susai Manuel, G. Britto Antony Xavier and E. Thandapani, Generalized bernoulli polynomials through weighted pochhammer symbols, *Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics* **26**(3) (2007), 321–333.
- [11] M. Maria Susai Manuel, A. George Maria Selvam and G. Britto Antony Xavier, On the solutions and applications of some class of generalized difference equations, *Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics* **28**(2) (2007), 223–241.
- [12] M. Maria Susai Manuel, A. George Maria Selvam and G. Britto Antony Xavier, Rotatory and boundedness of solutions of certain class of difference equations, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **33**(3) (2006), 333–343.
- [13] M. Maria Susai Manuel and G. Britto Antony Xavier, Recessive, dominant and spiral behaviours of solutions of certain class of generalized difference equations, *International Journal of Differential Equations and Applications* **10**(4) (2007), 423–433.
- [14] M. Maria Susai Manuel, A. George Maria Selvam and G. Britto Antony Xavier, Regular sink and source in terms of solutions of certain class of generalized difference equations, *Far East Journal of Applied Mathematics* **28**(3) (2007), 441–454.
- [15] M. Maria Susai Manuel, G. Britto Antony Xavier and V. Chandrasekar, Generalized difference operator of the second kind and its application to number theory, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **47**(1) (2008), 127–140.
- [16] M. Maria Susai Manuel, G. Britto Antony Xavier, V. Chandrasekar and R. Pugalarasu, On generalized difference operator of third kind and its applications to number theory, *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **53**(1) (2009), 69–82.
- [17] M. Maria Susai Manuel, G. Britto Antony Xavier, D.S. Dilip and G. Dominic Babu, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of generalized nonlinear difference equations of second order, communicated to *Journal of Difference Equation*.
- [18] R. Pugalarasu, M. Maria Susai Manuel, V. Chandrasekar and G. Britto Antony Xavier, Theory of Generalized Difference operator of n -th kind and its applications in number theory (Part I), *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **64**(1) (2010), 103–120.
- [19] R. Pugalarasu, M. Maria Susai Manuel, V. Chandrasekar and G. Britto Antony Xavier, Theory of generalized difference operator of n -th kind and its applications in number theory (Part II), *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* **64**(1) (2010), 121–132.
- [20] Ronald E. Mickens, *Difference Equations*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, 1990.
- [21] Saber N. Elaydi, *An Introduction to Difference Equations*, 2nd edition, Springer, 1999.

[22] Walter G. Kelley and Allan C. Peterson, *Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications*, Academic Press, Inc., 1991.

M. Maria Susai Manuel, *Department of Science and Humanities, R.M.D. Engineering College, Kavaraipettai 601 206, Tamil Nadu, India.*

E-mail: manuelmsm_03@yahoo.co.in

G. Britto Antony Xavier, *Department of Mathematics, Sacred Heart College, Tirupattur 635 601, Vellore District, Tamil Nadu, India.*

D.S. Dilip, *Department of Mathematics, Sacred Heart College, Tirupattur 635 601, Vellore District, Tamil Nadu, India.*

G. Dominic Babu, *Department of Mathematics, Sacred Heart College, Tirupattur 635 601, Vellore District, Tamil Nadu, India.*

Received January 24, 2012

Accepted January 19, 2013