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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study fixed points for the $G$-contraction on $E$ - $b$-Metric spaces endowed with a graph. The work in this paper should be seen as a generalization of [7].
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## 1. Introduction

Banach contraction principle proved by Polish Mathematician Stefan Banach in his thesis is a very easy tool to find the fixed point of a mapping in the theory of metric spaces. According to this principle, a mapping which is contractive in nature and defined on a complete metric space to itself has a unique fixed point. Kannan [9] also studied some results on fixed points. Afterward, most of the Mathematicians worked on it and generalize this principle in different metric spaces. Bakhtin [1] came out with the definition of $b$-metric space in 1989. Cevik and Altun [2] introduced the definition of vector metric space in 2009. Petre [4] developed the definition of the vector $b$-metric space in 2014. From the literature survey of the last ten years, it is observed that fixed point theory was combined with Graph theory.

Fixed point theory with a graph theory was firstly studied by Kirk and Espinola [8] in 2006. After that Jachymski [7] in 2008, studied the Banach contraction principle and generalized

[^0]it to mappings on a metric space endowed with a graph. Samreen et al. [10] proved some fixed point theorems in $b$-metric space endowed with graph. In this paper, we generalize most of the results of Jachymski [7].

## 2. Preliminaries and Definitions

Now, we will give some basic definitions and results in the field of fixed point theory and graph theory before going to main results.

Definition 2.1 ([2]). A partially ordered linear space is a quadruple ( $E,+, \cdot, \leq_{E}$ ) where ( $E,+, \cdot$ ) is a linear space over the field $R$ of Real numbers and $\leq_{E}$ is a partial ordering on $E$ such that
(i) $u \leq_{E} v \Rightarrow \lambda u \leq_{E} \lambda v$, where $\lambda$ is non-negative real number.
(ii) $u \leq_{E} v \Rightarrow u+w \leq_{E} v+w$, for every $u, v, w \in E$.

Definition 2.2 ([2]). A Riesz space, or vector lattice, is a partially ordered linear space $\left(E,+, \cdot, \leq_{E}\right)$ such that ( $E, \leq_{E}$ ) is a lattice.

Notation 2.3 ([2]). If $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence in Riesz space whose $\inf \left\{u_{n}\right\}=u$, then we say sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is directed downwards and we use the symbol $u_{n} \downarrow u$.

Definition 2.4 ([6]). A Riesz space $E$ is said to be Archimedean if it is true for every $u \in E_{+}$ that the decreasing sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{n} u\right\}$ satisfies $\frac{1}{n} u \downarrow 0$, where $E_{+}=\left\{u \in E: u \geq_{E} 0\right\}$.

Lemma 2.5 ([9] $]$. If $u \leq_{E} k u$ in a Riesz space $E$, where $u \in E_{+}$and $k \in[0,1)$ then $u=0$.
Example 2.6 ([6] $]. R^{2}$ is an Archimedean Riesz space with coordinate wise ordering but with lexicographical ordering, it is non-Archimedean Riesz space.

Definition 2.7 ([6]). Suppose $Z \neq \phi$ and $E$ is a Riesz space. A function $d: Z \times Z \rightarrow E_{+}$is said to be $E$ - $b$-metric if, for any $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3} \in Z$ and $s \geq 1$ any real number, the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $d\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \leq_{E} s\left[d\left(u_{1}, u_{3}\right)+d\left(u_{3}, u_{2}\right)\right]$.
(ii) $d\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=0$ iff $u_{1}=u_{2}$.

The triplet $(Z, d, E)$ is said to be $E$ - $b$-metric space or vector- $b$-metric space.
Definition 2.8 ([屃]). Suppose $Z=C[-2,2]=E$ and $d: C[-2,2] \times C[-2,2] \rightarrow E_{+}$be defined as

$$
d(f, g)=|f-g|^{p}, \quad p>1
$$

Then $(Z, d, E)$ is $E$ - $b$-metric space with $s=2^{p-1}>1$. Since the function $|u|^{p}(p>1)$ is convex, we have

$$
\left(\left|\frac{1}{2} u+\frac{1}{2} v\right|\right)^{p} \leq \frac{1}{2}|u|^{p}+\frac{1}{2}|v|^{p}
$$

