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#### Abstract

In this paper, some higher order algorithms have been introduced for solving fixed point problems. These algorithms have been developed by Homotopy Perturbation Method. New algorithms are tested on diversified nonlinear problems. The results are very promising and useful. Comparison of numerical results along with existing proficient techniques explicitly reflects the very high level of accuracy of developed iterative schemes.
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## 1. Introduction

This paper is devoted to the development of some higher order iterative techniques for solving nonlinear algebraic equations which are of utmost importance in mathematical physics and engineering sciences. Such equations arise frequently in number of scientific models including
fluid mechanics, astrophysics, geo physics, solid state physics, plasma physics, chemical kinematics and optical fiber [1-19] and references therein. Finding the solution of following nonlinear algebraic equations:

$$
f(x)=0,
$$

is equivalent to fixed point problems $x=g(x)$, where $g(x)$ is a continuous function under certain conditions. We can define functions $g$ with a fixed point at $p$ in a number of ways, for example as $g(x)=x-f(x)$ or $g(x)=x+3 f(x)$. Conversely, if the function $g$ has fixed point at $p$, then the function defined by $g(x)=x-f(x)$ has a zero at $p$.

The through study of literature reveals that many researchers have investigated to find the root of nonlinear algebraic equations.

The most popular method to find the root of nonlinear algebraic equations is Newton's method [13]. Chun [3] improved Newton method by decomposition method. Abbasbandy [1] has also improved Newton Raphson method by using the Adomian decomposition method. Halley [6] developed a tremendous higher order iterative technique in the history for solving nonlinear equations more accurately and in fastest way which open the door for others to think in this direction. Ezquerro et al. [4,5] developed Halley type iterative scheme free from second derivative. Noor and Noor [14-16] have suggested and analyzed many efficient techniques of third, fifth and sixth-order predictor-corrector Halley method for solving the nonlinear equations. Also, Kou et al. [12,13] have suggested a class of fifth-order iterative methods. Moreover, several iterative type methods have been developed by using the Taylor series, decomposition and quadrature formulae [2, 18, 19] and the references therein.

Homotopy perturbation method was introduced by He [7] in 1999. Since then this technique has been successfully used by many researchers for solving initial and boundary value problems of diversified nature. New interpretation and new development of the homotopy perturbation methods have been given and well addressed by He [8-11]. Afterwards, Sehati et al. [17] has developed some new iterative schemes of higher order by using HPM for finding real and complex roots of nonlinear equations.

Inspired and motivated by the ongoing research in this area, we have introduced some higher order iterative schemes (Algorithm 1, Algorithm 2, Algorithm 3 and Algorithm 4) to find the solution of some fixed point problems. We have compared our results with well known Newton's method [13], Noor method [14-16], Chun method [3] and Sehati et al. [17] $5^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}$, $10^{\text {th }}$ and $14^{\text {th }}$ order techniques. Some of the techniques used for the comparison purpose are given as follows:

Newton's Method (NM) ([13]).

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}
$$

Noor's Method ([14-16]).

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
z_{n} & =-\frac{\left(y_{n}-x_{n}\right)^{2}}{2} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
x_{n+1} & =y_{n}-\frac{\left(y_{n}+z_{n}-x_{n}\right)^{2}}{2} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chun's method ([3]).

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
x_{n+1} & =y_{n}-2 \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}+\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is worth mentioning that applied scheme is fully compatible with the complexity of such problems and obtained results are highly accurate. Moreover, it is also observed by considering Computational Order of Convergence (COC) and number or iterations that the proposed algorithms are very reliable and may be implemented on other physical problems also.

