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Abstract. In this paper, we have generalized a known theorem on $|N, p_n|_k$ summability factors of infinite series with a new summability method by using almost increasing sequences. This new theorem also includes several new and known results.
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1. Introduction

A positive sequence $(b_n)$ is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive increasing sequence $(c_n)$ and two positive constants $A$ and $B$ such that $A c_n \leq b_n \leq B c_n$ (see [1]). Obviously every increasing sequence is almost increasing sequence but the converse need not be true as can be seen from the example $b_n = n e^{-1}$. Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with partial sums $(s_n)$. Let $(p_n)$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_v \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as} \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, i \geq 1).$$

(1.1)

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_v s_v$$

(1.2)
defines the sequence \((\sigma_n)\) of the Riesz mean or simply the \((\bar{N}, p_n)\) mean of the sequence \((s_n)\), generated by the sequence of coefficients \((p_n)\) (see [8]). The series \(\sum a_n\) is said to be summable \(|\bar{N}, p_n|k, \ k \geq 1\), if (see [8])
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^k |\sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1}|^k < \infty,
\]
and it is said to be summable \(|\bar{N}, p_n, \beta; \delta|_k, \ k \geq 1, \ \delta \geq 0\) and \(\beta\) is a real number, if (see [7])
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right) \beta(\delta k+k-1) |\sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1}|^k < \infty.
\]
If we take \(\beta = 1\), then \(|\bar{N}, p_n, \beta; \delta|_k\) summability reduces to \(|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k\) summability (see [5]). Also, if we take \(\beta = 1\) and \(\delta = 0\), then \(|\bar{N}, p_n, \beta; \delta|_k\) summability reduces to \(|\bar{N}, p_n|k\) summability.

Let \(A = (a_{nv})\) be a normal matrix, i.e., a lower triangular matrix of nonzero diagonal entries. Then \(A\) defines the sequence-to-sequence transformation, mapping the sequence \(s = (s_n)\) to \(As = (A_n(s))\), where
\[
A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{nv}s_v, \ \ n = 0, 1, \ldots
\]
The series \(\sum a_n\) is said to be summable \(|A, p_n|k, \ k \geq 1\), if (see [12])
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^k |\bar{A}A_n(s)|^k < \infty.
\]
We say that the series \(\sum a_n\) is summable \(|A, p_n, \beta; \delta|_k, \ k \geq 1, \ \delta \geq 0\) and \(\beta\) is a real number, if
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta(\delta k+k-1)} |\bar{A}A_n(s)|^k < \infty,
\]
where
\[
\bar{A}A_n(s) = A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s).
\]
If we take \(\beta = 1\), then \(|A, p_n, \beta; \delta|_k\) summability reduces to \(|A, p_n; \delta|_k\) summability (see [10]). Also, if we take \(\beta = 1\) and \(\delta = 0\), then \(|A, p_n, \beta; \delta|_k\) summability reduces to \(|A, p_n|k\) summability.

Before stating the main theorem we must first introduce some further notations.

Given a normal matrix \(A = (a_{nv})\), we associate two lower semimatrices \(\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_{nv})\) and \(\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nv})\) as follows:
\[
\hat{a}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^{n} a_{ni}, \ \ n, v = 0, 1, \ldots
\]
and
\[
\bar{a}_{00} = \bar{a}_{00} = a_{00}, \ \hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1, v}, \ \ n = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
It may be noted that \(\hat{A}\) and \(\bar{A}\) are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively. Then, we have
\[
A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{nv}s_v = \sum_{v=0}^{n} \hat{a}_{nv}a_v
\]
and
\[
\bar{A}A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^{n} \bar{a}_{nv}a_v.
\]
2. Known Result

In [3], Bor has proved the following theorem for $|\tilde{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors of infinite series.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let $(X_n)$ be an almost increasing sequence and let there be sequences $(\beta_n)$ and $(\lambda_n)$ such that
\begin{align*}
|\Delta \lambda_n| &\leq \beta_n, \\
(\beta_n) &\to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty, \\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\Delta \beta_n|X_n &< \infty, \\
|\lambda_n|X_n &= O(1) \\
\end{align*}
and
\begin{align*}
\sum_{v=1}^{n} \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} &= O(X_n) \text{ as } n \to \infty,
\end{align*}
where $(t_n)$ is the $n$-th $(C, 1)$ mean of the sequence $(na_n)$. Suppose further, the sequence $(p_n)$ is such that
\begin{align*}
P_n &= O(np_n), \\
P_n \Delta p_n &= O(p_n p_{n+1}),
\end{align*}
then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n}$ is summable $|\tilde{N}, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$.