so that

$$
(|u+v|)^{p} \leq 2^{p-1}\left(|u|^{p}+|v|^{p}\right) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(f_{1}, f_{3}\right) & =\left(\left|f_{1}-f_{3}\right|\right)^{p} \\
& =\left(\left|f_{1}-f_{2}+f_{2}-f_{3}\right|\right)^{p} \\
& \leq 2^{p-1}\left[\left|f_{1}-f_{2}\right|^{p}+\left|f_{2}-f_{3}\right|^{p}\right] \\
& =2^{p-1}\left[d\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)+d\left(f_{2}, f_{3}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus condition (i) of Definition 2.7 holds with $s=2^{p-1}>1$.
Example 2.9 ([6] $]$. Suppose $Z=L^{p}[0,1]$ where $p \in(0,1)$ and $E=R^{2}$ and $d: L^{p}[0,1] \times L^{p}[0,1] \rightarrow$ $R_{+}^{2}$ such that $d(f, g)=\left(a\|f-g\|_{p}, \beta\|f-g\|_{p}\right)$ where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha+\beta>0 .\left(Z, d, R^{2}\right)$ is an $E$-b-metric space with $s=2^{1 / p}>1$.

We also know that $L^{p}[0,1]$ is Riesz space with partial order $f \geq g$ iff $f(u) \geq g(u)$ for all $u \in[0,1]$.
Example 2.10. Let $Z=\{0,1,2\}, E=R^{2}$ and $d: Z \times Z \rightarrow R^{2}$ be defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d(1,2)=d(2,1)=(2,2), \\
& d(0,1)=d(1,0)=(2,2), \\
& d(0,2)=d(2,0)=(8,8) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d(2,0)=(8,8) \leq d(2,1)+d(1,0)$. So $(Z, d, E)$ is not a metric space but it is $E$ - $b$-metric space with $s \geq 2$.

Definition 2.11 ([2]). A sequence $\left\langle u_{n}\right\rangle$ in $(Z, d, E)$ is said to be $E$-converge to some $u \in Z$, written as $u_{n} \xrightarrow{d, E} u$, if there exists a sequence $\left\langle a_{n}\right\rangle$ in $E$ such that $a_{n} \downarrow 0$ and $d\left(u_{n}, u\right) \leq_{E} a_{n}$ for all $n$.

Definition 2.12 ([2]). A sequence $\left\langle u_{n}\right\rangle$ in $(Z, d, E)$ is said to be $E$-Cauchy if there exists a sequence $\left\langle a_{n}\right\rangle$ in $E$ such that $a_{n} \downarrow 0$ and $d\left(u_{n}, u_{n+p}\right) \leq_{E} a_{n}$ for all $n$ and $p$.

Definition 2.13 ([7]). Two sequences $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ in Riesz space $E$ are said to be $E$-Cauchy Equivalent if both sequences are $E$-Cauchy sequence and there is a sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that $a_{n} \downarrow 0$ and $d\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \leq_{E} a_{n}$ for all $n$.

Definition 2.14 ([7]). Let $T$ be a mapping on an $E$ - $b$-metric space ( $Z, d, E$ ) to itself. $T$ is said to be a Picard operator (P.O.) if $T$ has a unique fixed point $u^{*}$ and there exists a sequence $a_{n}$ in $E$ such that $a_{n} \downarrow 0$ and $d\left(T^{n} u, u^{*}\right) \leq a_{n}$ for all $n$.

Definition $2.15([7])$. Let $T$ be a mapping on $E$ - $b$-metric space $(Z, d, E)$ to itself. $T$ is said to be a weakly Picard operator if for every $u \in Z$, sequence $T^{n} u E$-converges to fixed point of $T$ and this fixed point need not be unique.

### 2.1 Preliminaries of Graph Theory

Notation 2.16. We use the symbol $E^{\prime}(G)$ which means set of edges of graph $G$.
Suppose ( $Z, d, E$ ) is an $E$-b-metric space and $\Delta=\{(u, u): u \in Z\}$. A graph $G$ is a pair $\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ where $E^{\prime}=E^{\prime}(G)$, the set of its edges such that $\Delta \subset E^{\prime}(G)$ and $V=V(G)$ is a set of vertices coinciding with $Z$. Assume the graph has no parallel edges.
The graph $G^{-1}$ is obtained by reversing the direction of edges where it's set of edges and vertices are defined as follows:

$$
V\left(G^{-1}\right)=V(G) \text { and } E^{\prime}\left(G^{-1}\right)=\left\{(u, v) \in Z \times Z:(v, u) \in E^{\prime}(G)\right\}
$$

Consider the graph $\tilde{G}$ consisting all the edges of $G$ and $G^{-1}$ and all vertices of $G$ i.e.

$$
E^{\prime}(\tilde{G})=E^{\prime}(G) \cup E^{\prime}\left(G^{-1}\right) \text { and } V(\tilde{G})=V(G)
$$

Definition 2.17. A subgraph $G^{\prime}(U, F)$ of a graph $G(V, E)$ is a graph in which $U \subseteq V$ and $F$ contains all those edges of $E$ which are associated with vertices of $U$.