Definition 1. We choose an initial approximation $x_{0}$ and generate the sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, by letting $x_{n}=g\left(x_{n-1}\right)$, for $n=1$. If the sequence converges to $x$ and $g$ is continuous then

$$
x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g\left(x_{n-1}\right)=g\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n-1}\right)=g(x)
$$

and solution to $x=g(x)$ is obtained. This technique is called fixed point iteration.
Definition 2. A sequence of iterates $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, is said to converge to the root $\alpha$, if $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{k}-\alpha\right|=0$ or $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=\alpha$.
Definition 3. Assume that sequence of iterates $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$, is converges to $\alpha$ and $e_{k}=x_{k}-\alpha$ for $k=0$. If two positive constants $M \neq 0$ and $q>0$ exist, and

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|e_{k+1}\right|}{\left|e_{k}\right|^{q}}=M
$$

Then the sequence is said to converge to $\alpha$ with order of convergence $q$. The number $M$ is called asymptotic error constant.

## 2. Methodology

Consider the nonlinear algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to fixed point problem, i.e., $f(x)=0 \Longleftrightarrow x=g(x)$, under certain conditions.
We assume that $\alpha$ is a simple root of (2.1) and $\lambda$ an initial guess sufficiently close to it. Equation (2.1) can be rewrite as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\lambda)+(x-\lambda) f^{\prime}(\lambda)+\frac{1}{2!}(x-\lambda)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) \approx 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Homotopy perturbation method [1], construct the homotopy $H: R \times[0,1] \rightarrow R$ which satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, p)=p[f(x)]+(1-p)\left[f(\lambda)+(x-\lambda) f^{\prime}(\lambda)+\frac{1}{2!}(x-\lambda)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)\right], \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is an embedding parameter. Hence, it is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, 0)=f(\lambda)+(x-\lambda) f^{\prime}(\lambda)+\frac{1}{2!}(x-\lambda)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) \approx 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x, 1)=f(x)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the changing process of $p$ form 0 to 1 , refers to $H(x, p)$ form $H(x, 0)$ to $H(x, 1)$. According to the Homotopy perturbation method consider the solution in series form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x_{0}+p x_{1}+p^{2} x_{2}+p^{3} x_{3}+\ldots \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $p \rightarrow 1$, (2.3) corresponding to (2.1) and (2.6) becomes the approximate solution of (2.1), that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}=\lim _{p \rightarrow 1} x=x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+\ldots \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To apply Homotopy perturbation method to (2.1). The (2.3) can be rewrite as

$$
\begin{align*}
H(x, p)=p[ & \left.f\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(x-x_{0}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2!}\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\ldots\right] \\
& +(1-p)\left[f(\lambda)+(x-\lambda) f^{\prime}(\lambda)+\frac{1}{2!}(x-\lambda)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)\right] . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting eq. (2.6) into eq. (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(x, p)=p\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(p x_{1}+p^{2} x_{2}+p^{3} x_{3}+\ldots\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2!}\left(p x_{1}+p^{2} x_{2}+p^{3} x_{3}+\ldots\right)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\ldots\right] \\
+(1-p)\left[f(\lambda)+\left(x_{0}+p x_{1}+p^{2} x_{2}+p^{3} x_{3}+\cdots-\lambda\right) f^{\prime}(\lambda)\right. \\
\left.\quad+\frac{1}{2!}\left(x_{0}+p x_{1}+p^{2} x_{2}+p^{3} x_{3}+\cdots-\lambda\right)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)\right]=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Comparing the coefficient of like powers of $p$, we have following system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{0}: f(\lambda)+\left(x_{0}-\lambda\right) f^{\prime}(\lambda)+\frac{1}{2!}\left(x_{0}-\lambda\right)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)=0, \\
& p^{1}: f\left(x_{0}\right)+x_{1} f^{\prime}(\lambda)+x_{1}\left(x_{0}-\lambda\right) f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)-f(\lambda)-\left(x_{0}-\lambda\right) f^{\prime}(\lambda)-\frac{1}{2!}\left(x_{0}-\lambda\right)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)=0, \\
& p^{2}: x_{1} f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+x_{2} f^{\prime}(\lambda)+\frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)+x_{2}\left(x_{0}-\lambda\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\lambda)-x_{1} f^{\prime}(\lambda)-x_{1}\left(x_{0}-\lambda\right) f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\lambda)=0, \\
& p^{3}: f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{0} x_{3}+f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{1} x_{2}-f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{3} \lambda-f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{0} x_{2}+f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{2} \lambda+f^{\prime}(\lambda) x_{3}+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) x_{1}^{2} \\
& \quad+f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) x_{2}-f^{\prime}(\lambda) x_{2}-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{1}^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