**Remark 2.2.** It should be noted that, from the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, $(\lambda_n)$ is bounded and $\Delta \lambda_n = O(1/n)$ (see [2]).

3. Main Result

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 2.1 for absolute matrix summability.

Now, we shall prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a positive normal matrix such that
\begin{align*}
\bar{a}_{n0} &= 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \ldots, \\
a_{n-1,v} &\geq a_{nv}, \quad \text{for } n \geq v + 1, \\
a_{nn} &= O\left(\frac{p_n}{p_n}\right), \\
|\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| &= O(v|\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})|).
\end{align*}
Let $(X_n)$ be an almost increasing sequence. If the conditions (2.1)-(2.4) and (2.6)-(2.7) of Theorem 2.1 and
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\frac{p_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\delta k + 1) - k} |t_n|^k &= O(X_m) \text{ as } m \to \infty, \\
\sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p_n}{p_n}\right)^{\beta(\delta k + 1) - k + 1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| &= O\left(\left(\frac{p_v}{p_v}\right)^{\beta(\delta k + 1) - k}\right),
\end{align*}

are satisfied, then the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{P_n \lambda_n}{np_n} \) is summable \(|A, p_n, \beta; \delta|_k\), \( k \geq 1, \delta \geq 0 \) and
\[-\beta(\delta k + k - 1) + k > 0.\]

We need the following lemmas for the proof of Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.2** ([11]). If \((X_n)\) is an almost increasing sequence, then under the conditions (2.2)–(2.3), we have that
\[ nXn\beta_n = O(1), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty. \]

**Lemma 3.3** ([4]). If the conditions (2.6) and (2.7) are satisfied, then \( \Delta(P_n/p_n n^2) = O(1/n^2) \).

### 4. Proof of Theorem 3.1

Let \((I_n)\) denotes \(A\)-transform of the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{P_n \lambda_n}{np_n} \). Then, by (1.11) and (1.12), we have
\[ \tilde{\Delta}I_n = \sum_{v=1}^{n} \frac{d_{nv} P_v \lambda_v}{v^2 p_v}. \]

Applying Abel’s transformation to this sum, we get that
\[ \tilde{\Delta}I_n = \sum_{v=1}^{n} \Delta_v \left( \frac{\tilde{a}_{nv} P_v \lambda_v}{v^2 p_v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^{v} r a_r + \frac{\tilde{a}_{nn} P_n \lambda_n}{n^2 p_n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} r a_r \]
\[ = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left( \frac{d_{nv} P_v \lambda_v}{v^2 p_v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^{v} r a_r + \frac{\tilde{a}_{nn} P_n \lambda_n}{n^2 p_n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} r a_r \]
\[ = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v (d_{nv}) \left( \frac{v + 1}{v^2} \right) P_v \lambda_v t_v \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\tilde{a}_{nv} P_v \lambda_v}{v^2} t_v + \frac{\tilde{a}_{nn} P_n \lambda_n}{n^2 p_n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} r a_r \]
\[ = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v (d_{nv}) \left( \frac{v + 1}{v^2} \right) P_v \lambda_v t_v + \frac{\tilde{a}_{nn} P_n \lambda_n}{n^2 p_n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} r a_r \]
\[ = I_{n,1} + I_{n,2} + I_{n,3} + I_{n,4}. \]

To complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 by Minkowski’s inequality, it is enough to show that
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta(\delta k + k - 1)} |I_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4. \]

First, using the fact that \( P_v = O(v p_v) \) by (2.6), we have that
\[ \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta(\delta k + k - 1)} |I_{n,1}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta(\delta k + k - 1)} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v (d_{nv})| |\lambda_v| |t_v| \right)^k. \]
Now, applying Hölder’s inequality with indices $k$ and $k'$, where $k > 1$ and $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, we have that
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta(k+1)} |I_{n,1}|^k = O\left( \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta(k+1)} \right) \left( \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{|\Delta_v(\tilde{a}_{nv})|}{|\lambda_v|^k} t_v^k \right) \left( \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{|\Delta_v(\tilde{a}_{nv})|}{|t_v|^k} \right)
\]
\[
= O\left( \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{|\lambda_v|^k}{|t_v|^k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta(k+1)-1} |\Delta_v(\tilde{a}_{nv})|^k.
\]
Now, using the fact that $a_{nn} = O\left( \frac{p_n}{p_n} \right)$ by (3.3), we have that
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta(k+1)} |I_{n,1}|^k = O\left( \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta(k+1)-1} \left( \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{|\Delta_v(\tilde{a}_{nv})|}{|\lambda_v|^k} t_v^k \right)^{\beta(k+1)-1} \frac{P_n}{p_n} |\Delta_v(\tilde{a}_{nv})|^k.
\]
by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