Definition 2.18. Suppose $G$ is a graph. A path in graph $G$ from vertex $u$ to vertex $v$ of length $n\{n \in \mathrm{~N} \cup\{0\}\}$ is a sequence $\left(u_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{n}$ of $n+1$ distinct vertices such that $u_{0}=u, u_{n}=v$ and $\left(u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right) \in E^{\prime}(G)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.

Definition 2.19. Suppose $G$ is a graph. We say that graph $G$ is connected if there is a path between any two vertices of $G$ and it is weakly connected if $\tilde{G}$ is connected.

Notion 2.20. Consider any vertex $u$ in a graph $G$. We denote the component of $G$ containing $u$ by $G_{u}$ which is a subgraph of $G$. The subgraph $G_{u}$ contained all those vertices and edges of $G$ which involves in any path in $G$ starting at $u$. We can observe vertices of $G_{u}$ as an equivalence class $[u]_{G}$ defined on $V(G)$ by the relation $R$ which is defined as vertex $u$ is related to vertex $v$ if there is a path from $u$ to $v$. By this relation $R$, we can see easily, $V\left(G_{u}\right)=[u]_{G}$. It is very easy exercise to check the subgraph $G_{u}$ is connected.

Definition 2.21 ([7]). Let $(Z, d)$ be a metric space with graph $G$. A mapping $f: Z \rightarrow Z$ is said to be a Banach $G$-contraction if
(i) for all $u, v \in Z$

$$
(u, v) \in E^{\prime}(G) \Rightarrow(f u, f v) \in E^{\prime}(G)
$$

(ii) there exists $\alpha \in[0,1)$, for all $u, v \in Z$

$$
(u, v) \in E^{\prime}(G) \Rightarrow d(f u, f v) \leq \alpha d(u, v)
$$

Remark. We need not to define Banach- $G$ contraction in $E$ - $b$-metric space with graph separately because in condition (ii) of Definition 2.21 less than or equal to ( $\leq$ ) symbol can be replaced by general partial order relation $\left(\leq_{E}\right)$.

Definition 2.22 ([7]). Let $(Z, d)$ be a metric space with graph $G$. The function $f: Z \rightarrow Z$ is said to be orbitally $G$-continuous if for all $u, v \in Z$ and any sequence $\left\{k_{n}\right\}, n \in \mathrm{~N}$ of positive integers, $f^{k_{n}} u \longrightarrow v$ and $\left(f^{k_{n}} u, f^{k_{n+1}} u\right) \in E^{\prime}(G)$ imply that $f\left(f^{k_{n}} u\right) \longrightarrow f v$ for $n \in \mathrm{~N}$.

Proposition 2.23. Let $(Z, d, E)$ is an $E$-b metric space with constant $s \geq 1$ and graph $G$. If $f: Z \rightarrow Z$ is a $G$-contraction with $\alpha \in[0,1)$ then $f$ is both a $\tilde{G}$-contraction and $G^{-1}$-contraction.

Proof. Since $f$ is $G$-contraction. Therefore, for all $u, v \in Z$,

$$
(u, v) \in E^{\prime}(G) \Rightarrow(f u, f v) \in E^{\prime}(G)
$$

and $\alpha \in[0,1)$, for all $u, v \in Z$.

$$
(u, v) \in E^{\prime}(G) \Rightarrow d(f u, f v) \leq_{E} \alpha d(u, v)
$$

Now

$$
(u, v) \in E^{\prime}(G) \Rightarrow(v, u) \in E^{\prime}\left(G^{-1}\right)
$$

Thus if

$$
(f u, f v) \in E^{\prime}(G) \Rightarrow(f v, f u) \in E^{\prime}\left(G^{-1}\right)
$$

Since $d$ is $E$-b-metric.
We have

$$
(v, u) \in E^{\prime}\left(G^{-1}\right) \Rightarrow d(f v, f u) \leq_{E} \alpha d(v, u)
$$

Thus $f$ is $G^{-1}$-contraction in $E$ - $b$-metric space $Z$.
Similarly, we can show $f$ is $\tilde{G}$-contraction.