After solving the system we get

$$
x_{0}=\lambda+\frac{-f^{\prime}(\lambda)+\sigma \sqrt{f^{\prime 2}(\lambda)-2 f(\lambda) f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)}}{f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)}
$$

where $\sigma= \pm 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=\frac{-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{\sigma \sqrt{f^{\prime 2}(\lambda)-2 f(\lambda) f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)}}, \\
& x_{2}=\frac{x_{1}\left\{f^{\prime}(\lambda)-f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(x_{0}-\lambda-\frac{1}{2} x_{1}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)\right\}}{\sigma \sqrt{f^{\prime 2}(\lambda)-2 f(\lambda) f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)}}, \\
& x_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\binom{-2 f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{1} x_{2}+2 f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{0} x_{2}-2 f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) \lambda x_{2}-f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) x_{1}^{2}-2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) x_{2}}{+2 f^{\prime}(\lambda) x_{2}+f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{1}^{2}}}{\sigma \sqrt{f^{\prime 2}(\lambda)-2 f(\lambda) f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the values of $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ in (2.7), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{x}= & +\frac{-f^{\prime}(\lambda)+\sigma \sqrt{f^{\prime 2}(\lambda)-2 f(\lambda) f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)}}{f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)}+\frac{-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{\sigma \sqrt{f^{\prime 2}(\lambda)-2 f(\lambda) f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)}} \\
& +\frac{x_{1}\left\{f^{\prime}(\lambda)-f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\left(x_{0}-\lambda-\frac{1}{2} x_{1}\right) f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)\right\}}{\sigma \sqrt{f^{\prime 2}(\lambda)-2 f(\lambda) f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)}} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{\binom{-2 f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{1} x_{2}+2 f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{0} x_{2}-2 f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) \lambda x_{2}-f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) x_{1}^{2}-2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) x_{2}}{+2 f^{\prime}(\lambda) x_{2}+f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda) x_{1}^{2}}}{\sigma \sqrt{f^{\prime 2}(\lambda)-2 f(\lambda) f^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)}}+\ldots \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now by substituting $\sigma=1$, these formulation allow us to suggest the following iterative methods for solving the nonlinear algebraic equation (2.1).