Now, using Hölder’s inequality, we have that
\[
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta(k+1)} |I_{n,2}|^k = O\left( \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta(k+1)-1} \left( \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} |\Delta_v(\tilde{a}_{nv})| t_v^k \right)^{\beta(k+1)-1} \frac{P_n}{p_n} |\Delta_v(\tilde{a}_{nv})|^k.
\]
Since
\[
\Delta_v(\tilde{a}_{nv}) = \tilde{a}_{nv} - \tilde{a}_{n,v+1} = \tilde{a}_{nv} - \tilde{a}_{n-1,v} - \tilde{a}_{n,v+1} + \tilde{a}_{n-1,v+1} = a_{nv} - a_{n-1,v}
\]
we get that
\[ \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (a_{n-1,v} - a_{nv}) \leq a_{nn}. \]

Thus, we obtain
\[ m+1 \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} |I_{n,2}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{p_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v \beta_v |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |t_v|^k \right). \]

Now, using (3.3), we have that
\[ m+1 \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} |I_{n,2}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v \beta_v |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |t_v|^k \right) \]
\[ = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v \beta_v |t_v|^k \sum_{v=n+1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{p_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \]
\[ = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} v \beta_v |t_v|^k \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} \]
\[ = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v \beta_v) \sum_{r=1}^{v} \left( \frac{P_r}{p_r} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} |t_r|^k \]
\[ + O(m \beta_m \sum_{v=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} |t_v|^k \]
\[ = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta(v \beta_v)| X_v + O(1) m \beta_m X_m \]
\[ = O(1) |v| \Delta \beta_v |X_v| + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + O(1) m \beta_m X_m \]
\[ = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty, \]

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

Since \( \frac{P_v}{p^2 v^2} = O \left( \frac{1}{v^2} \right) \), we have that
\[ m+1 \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} |I_{n,3}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\lambda_{v+1}||t_v|^k \right)^k \]
\[ = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{p_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})||\lambda_{v+1}||t_v|^k \right)^k \]
\[ = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{p_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})||\lambda_{v+1}|^k |t_v|^k \right)^k \]
\[ = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{p_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})||\lambda_{v+1}|^k |t_v|^k \right)^k. \]

By using (3.3), as in \( I_{n,1} \), we have that
\[ m+1 \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} |I_{n,3}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\beta_k + k-1} \left( \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})||\lambda_{v+1}|^k |t_v|^k \right)^k \]
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\[ = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} |\lambda_{v+1}| |t_{v}|^{k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \frac{(P_{n})}{p_{n}} |\Delta_{v}(\hat{a}_{nv})| \]

\[ = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m} \frac{(P_{v})}{p_{v}} |\lambda_{v+1}| |t_{v}|^{k} \]

\[ = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty, \]

by virtue of hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

Finally, by using Abel’s transformation, as in \( I_{n,1} \), we have that

\[ \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}} \right)^{\beta(k+1)} |I_{n,1}|^{k} = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}} \right)^{\beta(k+1)} a_{n}^{k} |\lambda_{n}|^{k} |t_{n}|^{k} \]

\[ = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}} \right)^{\beta(k+1)-k} |\lambda_{n}|^{k-1} |\lambda_{n}| |t_{n}|^{k} \]

\[ = O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}} \right)^{\beta(k+1)-k} |\lambda_{n}| |t_{n}|^{k} \]

\[ = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty, \]

by virtue of the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

5. Corollaries

Corollary 1. If we take \( \beta = 1 \) and \( \delta = 0 \), then we get a theorem dealing with \(|A, p_{n}|_{k}\) summability (see [9]).

Corollary 2. If we take \( \beta = 1 \), \( \delta = 0 \) and \( a_{nv} = \frac{P_{v}}{p_{n}} \), then we get Theorem 2.1.

6. Conclusion

We prove a general theorem for absolute matrix summability of infinite series by virtue of almost increasing sequence. This general theorem enrich the literature of summability theory and create basis for future researches.
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