## 3. Main Results

Let $\operatorname{Fix} f=\{u \in Z: f(u)=u\}$. Throughout this section, $G$ is considered as a directed graph.
Lemma 3.1. Let $(Z, d, E)$ be an $E$-b-metric space with constant $s \geq 1$ and graph $G$. Let $f: Z \rightarrow Z$ be a $G$-contraction with constant $\alpha$. Therefore, given $u \in Z$ and $v \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$, there exists an element $C(u, v) \in E_{+}$satisfying

$$
d\left(f^{n} u, f^{n} v\right) \leq_{E} \alpha^{n} C(u, v), \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathrm{~N} .
$$

Proof. Suppose $u \in Z$ and $v \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$. Then, there is a finite sequence $\left(u_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{N}$ in $\tilde{G}$ from $u$ to $v$ i.e. $u_{0}=u, u_{N}=v$ and $\left(u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right) \in E^{\prime}(\tilde{G})$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. By Proposition 2.23, $f$ is a $\tilde{G}$-contraction.

$$
\Rightarrow \quad\left(f^{n} u_{i-1}, f^{n} u_{i}\right) \in E^{\prime}(\tilde{G}) \text { and } d\left(f u_{i-1}, f u_{i}\right) \leq_{E} \alpha d\left(u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right) .
$$

An easy induction shows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(f^{2} u_{i-1}, f^{2} u_{i}\right) \leq_{E} \alpha d\left(f u_{i-1}, f u_{i}\right) \leq_{E} \alpha^{2} d\left(u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right), \\
& d\left(f^{n} u_{i-1}, f^{n} u_{i}\right) \leq_{E} \alpha^{n} d\left(u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right), \quad \text { for all } n \in N \text { and } i=1,2, \ldots, N .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we use the triangular inequality of $E-b$-metric, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(f^{n} u, f^{n} v\right) & \leq_{E} s\left[d\left(f^{n} u, f^{n} u_{1}\right)+d\left(f^{n} u_{1}, f^{n} v\right)\right] \\
& =\operatorname{sd}\left(f^{n} u, f^{n} u_{1}\right)+\operatorname{sd}\left(f^{n} u_{1}, f^{n} v\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By repeated use of Triangular inequality

$$
\Rightarrow \quad d\left(f^{n} u, f^{n} v\right) \leq_{E} s d\left(f^{n} u, f^{n} u_{1}\right)+s^{2} d\left(f^{n} u_{1}, f^{n} u_{2}\right)+s^{3} d\left(f^{n} u_{2}, f^{n} u_{3}\right)+\cdots
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +s^{N-1} d\left(f^{n} u_{N-2}, f^{n} u_{N-1}\right)+s^{N} d\left(f^{n} u_{N}, f^{n} v\right) \\
\leq_{E} & \alpha^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} s^{i} d\left(u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, it is suffices to set

$$
C(u, v)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} s^{i} d\left(u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.2. If $(Z, d, E)$ is an Archimedean, $E$ - $b$-metric space with constant $s \geq 1$ and directed weakly connected graph $G$ then for any $G$-contraction $f: Z \rightarrow Z$ with constant $\alpha$ and $\alpha s \in[0,1)$, given $u, v \in Z,\left(f^{n} u\right)_{n}$ and $\left(f^{n} v\right)_{n}$ are $E$-Cauchy equivalent.

Proof. Suppose $u \in Z$, then $[u]_{\tilde{G}}=Z$ so $f u \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$.
Now by Lemma 3.1, we get $d\left(f^{n} u, f^{n+1} u\right) \leq_{E} \alpha^{n} C(u, f u)$.
Since $v=f u$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(f^{n} u, f^{n+1} u\right) \leq_{E} \alpha^{n} C(u, f u), \quad n \geq 1, \text { for all } n \in N . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we will claim that $\left\{f^{n} u\right\}_{n}$ is an $E$-Cauchy sequence. For this consider $m>n$, using the triangular inequality, we get

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
d\left(f^{n} u, f^{m} u\right) \leq_{E} & s d\left(f^{n} u, f^{n+1} u\right)+s^{2} d\left(f^{n+1} u, f^{n+2} u\right)+s^{3} d\left(f^{n+2} u, f^{n+3} u\right)+\ldots \\
+s^{m-n} d\left(f^{m-1} u, f^{m} u\right)
\end{array}
$$

From the use of (3.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq_{E}\left[s \alpha^{n}+s^{2} \alpha^{n+1}+\ldots+s^{m-n} \alpha^{m-1}\right] C(u, f u) \\
& \leq_{E} s \alpha^{n}\left[1+s \alpha+s^{2} \alpha^{2}+\ldots+s^{m-n-1} \alpha^{m-n-1}\right] C(u, f u) \\
& \leq_{E} \frac{s \alpha^{n}}{1-s \alpha} C(u, f u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha s \in[0,1), s \geq 1$ and $\alpha \in[0,1)$
$\Rightarrow \quad d\left(f^{n} u, f^{m} u\right) \leq_{E} \frac{\alpha^{n} s}{1-s \alpha} C(u, f u) \downarrow 0$
$\Rightarrow \quad\left\{f^{n} u\right\}$ is $E$-Cauchy sequence.
Since $v \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$ and use of above lemma yields $d\left(f^{n} u, f^{n} v\right) \leq \alpha^{n} C(u, v)$. Hence $\left\{f^{n} u\right\}$ and $\left\{f^{n} v\right\}$ are $E$-Cauchy equivalent.