Algorithm 1. For a given $x_{0}$, calculate the approximate solution $x_{n+1}$ by the iterative scheme:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n} & =x_{n}+\frac{-f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
z_{n} & =\frac{-f\left(y_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}, \\
w_{n} & =\frac{z_{n}\left\{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)+\left(y_{n}-x_{n}-\frac{1}{2} z_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}, \\
x_{n+1} & =y_{n}+z_{n}+w_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\binom{-2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) z_{n} w_{n}+2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) y_{n} w_{n}-2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) x_{n} w_{n}-f^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{n}\right) z_{n}^{2}-2 f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) w_{n}}{\left.+2 f_{n}\right) w_{n}+f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) z_{n}^{2}}}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Algorithm 2. For a given $x_{0}$, calculate the approximate solution $x_{n+1}$ by the iterative scheme:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n}=x_{n}+\frac{-f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
& z_{n}=\frac{-f\left(y_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}, \\
& w_{n}=\frac{z_{n}\left\{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)+\left(y_{n}-x_{n}-\frac{1}{2} z_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}, \\
& h_{n}=y_{n}+z_{n}+w_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.-2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) z_{n} w_{n}+2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) y_{n} w_{n}-2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) x_{n} w_{n}-f^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{n}\right) z_{n}^{2}-2 f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) w_{n}\right) w_{n}+f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) z_{n}^{2}
\end{array}\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}} \\
& x_{n+1}=h_{n}-\frac{f\left(h_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(h_{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Algorithm 3. For a given $x_{0}$, calculate the approximate solution $x_{n+1}$ by the iterative scheme:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n}=x_{n}+\frac{-f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
& z_{n}=\frac{-f\left(y_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}, \\
& w_{n}=\frac{z_{n}\left\{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)+\left(y_{n}-x_{n}-\frac{1}{2} z_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}, \\
& h_{n}=y_{n}+z_{n}+w_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left.-2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) z_{n} w_{n}+2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) y_{n} w_{n}-2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) x_{n} w_{n}-f^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{n}\right) z_{n}^{2}-2 f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) w_{n}\right) w_{n}+f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) z_{n}^{2}
\end{array}\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}} \\
& x_{n+1}=h_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(h_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(h_{n}\right)}{2 f^{\prime 2}\left(h_{n}\right)-f\left(h_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(h_{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Algorithm 4. For a given $x_{0}$, calculate the approximate solution $x_{n+1}$ by the iterative scheme:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n}=x_{n}+\frac{-f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
& z_{n}=\frac{-f\left(y_{n}\right)}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}, \\
& w_{n}=\frac{z_{n}\left\{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)+\left(y_{n}-x_{n}-\frac{1}{2} z_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{n} & =y_{n}+z_{n}+w_{n}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\binom{-2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) z_{n} w_{n}+2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) y_{n} w_{n}-2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) x_{n} w_{n}-f^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{n}\right) z_{n}^{2}-2 f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right) w_{n}}{+2 f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) w_{n}+f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right) z_{n}^{2}}}{\sqrt{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)-2 f\left(x_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}}, \\
x_{n+1} & =h_{n}-\frac{f\left(h_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(h_{n}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{f^{2}\left(h_{n}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left(h_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime 3}\left(h_{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Numerical Examples

In this section, we apply Algorithms $1 \cdot 4$ to solve the following nonlinear algebraic equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x)=\sin ^{2}(x)-x^{2}+1, \\
& f_{2}(x)=e^{x}-3 x^{2}, \\
& f_{3}(x)=e^{-x}+\cos (x), \\
& f_{4}(x)=e^{-x^{2}+x+2}-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

And compare the result with
Newton's Method (NM) ([]3]]).

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} .
$$

## Noor's Method ([14-16]).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
& z_{n}=-\frac{\left(y_{n}-x_{n}\right)^{2}}{2} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
& x_{n+1}=y_{n}-\frac{\left(y_{n}+z_{n}-x_{n}\right)^{2}}{2} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chun's Method ([3]).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)} \\
& x_{n+1}=y_{n}-2 \frac{f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}+\frac{f\left(y_{n}\right) f^{\prime}\left(y_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime 2}\left(x_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and with some new iterative formulas developed by Sehati et al. [17], by taking 1500 digits precision. We used $\varepsilon=10^{-25}$. The following stopping criteria were used in computer program:
(i) $\left|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right|<\varepsilon$, and
(ii) $\left|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right|<\varepsilon$.

## 4. Tables

Table 4.1 shows numerical results for $f_{1}(x)=\sin ^{2}(x)-x^{2}+1$.
Table 4.1

| Method | $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ | Iterations | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | $\left\|\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)\right\|$ | COC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newton's Method [13] | 2 | 6 | -1.40449164821534122604 | $2.2600 \mathrm{E}-32$ | 2.00 |
| Noor Method [14-16] | 2 | 4 | -1.40449164821534122604 | $8.6400 \mathrm{E}-63$ | 3.00 |
| Chun Method [3] | 2 | 4 | -1.40449164821534122604 | $1.4500 \mathrm{E}-91$ | 3.99 |
| $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ order Method [17] | 2 | 4 | $-1.40449164821534122604$ | $7.1289 \mathrm{E}-33$ | 5.05 |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ order Method [17] | 2 | 4 | -1.40449164821534122604 | $6.9011 \mathrm{E}-166$ | 6.99 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ order Method [17] | 2 | 4 | -1.40449164821534122604 | $1.2535 \mathrm{E}-234$ | 9.99 |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ order Method [17] | 2 | 3 | $-1.40449164821534122604$ | $2.3351 \mathrm{E}-159$ | 13.90 |
| Algorithm 1 | 2 | 3 | -1.40449164821534122604 | $6.7501 \mathrm{E}-64$ | 9.17 |
| Algorithm 2 | 2 | 2 | -1.40449164821534122604 | $2.8880 \mathrm{E}-27$ | 15.23 |
| Algorithm 3 | 2 | 2 | -1.40449164821534122604 | $1.8016 \mathrm{E}-60$ | 23.46 |
| Algorithm 4 | 2 | 2 | -1.40449164821534122604 | $1.5839 \mathrm{E}-44$ | 27.31 |