Theorem 3.3. Let $(Z, d, E)$ be an $E$-b-metric space endowed with constant $s \geq 1$ and a directed graph $G$. If $f: Z \rightarrow Z$ is $G$-contraction with constant $\alpha$ and $\alpha s \in[0,1)$, given $u, v \in Z,\left\{f^{n} u\right\}$ and $\left\{f^{n} v\right\}$ are E-Cauchy equivalent, then $\operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Fix} f) \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $f$ be a $G$-contraction and we assume that $u, v \in \operatorname{Fix} f$.
$\Rightarrow \quad f u=u$ and $f v=v$
$\Rightarrow \quad f^{n} u=u$ and $f^{n} v=v$

Since $\left\{f^{n} u\right\}$ and $\left\{f^{n} v\right\}$ are $E$-Cauchy equivalent, so by definition of $E$-Cauchy equivalent there exists $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that $a_{n} \downarrow 0$ and $d\left(f^{n} u, f^{n} v\right) \leq a_{n}$ for all $n$.
$\Rightarrow \quad d(u, v) \leq a_{n} \downarrow 0, \quad$ for all $n$
$\Rightarrow \quad u=v$.
Corollary 3.4. Let $(Z, d, E)$ be Archimedean, complete $E$-b-metric space endowed with constant $s \geq 1$ and a graph $G$. If $G$ is weakly connected, then for any $G$-contraction $f: Z \rightarrow Z$ with constant $\alpha$ and $\alpha s \in[0,1)$, there exists $u^{*} \in Z$ such that $f^{n} u \xrightarrow{d, E} u^{*}$ for all $u \in Z$ and $\left(f^{n} u, u^{*}\right) \in E^{\prime}(\tilde{G})$.

Proof. Result of this corollary implies from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 .
Proposition 3.5. Suppose that $f:(Z, d, E) \rightarrow(Z, d, E)$ is a $G$-contraction with constant $\alpha$ and $\alpha s \in[0,1)$ such that for some $u_{0} \in Z, f\left(u_{0}\right) \in\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$. Then $\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$ is $f$-invariant and $f /\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$ is a $\tilde{G}_{u_{0}}$-contraction. Furthermore, if $u, v \in\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$, then $\left(f^{n} u\right)_{n \in N}$ and $\left(f^{n} v\right)_{n \in N}$ are E-Cauchy equivalent.

Proof. Let $u \in\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$. Then there is a path $\left(u_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{N}$ in $\tilde{G}$ from $u_{0}$ to $u$, i.e. $u_{N}=u$ and $\left(u_{i-1}, u_{i}\right) \in E^{\prime}(\tilde{G})$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$.
Because $f$ is $G$-contraction, so $f$ is also $\tilde{G}$-contraction which yields ( $\left.f u_{i-1}, f u_{i}\right) \in E^{\prime}(\tilde{G})$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ i.e. $\left(f u_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{N}$ is path in $\tilde{G}$ from $f u_{0}$ to $f u$.
Thus $f(u) \in\left[f\left(u_{0}\right)\right]_{\tilde{G}}$.
Since by hypothesis, $f u_{0} \in\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$ i.e. $\left[f\left(u_{0}\right)\right]_{\tilde{G}}=\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$.
$\Rightarrow \quad f(u)=\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$.
Thus $\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$ is $f$-invariant.
Now, let $(u, v) \in E^{\prime}\left(\tilde{G}_{u_{o}}\right)$. This means that there is path $\left(u_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{N}$ in $\tilde{G}$ from $u_{0}$ to $v$ such that $u_{N-1}=u$.
Since $f\left(u_{0}\right) \in\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$, let $\left(v_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{M}$ be a path in $\tilde{G}$ from $u_{0}$ to $f u_{0}$. Repeating the argument from the last part of the proof, we infer $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{M}, f u_{1}, \ldots, f u_{N}\right)$ is a path in $\tilde{G}$ from $u_{0}$ to $f v$, in particular $\left(f u_{N-1}, f u_{N}\right) \in E^{\prime}\left(\tilde{G}_{u_{0}}\right)$ i.e. $(f u, f v) \in E^{\prime}\left(\tilde{G}_{u_{0}}\right)$. Moreover, since $E^{\prime}\left(\tilde{G}_{u_{0}}\right) \subseteq E^{\prime}(\tilde{G})$ and $f$ is $\tilde{G}$-contraction. We conclude that contraction condition for $\tilde{G}_{u_{0}}$ holds. Thus $f\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$ is a $\tilde{G}_{u_{0}-E \text {-contraction. Last statement of theorem is directly implied from Theorem 3.2. }}$

Theorem 3.6. Let $(Z, d, E)$ be an Archimedean, complete $E$-b-metric space endowed with graph with constant $s \geq 1$. Suppose $G$ satisfying the following property
P. If $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in N}$ is any sequence in $Z$ where $u_{n} \xrightarrow{d, E} u$ and there is edge between consecutive terms of sequence i.e. $\left(u_{n}, u_{n+1}\right) \in E^{\prime}(G)$ for any $n \in \mathrm{~N}$ then there is a subsequence $\left(u_{k_{n}}\right)_{n \in N}$ with $\left(u_{k_{n}}, u\right) \in E^{\prime}(G)$.
Let $Z_{f}=\left\{u \in Z:(u, f u) \in E^{\prime}(G)\right\}$ and $f: Z \rightarrow Z$ be a $G$-contraction with constant $\alpha$ and $\alpha s \in[0,1)$. Then
(1) For all $u \in Z_{f}, f /[u]_{\tilde{G}}$ is a Picard operator.
(2) If $G$ is weakly connected graph and $Z_{f} \neq \varnothing$, then $f$ is Picard operator on $Z$.
(3) If $Z^{\prime}=\cup\left\{[u]_{\tilde{G}}: x \in Z_{f}\right\}$ then $f / Z^{\prime}$ is Weakly Picard operator on $Z$.
(4) If $f \subseteq E^{\prime}(G) i . e$. there is an edge between every element of $Z$ and its image, then $f$ is Weakly Picard operator on $Z$.
(5) $\operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Fix} f)=\operatorname{Card}\left\{[u]_{\tilde{G}}: u \in Z_{f}\right\}$.
(6) $\operatorname{Fix} f \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow Z_{f} \neq \varnothing$.
(7) $f$ has a unique fixed point iff $\exists u_{0} \in Z_{f}$ such that $Z_{f} \subseteq\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$.

Proof. (1) Firstly, we will claim that there exists $u^{*} \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$ such that $f^{n} u \xrightarrow{d, E} u^{*}$ and $u^{*}$ is fixed point of $f$ i.e. $f u^{*}=u^{*}$.
Let $u \in Z_{f}$, then $f u \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$. Therefore, by Proposition 3.5 if $v \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$, then $\left\{f^{n} v\right\}_{n}$ and $\left\{f^{n} u\right\}_{n}$ are $E$-Cauchy equivalent. Because $Z$ is $E$-Complete, so there exists $u^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n} u \xrightarrow{d, E} u^{*} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $\left\{f^{n} v\right\}_{n} E$-converges to some element of $Z$ say $u^{*}$ and also $\left\{f^{n} u\right\}_{n}$ E-converges to $u^{*} \in Z$.

Because ( $u, f u) \in E^{\prime}(G)$ so, by using the definition of $G$-contraction, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{n} u, f^{n+1} u\right) \in E^{\prime}(G), \quad \text { for all } n \in N \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Z$ has the property P, therefore there exists a subsequence $f^{k_{n}} u$ such that

$$
\left(f^{k_{n}} u, u^{*}\right) \in E^{\prime}(G), \quad \text { for all } n
$$

From (3.3), we obtain a path ( $u, f u, f^{2} u, \ldots, f^{k_{n}} u, u^{*}$ ) from $u$ to $u^{*}$ in graph $G$ (also in $\tilde{G}$ ).
Thus $u^{*} \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$.
Now, we will claim that $u^{*}$ is fixed point of $f$.
Since $f$ is $G$-contraction, so we have $d\left(f^{k_{n}+1} u, f u^{*}\right) \leq_{E} \alpha d\left(f^{k_{n}} u, u^{*}\right)$.
Also, we know that limit of $E$-convergent sequence and its subsequence is same, so $f^{k_{n}} u \xrightarrow{d, E} u^{*}$ and also $f^{k_{n}+1} u \xrightarrow{d, E} u^{*}$. Therefore, there exists a sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\} \downarrow 0$ in $E$ such that

$$
d\left(f^{k_{n}+1} u, f u^{*}\right) \leq_{E} a_{k_{n}+1} \downarrow 0, \quad \text { for all } n
$$