Table 4.2 shows numerical results for $f_{2}(x)=e^{x}-3 x^{2}$.

Table 4.2

| Method | $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ | Iterations | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | $\left\|\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)\right\|$ | COC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newton's Method [13] | 0.5 | 6 | 0.91000757248870906066 | $1.3153 \mathrm{E}-29$ | 2.00 |
| Noor Method [14-16] | 0.5 | 4 | 0.91000757248870906066 | $6.1201 \mathrm{E}-26$ | 3.00 |
| Chun Method [3] | 0.5 | 4 | 0.91000757248870906066 | $1.6753 \mathrm{E}-29$ | 3.99 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ order Method [17] | 0.5 | 3 | -0.45896226753694851460 | $2.2472 \mathrm{E}-66$ | 5.00 |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ order Method [17] | 0.5 | 3 | -0.45896226753694851460 | $1.2272 \mathrm{E}-163$ | 6.99 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ order Method [17] | 0.5 | 2 | -0.45896226753694851460 | $3.3091 \mathrm{E}-47$ | 10.29 |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ order Method [17] | 0.5 | 2 | -0.45896226753694851460 | $1.7178 \mathrm{E}-86$ | 14.29 |
| Algorithm 1 | 0.5 | 2 | -0.45896226753694851460 | $3.4556 \mathrm{E}-36$ | 9.29 |
| Algorithm 2 | 0.5 | 2 | -0.45896226753694851460 | $6.5611 \mathrm{E}-136$ | 18.28 |
| Algorithm 3 | 0.5 | 2 | -0.45896226753694851460 | $2.0723 \mathrm{E}-300$ | 27.29 |
| Algorithm 4 | 0.5 | 2 | -0.45896226753694851460 | $1.1552 \mathrm{E}-291$ | 27.28 |

Table 4.3 shows numerical results for $f_{3}(x)=e^{-x}+\cos (x)$ ．
Table 4.3

| Method | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{0}}$ | Iterations | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | $\left\|\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)\right\|$ | COC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newton＇s Method 【13］ | 2.5 | 6 | 1.74613953040801241765 | $1.3724 \mathrm{E}-47$ | 2.00 |
| Noor Method \14－16］ | 2.5 | 4 | 1.74613953040801241765 | $3.9410 \mathrm{E}-30$ | 3.00 |
| Chun Method 【3］ | 2.5 | 4 | 1.74613953040801241765 | $7.7501 \mathrm{E}-90$ | 3.99 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ order Method 【17］ | 2.5 | 3 | 4.70332375945224380651 | $3.2258 \mathrm{E}-53$ | 4.99 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ order Method 【17］ | 2.5 | 3 | 4.70332375945224380651 | $4.8969 \mathrm{E}-135$ | 6.99 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ order Method 【17］ | 2.5 | 2 | 4.70332375945224380651 | $1.8709 \mathrm{E}-65$ | 9.17 |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ order Method $\lfloor 17]$ | 2.5 | 2 | 4.70332375945224380651 | $1.4130 \mathrm{E}-105$ | 13.00 |
| Algorithm 1 | 2.5 | 2 | 4.70332375945224380651 | $8.2551 \mathrm{E}-133$ | 7.63 |
| Algorithm 2 | 2.5 | 2 | 4.70332375945224380651 | $3.1749 \mathrm{E}-165$ | 16.88 |
| Algorithm 3 | 2.5 | 2 | 4.70332375945224380651 | $5.4067 \mathrm{E}-303$ | 25.64 |
| Algorithm 4 | 2.5 | 2 | 4.70332375945224380651 | $5.4787 \mathrm{E}-303$ | 25.64 |

Table 4.4 shows numerical results for $f_{4}(x)=e^{-x^{2}+x+2}-1$ ．
Table 4.4

| Method | $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ | Iterations | $\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ | $\left\|\boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)\right\|$ | COC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Newton＇s Method［13］ | 0.5 | 1 | Fail | － |  |
| Noor Method［14－16］ | 0.5 | 1 | Fail | － |  |
| Chun Method［3］ | 0.5 | 1 | Fail | － |  |
| $5{ }^{\text {th }}$ order Method［17］ | 0.5 | 3 | －1．00000000000000000000 | $1.6955 \mathrm{E}-117$ | 4.99 |
| $7{ }^{\text {th }}$ order Method［17］ | 0.5 | 3 | －1．00000000000000000000 | $5.0264 \mathrm{E}-35$ | 6.65 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ order Method［17］ | 0.5 | 3 | $-1.00000000000000000000$ | $1.5124 \mathrm{E}-103$ | 9.97 |
| $14^{\text {th }}$ order Method［17］ | 0.5 | 2 | －1．00000000000000000000 | $1.0709 \mathrm{E}-219$ | 13.99 |
| Algorithm 1 | 0.5 | 3 | $-1.00000000000000000000$ | $1.8608 \mathrm{E}-152$ | 8.79 |
| Algorithm 2 | 0.5 | 3 | $-1.00000000000000000000$ | $4.0495 \mathrm{E}-391$ | 17.99 |
| Algorithm 3 | 0.5 | 2 | $-1.00000000000000000000$ | $3.6553 \mathrm{E}-51$ | 23.15 |
| Algorithm 4 | 0.5 | 2 | －1．00000000000000000000 | $3.7313 \mathrm{E}-45$ | 27.65 |

The test results in Table 4．1－4．4 show that for most of the function we tested．The proposed algorithms highly effective and have better performance compared with other methods．

Form Table 4.1, we see that Algorithms 114 have better approximation as compared with Sehati et al. [17] formulas, Newton method [13], Noor method [14-16] and Chun method [3]. In Table 4.2, we see that Algorithms 14 have different roots from Newton method, Noor method and Chun method with same initial approximation. In Table 4.3, with the same approximation Algorithms 144 have different roots from Newton method, Noor method and Chun method. In Table 4.4, Newton method, Noor method and Chum method fails to calculate the root but Algorithms $1-4$ are successively applied to find the root and have better results as compare to new iterative schemes.

## 5. Figures

Figure 5.1 depicts graphical comparison between methods and number of iterations.


Figure 5.1

Figure 5.2 depicts the graphical comparison between methods and COC.


Figure 5.2

Figures 5.1 and 5.2 elaborate the efficiency, accuracy and reliability of the proposed algorithms to find the root of the problems 1-4. It is observed that the proposed algorithms have large computational order of convergence (COC) than the existing methods [3, 14-17] which evidence that our newly proposed methods are well-matched to investigate the roots. Moreover, for same initial guess our proposed algorithms are faster convergent because just in two iterations we achieved our required root. This figure witnesses that the Algorithms 1.4 are rapid convergent and more accurate and can be extend to find the root of nonlinear diversify problems.

## 6. Conclusions

New iterative schemes have been developed by using HPM. The comparison with other methods including Newton's method, Noor method, Chun method and Sehati et al. [17] shows the efficiency and reliability of the proposed techniques. The proposed algorithms are complicated and a lot of computation work, but with the aid of MAPLE 13, this deficiency have been removed. It is concluded that proposed algorithm can be extended to other nonlinear problems of physical nature too.
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