and

$$
d\left(f^{k_{n}} u, f u^{*}\right) \leq_{E} a_{k_{n}} \downarrow 0, \quad \text { for all } n
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(u^{*}, f u^{*}\right) & \leq_{E} s\left[d\left(u^{*}, f^{k_{n}} u\right)+d\left(f^{k_{n}} u, f u^{*}\right)\right] \\
& \leq_{E} s\left[d\left(u^{*}, f^{k_{n}} u\right)+s d\left(f^{k_{n}} u, f^{k_{n}+1} u\right)+s d\left(f^{k_{n}+1} u, f u^{*}\right)\right] \\
& \leq_{E} s\left[a_{k_{n}}+s \alpha d\left(f^{k_{n}-1} u, f^{k_{n}} u\right)+s \alpha a_{k_{n}}\right] \\
& \leq_{E}\left[s a_{k_{n}}+\frac{\alpha^{k_{n}} s^{3}}{1-s \alpha} C(u, f u)+s^{2} \alpha a_{k_{n}}\right] \downarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d\left(f^{k_{n}} u, f^{k_{n}+1} u\right) \leq_{E} \frac{\alpha^{k_{n}} s^{2}}{1-s \alpha} C(u, f u) \downarrow 0$ and $C(u, f u) \in E$

$$
d\left(u^{*}, f u^{*}\right) \leq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f u^{*}=u^{*}
$$

Thus $f /[u]_{\tilde{G}}$ is a Picard operator.
(2) If we assume $G$ a weakly connected graph, then $[u]_{\tilde{G}}=Z \Rightarrow f$ is Picard operator.
(3) Let $Z^{\prime}=\cup\left\{[u]_{\tilde{G}}: u \in Z_{f}\right\}$. Now, we prove that $f / Z^{\prime}$ is Weakly Picard operator.
$f:[u]_{\tilde{G}} \rightarrow[u]_{\tilde{G}}$ is a Picard operator by (1)
For all $u \in Z_{f},(u, f u) \in E^{\prime}(G)$.
Consider arbitrary element $v \in Z^{\prime}=\cup[u]_{\tilde{G}}$. This imply that there exists $u \in Z_{f}$ such that $v \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$. Thus $v \in Z^{\prime} \Rightarrow v \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$. Because $f /[u]_{\tilde{G}}$ is Picard operator, so $f / Z^{\prime}$ is Weakly Picard operator.
(4) We have $Z_{f}=\left\{u \in Z:(u, f u) \in E^{\prime}(G)\right\}$. If $f \subseteq E^{\prime}(G)$ which means there exists an edge between the element and its image under $f$, then $Z_{f}=Z$.

$$
Z^{\prime}=\cup\left\{[u]_{\tilde{G}}: u \in Z_{f}\right\}=\cup\left\{[u]_{\tilde{G}}: u \in Z\right\}=Z .
$$

From (3), $f / Z^{\prime}$ is Weakly Picard operator.
$\Rightarrow \quad f / Z$ is Weakly Picard operator.
(5) Consider a mapping $\psi$ from $\operatorname{Fix} f$ to $\left\{[u]_{\tilde{G}}: u \in Z_{f}\right\}$ i.e.

$$
\psi: \operatorname{Fix} f \rightarrow A=\left\{[u]_{\tilde{G}}: u \in Z_{f}\right\} .
$$

Defined $u \rightarrow \psi(u)=[u]_{\tilde{G}}$.
We will prove thar $\psi$ is one-one (injection) and onto (surjection).
We have $\psi(\operatorname{Fix} f) \subset A$.
Surjection. Let $[u]_{\tilde{G}} \in A$, thus $u \in Z_{f}$. From (1), $f /[u]_{\tilde{G}}$ is a Picard operator i.e. $f^{n} u \xrightarrow{d, E} u^{*}$ such that $u^{*}$ is a fixed point of $f$ i.e. $f u^{*}=u^{*} \in \operatorname{Fix} f$.

Then $u^{*} \in[u]_{\tilde{G}} \cap \operatorname{Fix} f \Rightarrow \psi\left(u^{*}\right)=[u]_{\tilde{G}} \in A$.
Thus for any $[u]_{\tilde{G}} \in A$ there exists $u^{*} \in \operatorname{Fix} f$ such that $\psi\left(u^{*}\right)=[u]_{\tilde{G}}$.
Injection. Suppose $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \operatorname{Fix} f$ such that $\psi\left(u_{1}\right)=\psi\left(u_{2}\right)$, then $\left[u_{1}\right]_{\tilde{G}}=\left[u_{2}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$
$\Rightarrow \quad u_{2} \in\left[u_{1}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$.
By using (1) we get $f[u]_{\tilde{G}}$ is Picard operator, then

$$
f^{n} u_{2} \xrightarrow{d, E} u_{1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left[u_{1}\right]_{\tilde{G}} \cap \operatorname{Fix} f=\left\{u_{1}\right\} .
$$

Since $u_{2} \in \operatorname{Fix} f$ and $u_{1} \in \operatorname{Fixf}$, then $u_{1}=u_{2}$, which shows $\psi$ is a injection.
$\Rightarrow \quad \operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Fix} f)=\operatorname{Card} A$.
(6) Condition (6) can be obtained from (5) as a consequence.
(7) $f$ has a unique fixed point iff $\exists u_{0} \in Z_{f}$ such that $Z_{f} \subseteq\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$.

Let $u_{0} \in Z_{f}$ such that $Z_{f} \subseteq\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$. Then from (1) part and (5) part, $f$ has a unique fixed point because $\operatorname{Card}(\operatorname{Fix} f)=\operatorname{Card}\left\{[u]_{\tilde{G}}: u \in Z_{f}\right\}$.
For the converse part, Assume that there is only one fixed point of $f$ i.e. $u_{0} \in Z$ such that $f\left(u_{0}\right)=u_{0}$.
$\Rightarrow \quad u_{0} \in Z_{f}$ because $\left(u_{0}, f u_{0}\right) \in E^{\prime}(G)$.
As we assume earlier that $\Delta \subseteq E^{\prime}(G)$.
Now, we show that $Z_{f} \subseteq\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$.

For this let $v \in Z_{f}$.
$\Rightarrow \quad(v, f v) \in E^{\prime}(G)$.
But from (1) part if $v \in Z_{f}$, then $f$ is P.O. on $[v]_{\tilde{G}}$.
$\Rightarrow \quad f(v)=v$
$\Rightarrow \quad u_{0} \in[v]_{\tilde{G}}$
$\Rightarrow \quad v \in\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$
$\Rightarrow \quad Z_{f} \subseteq\left[u_{0}\right]_{\tilde{G}}$
Theorem 3.7. Assume $(Z, d, E)$ is an Archimedean, complete $E$-b metric space with graph $G$ and $f: Z \rightarrow Z$ is a $G$-contraction with constant $\alpha$ and $\alpha s \in[0,1)$. Also, assume $f$ is orbitally $G$-continuous mapping.
Let $Z_{f}=\left\{u \in Z,(u, f u) \in E^{\prime}(G)\right\}$. Under above hypothesis we can obtain following conclusions.
(1) If $u \in Z_{f}$ and $v \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$ then the sequence $\left(f^{n} v\right)_{n \in N} E$-converges to fixed point of mapping $f$ and limit of sequence $f^{n} v$ is independent of $v$.
(2) If graph $G$ is weakly connected and $Z_{f}$ is non empty then $f$ is Picard operator.
(3) If $f \subseteq E(G)$ i.e. for all $u \in Z$ we have $\{(u, f u): u \in Z\} \subseteq E^{\prime}(G)$ then $f$ is Weakly Picard operator.

Proof. (1) Let $u \in Z_{f}$ and $v \in[u]_{\tilde{G}}$. Then by Proposition $3.5,\left\{f^{n} u\right\}_{u \in N}$ and $\left\{f^{n} v\right\}_{v \in N}$ E-converges to same point $u^{*}$ (say). Since $u \in Z_{f}$ that implies $(u, f(u)) \in E^{\prime}(G)$. By using the definition of $G_{E}$-contraction, we have $\left(f u, f^{2} u\right) \in E^{\prime}(G)$ and $\left(f^{n} u, f^{n+1} u\right) \in E^{\prime}(G)$ for $n \in N$.
Since $f$ is orbitally $G$-continuous. Therefore

$$
f\left(f^{n} u\right) \xrightarrow{d, E} f\left(u^{*}\right)
$$

i.e.

$$
d\left(f^{n+1} u, f u^{*}\right) \leq_{E} a_{n} \downarrow 0, \quad \text { for some }\left\{a_{n}\right\} \subseteq E .
$$

Now

$$
d\left(f u^{*}, u^{*}\right) \leq_{E} s d\left(f u^{*}, f^{n+1} u^{*}\right)+s d\left(f^{n+1} u, u^{*}\right) \leq_{E} s\left(a_{n}+b_{n}\right) \downarrow 0
$$

$\Rightarrow \quad f\left(u^{*}\right)=u^{*}$
(2) Suppose $Z_{f}$ is non empty and $G$ is weakly connected.

Therefore for arbitrary $u \in Z_{f},[u]_{\tilde{G}}=Z$, part (1) imply that $f$ is Picard operator. Since

$$
f \subseteq E(G)
$$

$\Rightarrow \quad Z_{f} \neq \phi$
$\Rightarrow$ For each $u \in Z_{f}, f$ is P.O. on $[u]_{\tilde{G}}$ which imply that $f$ is Weakly Picard operator on $Z$.

## 4. Conclusions

We generalized the results of Jachymski [7] by considering $E$ - $b$-metric space with graph in place of metric space with graph.
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